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1. Carina King16, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
fat and micronutrient ready-to-use therapeutic feeds (RUTF) to help meet additional nutritional requirements may improve outcome.

Methods: COAST-Nutrition is an open, multicentre, Phase II randomised controlled trial in children aged 6 months to 12 years hospitalised with suspected severe pneumonia (and hypoxaemia, SpO$_2$ <92%) to establish whether supplementary feeds with RUTF given in addition to usual diet for 56-days (experimental) improves outcomes at 90-days compared to usual diet alone (control). Primary endpoint is change in mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) at 90 days and/or as a composite with 90-day mortality. Secondary outcomes include anthropometric status, mortality, readmission at days 28 and 180. The trial will be conducted in four sites in two countries (Uganda and Kenya) enrolling 840 children followed up to 180 days. Ancillary studies include cost-economic analysis, molecular characterisation of bacterial and viral pathogens, evaluation of putative biomarkers of pneumonia, assessment of muscle and fat mass and host genetic studies.

Discussion: This study is the first step in providing an option for nutritional support following severe pneumonia and will help in the design of a large Phase III trial.
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Introduction

Pneumonia is the leading cause of childhood death in sub-Saharan Africa1 however, short and long-term outcomes remain poor including death and hospital readmission2,3. To address long-term outcomes, the integrated Global Action Plan for Pneumonia and Diarrhoea developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) recommend ‘continued’ feeding under the ‘Treat’ element of the Protect Prevent and Treat framework for pneumonia and diarrhoea interventions, but do not give any specific recommendations for nutritional support4. Early nutritional support is commonly practiced in a wide variety of critically ill patients, including those with sepsis. Supportive nutrition is aimed at supplying vital cell substrates, antioxidants, vitamins and minerals, essential for normal cell function and to decrease hypermetabolism5,6.

Risk factors for poor long-term outcomes in children with severe pneumonia

A prospective study exploring the survival of all children admitted to Kilifi County Hospital (KCH) found that mortality was higher in the post-discharge cohort than in the community cohort showing an increased hazard ratio for post-discharge mortality in children under 5 years with anthropometric evidence of undernutrition7. Relevant to the question COAST-Nutrition trial seeks to address is children hospitalised with clinical symptoms and signs consistent with the diagnosis of...
very severe pneumonia (VSP), as defined in the WHO pocket book of hospital care for children (http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/child_hospital_care/en/), who have the second highest hazard ratio for post-discharge mortality (after children admitted with severe malnutrition) of 4.1 (95% Confidence Interval 2.3-7.5). This was supported by an additional study examining data from 2007 to 2021 involving 4184 children aged 1–59 months admitted KCH with severe pneumonia. Mortality and the risks for post-discharge mortality were examined in the year after discharge. In the 2279 children resident in the Kilifi demographic district who were followed up for survival, 70 (3.1%) died during 2163 child-years. Overall, 52% (95% confidence interval (CI) 37%, 63%) of post-discharge deaths were attributable to low mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC)³.

Supplementary feeding
The usual stress response to severe infection includes the increased release of catabolic hormones (cortisol and catecholamines) leading to a catabolic ‘hypermetabolic’ response in order to rapidly mobilise energy. The source is mainly via protein breakdown, leading to the conversion of amino acids (mainly alanine and glutamine) to glucose through liver gluconeogenesis. Consequently, there is rapid skeletal muscle breakdown leading to muscle cachexia or ‘wasting’³. This has been shown to have adverse consequences in the intensive care unit studies, even in previous healthy adults, delaying weaning from mechanical ventilation³⁰. In children surviving pneumonia with normal nutritional status or for moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) there is no current recommendation for nutritional support therefore we have reviewed what is recommended for children with MAM in community programmes.

Supplementary feeding using ready to use supplementary feeds (RUSF) is often recommended for MAM. The nutrient intakes for optimal recovery of children with moderate acute malnutrition were reviewed in October 2008 at a joint consultation of the WHO, UNICEF, World Food Programme and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees in children under 5 years of age³¹. The consensus view was that the desirable nutrient intakes in relation to energy were probably in the range between the recommended nutrient intakes for well-nourished children and the intakes recommended in the recovery phase for those with severe acute malnutrition (SAM)³². For children with severe acute malnutrition (SAM) ready to use therapeutic feeds (RUTF) recommended a dose of 175–200 kcal/kg/day³² until they reach the nutritional discharge criterion (based on lack of oedema and MUAC >12.5cm). However, since growth rates tend to slow towards the end of nutritional treatment³³ new strategies for management are now being considered. This includes a simplified and integrated SAM/MAM programme that use RUTF (rather than RUSF) and which has resulted in more favourable outcomes and is more pragmatic to current standard treatments³⁴.

Ready-to-use therapeutic food (RUTF) is a high-energy fortified food used for the treatment of SAM. During the period of SAM treatment RUTF paste is the sole source of food, except for breast milk in the case of breast-fed infants. It has also been used in the MAM programmes as a supplementary feed alongside of usual diet.

We considered the findings of the ComPAS study which recommended the integrated use of RUTF in MAM/SAM programmes and whether this could be extended to children at high risk of undernutrition/malnutrition. The ComPAS study suggested that for children with MAM (MUAC 11.5cm-< 12.5cm) 95% of children would receive 49% or more of their energy needs covered by the proposed protocol, with a median of 74% of their energy needs covered³⁵. The study by Maust et al. suggested this approach leads to superior outcomes³⁶.

In the open-label Phase II randomised controlled COAST-Nutrition trial comparing supplementing usual diet with RUTF (intervention) compared to usual diet alone (control) randomised at a ratio of 1:1 we aim to extend the use of RUTF to targeting children recovering from hospitalisation with severe pneumonia. The trial incorporates children across the anthropometric spectrum who are at high risk of needing nutritional support but excluding children with SAM (who will all receive RUTF at treatment doses). Our rationale considered that RUTF is widely used and if shown to benefit children following admission with pneumonia, could be rapidly deployed.

Protocol
This trial is registered at ISRCTN (ISRCTN10829073, 6th June 2018) and The Pan African Clinical Trials Registry (PACTR202106635355751, 2nd June 2021). This is protocol version 4.0: date 17th February 2020. This article is reported in line with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) guidelines³⁶.

Justification for the study
The high prevalence of pneumonia in children leading to poor outcomes including both short-term and long-term mortality and readmission post discharge constitutes a major public health challenge. This trial was designed to inform a key research gap on nutritional support and will provide preliminary evidence for health services in Africa on the basis of likely clinical outcome and putative costs.

Our hypotheses
We propose that the excess post-discharge mortality associated with pneumonia may relate to the catabolic response and muscle wasting induced by severe infection and inadequacy of the diet to aid recovery³⁷.

Objectives
General objectives
Our principal objective is to establish whether supplementing feeding with RUTF will improve anthropometric outcomes and/or mortality to day 90 and day 180 in children hospitalised with severe pneumonia.

Specific objectives
(i) To conduct a Phase II clinical trial comparing supplementary feeding for 56-days using RUTF in addition...
to usual diet in children aged 6 months or more admitted with severe pneumonia (but without severe acute malnutrition) versus usual diet alone (control) and to assess the impact on primary and secondary outcomes at 90-days and 180 days.

(ii) To describe the pathogenic aetiology of pneumonia using molecular diagnostics on stored blood samples and viral signatures from nasal flock swabs and effect on clinical outcomes.

(iii) To investigate whether sickle cell disease determines outcome in children presenting with severe pneumonia and whether outcomes are moderated by the nutritional intervention.

(iv) To assess relative muscle mass and fat mass at admission, Day 28 and Day 90 and investigate whether reduced muscle mass is a key factor determining outcome in children suffering from pneumonia.

(v) To investigate whether a combination of clinical, point-of-care diagnostic tests, and/or biomarkers can more accurately identify children with severe pneumonia with culture-proven bacteraemia and/or chest X-ray evidence of consolidation.

Methods

Study sites
The trial will be conducted in four sites in two countries in Africa. In Uganda the trial will enroll children in Mbale Regional Referral Hospital, Soroti Regional Referral Hospital and Jinja Regional Referral Hospital. In Kenya, children will be enrolled at the Kilifi County Hospital. The trial will be overseen by KEMRI Wellcome Trust Research Programme (KWTRP) where the Trial Management Group (TMG) will be based and will coordinate the conduct of the trial.

Study design
A Phase II multicentre open randomised controlled trial aimed to generate preliminary data on anthropometric evidence of growth and survival to day 90 in children receiving supplementary nutritional support in addition to usual diet (experimental) compared to usual diet alone (control).

Study populations
Children will be considered eligible for enrolment in this trial if they fulfil all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

• Aged >6 months to 12 years

• History of respiratory illness (cough, upper respiratory tract symptom or any respiratory symptoms e.g. rapid breathing or increase work of breathing)

• Hypoxia (pulse oximetry reading of $\text{SpO}_2 < 92\%$ recorded in room air over 5 minutes)

• Any signs of severe pneumonia$^*$ (from 2013 WHO clinical definitions for pneumonia)$^*$

Exclusion criteria

• Severe malnutrition (assessed as a MUAC <11.5cm* or/and evidence of kwashiorkor)

• Consent refusal by parent/carer

• Previously recruited to COAST-Nutrition trial

• Known chronic lung disease (not including asthma) and cyanotic congenital cardiac disease

$^*$ MUAC is preferred as the anthropometric assessment of malnutrition as weight for height z-scores are more difficult to assess accurately in very sick children (largely due to erroneous height/length assessments)

Sample size determination
Mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) has been selected as the primary criterion for nutritional recovery because it predicts mortality better$^7$, is less affected by oedema than other anthropometric measures and is also a good index of muscle mass. The primary endpoint will therefore be a composite of MUAC change from baseline (taken at admission to hospital) and mortality at 90 days. Patients will be ranked firstly by their survival status at day 90 (with death being the worst possible outcome), then by MUAC change in surviving patients, and the resulting ranks will be compared between arms using a two-sample rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test with alpha of 0.05.

* Includes any of the following

a. Sign of respiratory distress (any one of):
   o severe lower chest wall in-drawing
   o use of auxiliary muscles
   o head nodding
   o inability to feed because of respiratory problems

b. Suspected pneumonia
   o fast breathing:
     ■ age 2–11 months: ≥ 50/minute
     ■ age 1–5 years: ≥ 40/minute
     ■ age 5–12 years ≥ 30/minute
   o chest auscultation signs:
     ■ decreased breath sounds
     ■ bronchial breath sounds
     ■ crackles
     ■ abnormal vocal resonance (decreased over a pleural effusion or empyema, increased over lobar consolidation)
     ■ pleural rub

c. Signs of pneumonia with a general danger sign:
   o inability to breastfeed or drink
   o lethargy or unconscious
   o convulsions
Based on 1,645 children randomised to the COAST trial who had a mean (standard deviation) baseline MUAC of 13.7 (1.94), and assuming 80% correlation between baseline and 90-day MUAC, we can expect change in MUAC scores at 90 days to have a standard deviation of 1.26. Whilst we don’t have any other data on nutritional recovery in non-malnourished children, this is consistent with a recent trial publication in children with severe malnutrition (including Kilifi and Coast General Hospital, Kenya) where at 90 days, a mean change in MUAC of 1.6cm (standard deviation: 1.1) was recorded.

We used simulations (with 10,000 simulated datasets) to calculate the sample size required to achieve 90% power. Assuming 5% loss to follow-up over both arms, and 5% mortality by 90 days in the control arm, a total of 840 patients would be sufficient to detect an absolute increase in MUAC change of 0.3cm together with an absolute decrease in mortality of 1%. The same sample size also provides more than 90% power to detect a larger difference in MUAC of 0.4cm or more together with no effect on mortality, or more than 80% power to detect a smaller difference in MUAC of 0.2cm together with an absolute decrease in mortality of at least 3.5%. The original sample size was 2200, based on a give 90% power (P<0.05, two-sided) to detect difference between the groups of 0.2 cm in the mean MUAC; however this was felt to be less clinically relevant. In the protocol amendment when the oxygen trial was terminated (see discussion), the sample size was revised to 840 patients.

Study methods and procedures
Eligible children will be identified by a nurse and clinician on duty and will be registered in the eligibility screening log. The screening form will record all patients who meet the full eligibility criteria and reasons for non-inclusion. Children enrolled into the study will have a baseline clinical assessment and laboratory investigations. The study team will work with the hospital clinicians who will be responsible for guiding management for the child according to WHO guidelines. Randomisation to nutritional strategies will start 48 hours after hospital admission.

Randomisation procedure
The sequential randomisation list, computer-generated using variably-sized permuted blocks stratified by trial centre will be generated and kept by the statistician at ICNARC. An independent member will prepare trial randomisation envelopes before the trial, using these lists. The envelopes will be opaque and sealed and will contain a card with allocation, ensuring allocation concealment. Children will be randomised (1:1) to receive either supplementary RUTF or not. The cards will be numbered consecutively and opened in numerical order. Clinicians will be aware of the treatment-group assignments, but the laboratory tests are to be performed in a blinded manner (ie laboratory staff are not aware of the randomised arm when processing laboratory tests.) Follow will be conducted by the study team who will be aware of the treatment group.

Consent process
Once eligibility has been confirmed, authorised trial staff will approach parents/guardians to invite their child to take part in the trial. A patient information sheet will be provided to the parent/guardian in their usual language containing details of the COAST-Nutrition trial (see extended data). The sheet will be read aloud to those who are unable to read. The doctor/nurse will check that the information has been fully understood and parents/guardians will be encouraged to ask questions they may have about their child’s participation in the trial. This will be completed on the first day of admission, to allow baseline data to be collected. Where possible, prospective written informed consent will be sought from parents/guardians who will then be asked to sign the consent form (extended data). If parents/guardians are unable to sign, a thumbprint will be taken in lieu of a signature. A copy of the consent form will be given to the parent/guardian, the original placed in the patient’s medical notes, and a copy kept in the Investigator Site File. In the event where the full consent process may delay treatment, then parents/guardians will be provided with a brief verbal description of the trial and will be given the opportunity to “opt out” of clinical research. Full consent will be sought once the child’s clinical condition has been stabilised. If consent is withdrawn later, trial data collected up to the time of withdrawal will only be used and no further trial specific procedures will be conducted.

Treatment allocation
At 48 hours, following admission to hospital, eligible children will be randomised to either:

i. Supplementary feeding for 56-days (8 weeks) using one 92 g sachet (500 Kcal) per day for children under 5 years or 2 sachets for children above 5 years (1000 Kcal) of ready to use therapeutic feed (RUTF) in addition to their usual diet (intervention) or

ii. Usual diet alone (control, standard of care)

In stable children who are randomised to receive RUTF they will commence this after randomisation at 48-hours in addition to their dietary intake. Children who are unable to tolerate oral feeds at 48-hours will receive milk-based feeds via nasogastric tube until they are able to tolerate oral feeding. We recommend the RUTF supplement is given with the first feed of the day. If the child is unable to complete the sachet the remainder is to be given with the following feed. On discharge children randomised to supplemental RUTF will take home sufficient feed sachets to last until Day 28 follow up. At this follow up a further 28 days worth of supplemental will be supplied to the child to day 56 post randomisation. Children will be followed up to day 90 and day 180 (see study flow in Figure 1).

Trial assessment schedule
At admission clinicians will record baseline clinical assessment onto structured case report forms (CRF). During admission additional monitoring and clinical and adverse events will be captured using the case report forms (extended data). The
clinical assessment and laboratory assessment schedule is captured in Table 1.

At admission the following tests will be conducted: full blood count, urea and electrolytes, point of care lactate and glucose, malaria blood slide and malaria rapid diagnostic test, blood culture. The following samples will be taken and stored for future analysis: white cell and red cell pellet (for subsequent DNA extraction for haemoglobinopathy assessment and molecular pathogen diagnosis) and nasal/oropharyngeal swabs and saliva specimen (for viral pathogen diagnosis). Chest x-rays will also be taken when the patient is stable. In accordance with national guidelines, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) testing will be performed after admission procedures are complete and assent given by parents or guardians. Pre- and post-test counselling will be done in accordance with routine practice.

Health economic assessment
We will also collect data on healthcare-related costs for the trial participants, starting at randomisation and continuing for the duration of follow-up. These include

- Costs incurred by the participants and their families (e.g. transport, indirect and companion person’s costs)
- Information on hospitalisation (e.g. number, reason, and duration of stay)
- Data on other healthcare resource utilisation (e.g. outpatient visits, medications, and procedures)

Clinical management and monitoring
During hospital admission all trial participants will receive standard of care including antibiotics (intravenous or oral), oxygen provided by mask or nasal canulae (for those with an oxygen saturations of <92%) based on WHO syndromic patient management8. Children with positive malaria rapid diagnostic test (RDT) will be prescribed antimalarials (intravenous or oral).
All other care will be determined by the clinical team primarily responsible for the participant’s care. Children will be reviewed twice daily thereafter until discharged from hospital. At hospital discharge we will record final diagnosis, discharge date and time. The clinical coordinator is responsible for ensuring the discharge will document and report any serious adverse events (SAEs), treatments given and non-routine treatments or investigations will also be reported.

Trial details such as MUAC at discharge and number of RUTF packets issued will also be recorded. Nutritional counselling will be done to make parents/carer understand the importance of ensuring the participant randomised to RUTF are given to improve adherence as prescribed and those that are randomised to a normal diet are given a balanced diet. The dose (number of sachets/day) will be fixed for the period of 56 days and discontinuation will only be recommended if the child is unable to tolerate the feed. Since RUTF has been used widely in African children, it has a very good safety record so we did not anticipate many children to discontinue the supplement.

Follow up visits
Children will be seen at 28 days, day 90 and day 180 after the day of randomisation. Transport costs after discharge and for the follow-up visit will be reimbursed to parents/guardians. At follow up the clinician will complete a symptom checklist and targeted physical examination including anthropometry. A medical history since last visit will enquire about hospital re-admissions (to be reported as a SAE) and conducted a neurological examination and neurocognitive function assessment (using the Developmental Milestones Checklist and an adaptation of the Kilifi Developmental Inventory). The KDI assessment which covers three broad domains of child functioning: motor, language and personal–social development which are stratified by age group. Any participant that has developed a denovo neurological deficit or fails to attain any one or more of the three developmental milestones will be classified as having a neurocognitive deficit (secondary endpoint). Children lost to follow-up before 6 months will be traced for vital status (using locator data and mobile telephone contacts taken prior to discharge).

Trial product and storage
Ready-to-use therapeutic food (RUTF) paste is a high-energy fortified food used for the treatment of SAM. It is peanut-based paste, with added sugar, vegetable oil, skimmed milk powder, and added minerals and vitamins. The RUTF for the trial, donated by UNICEF in Kenya (Plumpy’nut®) is supplied by Nutriset (France). In Uganda the alternative source of RUTF, that is provided through the national nutrition programme is RATUFA, locally manufactured by Reco Limited, Kampala, Uganda. For both feeds the 92 g sachets provide 500 kcal per sachet which has a nutritional value equal to that F-100 therapeutic milk, which is recommended for nutritional rehabilitation of children with SAM. The feed can be taken direct from the sachet, as it needs no preparation or dilution prior to use. It should be stored, ideally at less than 30°C and it can be used for up to 24 months after the date of manufacture. With respect to quality control the RUTF paste should have a pleasing sweet, fresh flavour, free from foreign odours and flavours such as, but not limited to burnt, scorched, rancid, malted, sour, or stale. RUTF paste should have cream to light or orange brown colour. The RUTF paste should not have a dull, grey tinge, or another abnormal cast. It should show no evidence of excessive heating (materially darkened or scorched).

### Table 1. Trial assessment schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIMEPOINT</th>
<th>Day of admission</th>
<th>48 hours</th>
<th>Hospital discharge</th>
<th>Day 28</th>
<th>Day 90</th>
<th>Day 180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENROLMENT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility screening</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomisation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENTS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission data</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory tests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometry*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay data</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary outcomes</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including triceps skinfold thickness (TSF)
Serious adverse event
All adverse events that occur between randomisation and 180 days post-randomisation are recorded in the patient medical notes, on the COAST-Nutrition paper CRFs and on the web-enabled trial database. The information recorded includes date and time of event onset, severity and relatedness of the SAE to trial treatment. SAEs will be reported to KCTF using an SAE form and sent electronically within 24 hours of becoming aware of the event. Sites also reported all SAEs as required by their local REC and/or local policies. All SAEs must be followed-up until resolution. Prolonged hospitalisation was considered for children remaining longer that 14 days. A follow-up SAE report is required within five days of the initial report if the SAE was not resolved at the time the initial report was submitted.

SAEs are reviewed immediately by a designated physician (SAE reviewer) in the KCTF and periodically by the ERC. If the event is evaluated by either the PI or the SAE reviewer as an unexpected and related SAE, the KCTF will therefore submit a report to the appropriate ethics committees within 15 calendar days.

KCTF provides safety information to the Chief Investigator, Trial Steering Committee (TSC) and Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) for review on a regular basis (as deemed necessary).

Ancillary studies
Economic analysis
The economic evaluation will be conducted from the health services perspective. This will include the costs of medication, laboratory tests as well as the ‘hotel’ costs of hospitalisation.

In the economic analysis of the nutritional supplementation clinical outcomes and relative costs for participants compared to those not receiving supplementation (control) will be compared. The trial dataset, and other published on datasets in other trials of acutely sick children will estimate resource utilisation and unit cost data (e.g. basic costs, literature and other health-economic data) to support the health economic analysis.

Molecular pathogen diagnostics
The main aim of this study is to identify the role of bacteria in the aetiology of lethal pneumonia in African children. The detailed methods have been previously reported. Briefly, 16S ribosomal deoxyribonucleic acid (16S rDNA), common to all species of bacteria, will be detected with using a broad-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and specific quantitative PCR (qPCR) will quantify the 16S rDNA subunit to directly measure the number of bacteria. We will select specific primers to ensure that qPCR is less vulnerable to background contaminants increase sensitivity. White and red cell pellets taken at enrolment into a 2ml EDTA bottle and stored at -80°C, prior to shipping to the molecular diagnostics laboratory in Kilifi where will perform batched assays of standard 16S rDNA PCR together with a panel of 10 qPCR reactions (that target Enterobacteriaceae, a panel of anaerobes, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus and group A streptococcus). We aim to compare the range of pathogens identified in cases (deaths) and controls (survivors) frequency matched by age group, study site and season.

Respiratory viral diagnostics
Respiratory viruses (RV) are a major cause of acute lower respiratory tract infections worldwide, although less is known about RSV’s role in severe life-threatening disease and mortality associated with pneumonia. Since the trial includes deferred consent samples can be obtained from the sickest children, who at high mortality risk, thus provides an opportunity to elucidate the role of RSV in severe life-threatening pneumonia and mortality.

Ribonucleic acid (RNA), extracted from nasal/oropharyngeal swabs taken at admission will be screened for a broad range of RVs, including influenza viruses, Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), coronaviruses and rhinoviruses by multiplex real time PCR assay system. Partial and/or whole genome sequencing (WGS) of the virus positive samples, including RSV and influenza.

From these data we will estimate prevalence and seasonal patterns respiratory viruses (RV) in this high-risk group and estimate of the risk of death in RV positive relative to negative children;

Host genetics
Children with sickle cell disease (SCD) are at higher risk than children without SCD of hospitalisation with severe and very severe pneumonia. We propose that sickle cell status is may determine study outcomes. At the end of the trial we will batch process, using PCR, all white and red cell pellets shipped in Kilifi to describe the distribution of homozygous Sickle Cell Disease (Haemoglobin HbSS) and compare trial outcomes in children with SCD, sickle cell trait (HbAS) and normal genotype. Genotype status will be shared with local PIs who will share these with families/children enrolled in the study.

Anthropometry sub-study
Malnutrition and undernutrition are associated with a major decrease of muscle mass, which is decreased more than other tissues in relation to body weight. This may also have an effect on the capacity of the child to ventilate adequately in case of hypoxia. A specific effect of a reduced muscle mass on mortality has been suggested by a study in adult patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease showing that arm muscle area is more closely related to outcome than total lean body mass. The importance of this possible contribution of reduced muscle mass as a factor determining outcome has not been properly assessed in children suffering from pneumonia.

We aim to assess the role of muscle mass as a factor influencing recovery of children with pneumonia. All children will have their mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) and triceps skinfold thickness (TSF) measured at enrolment into the study as part of their initial assessment as well as on follow-up
appointments i.e. discharge, day 28, 90 and 180. Arm muscle circumference (AMC) and arm muscle area (AMA) and arm fat area (AFA) will be calculated as previously described\textsuperscript{31,32} (Figure 2). These variables will be used as proxy of muscle and fat masses. In addition to these measurements, we will measure participants’ bio impedance analysis (BIA) using the Bodystat Quadscan 4000 multi-frequency BIA Technology machine. BIA a simple non-invasive method which determines fat-free mass (FFM) or lean body weight and total body. Weight for height (WFH) and serum creatinine (a possible proxy indicator for muscle mass) and cystatin-c (to control for acute changes in renal function due to dehydration or shock) will also be part of the initial assessment. The analysis will be done on the whole sample, independently of randomisation group.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of MUAC, AMC, AMA, AFA, WFH, serum creatinine on admission will be examined with death and treatment failure after 48h of treatment as outcome. The area under the curve (AUC) will be compared for all these ROC curves.

Putative biomarkers of pneumonia

Since WHO clinical criteria for identification of pneumonia are very broad, prioritising sensitivity over specificity\textsuperscript{33}, this results in the over diagnosis of the clinical syndrome of pneumonia, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa\textsuperscript{34}. In order to inform a policy of targeting treatments to those who will receive the most benefit this sub-study aims to establish whether incorporating within the COAST-Nutrition trial an evaluation of previously validated point-of-care tests and other biomarkers refines the diagnosis of pneumonia in children.

All children in the trial have, following consent plasma stored at admission and a chest radiograph. We will conduct the following assays: c-reactive protein, procalcitonin; neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin previously been shown to be more specific for bacterial infection and pneumonia\textsuperscript{35–38} and any new novel biomarker identified through a literature search.

A dedicated clinician will be trained to review the chest x-rays and report them according to WHO standardised interpretation of chest radiographs. The WHO methodology has since been adopted by many studies of vaccine efficacy\textsuperscript{40} and descriptive epidemiology of pneumonia cases\textsuperscript{41} and with training has good inter observer agreement for the detection of ‘any consolidation’ in other pneumonia studies\textsuperscript{41} and evaluations of the WHO methodology. We will use WHO standardised methodology for interpretation of paediatric chest X-ray, which will be evaluated by 2 independent observers (one radiologist and the clinician/research fellow). All interpretations of x-rays and laboratory assays will be done blind to the child’s clinical diagnosis and randomisation strategy.

A retrospective analysis will investigate whether

1. Point of care tests and/or biomarkers alone or in combination with clinical signs can classify individual into groups with defined characteristics e.g. radiographic consolidation to assist with refining the primary and secondary endpoints for the trial.

2. Point of care tests and biomarkers alone or in combination with clinical signs in children with and without radiographic pneumonia correlate reliably with bacterial and viral pathogens identified in the relevant ancillary studies (see above)

3. whether biomarker prediction can refine case definitions and linking these to the primary and secondary outcome of the interventions in the trial (ie stratify risk/benefit and/or identify specific subgroups).

**Trial outcome measures**

**Primary outcomes**

Primary outcome is change in mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) at 90 days as a composite with 90-day mortality.

**Secondary outcomes**

The key secondary outcome measures are:

- Survival to 28 days and 180 days (6 months)
- Disability-free survival to 28 days
- Re-admission(s) to hospital by 28 and 180 days

---

**Figure 2. Schematic cross section upper arm.** Muscle circumference (MC) can be estimated from MUAC and skinfold thickness (SF) by the formula: \( MC = MUAC - \pi SF \) (Jelliffe 1969)\textsuperscript{31}. The muscle arm area (MA) can be calculated with the formula (Rolland-Cachera et al., 1997)\textsuperscript{32}:

\[
MA = \frac{(MUAC)^2}{4\pi} - MUAC \times SF/2.
\]
• Neurocognitive sequelae at 90 days
• Anthropometric status by 28 days, day 90 and day 180 (MUAC, TST and WHZ)
• Adverse events associated with RUTF

**Data monitoring committee**
An independent DMC (see composition at the end of the protocol) will meet to review unblinded data after randomisation of 420 children. They will review enrolment, safety, adherence to the trial protocol and efficacy data in strict confidence. Their terms are covered in the DMC charter, signed by the chair and trial statistician. The DMC is comprised of a chair, an independent statistician and two other independent members. None declared conflicts of interest. The DMC will provide advice on the conduct of the trial to the Trial Steering Committee (TSC). Guidelines to recommend early termination will be based on a Peto-Haybittle stopping rule (P<0.001). A recommendation to discontinue recruitment, in all participants or in selected subgroups, will be made only if the results are likely to convince the general clinical community and participants in the COAST-Nutrition trial.

**Onsite monitoring**
The main coordinating centre for trial monitoring will be the Clinical Trial Facility in KWTP, Kilifi. Monitors independent from the trial will set out in a monitoring management Plan that will determine the frequency of visits and the degree of source document verification against the case record forms. Monitors will attend the site initiation visits (SIV) and observe trial procedures training, and oversee reporting guidelines for adverse events of study interventions. Trial teams are required to have formal GCP training which incorporate specific operation guidance for the COAST-Nutrition trial. On-site monitoring visits will occur annually. Details of the scope of the monitoring visits have previously been reported.

**Statistical analysis**
The analyses will be described in detail in a full statistical analysis plan. This section summarises the main issues. *Stata* version 16.1 will be used to analyse the data. The primary outcome (change in MUAC base line as a composite with mortality to day 90) will be analysed using rank-based methods (Mann-Whitney test). The individual components of the composite endpoint (change in MUAC in patients surviving to day 90, and mortality by day 90) will be additionally reported by arm, and compared using both unadjusted (unpaired t-test and log-rank test) and adjusted methods (generalised linear models and Cox regression, adjusting for treatment allocation and trial site).

Secondary outcomes will be analysed using generalised linear models, (with the same model structure as for the primary outcome above, and corrected for a limited number of pre-specified clinically important admission variables), as follows:

• Re-admission to hospital by 28 days, neurocognitive sequelae at 28 days and disability-free survival to 28 days
and day 180 will be analysed as binary outcomes using logistic regression, with all deaths included in the failure group.

- Length of initial hospital stay and anthropometric status will be analysed as continuous outcomes using linear regression.
- Survival to 28 and 180 days will be analysed as a time-to-event outcome using a Cox proportional hazards model.
- Resolution of neurocognitive sequelae at 90 days will be analysed as a binary outcome using logistic regression, only among those with neurocognitive sequelae at 28 days.

Additional hypothesis-generating analyses will investigate whether there is any evidence for a different impact of the interventions according to the following categorical variables: fever; malaria; microbiological evidence of sepsis (blood culture or retrospective molecular diagnosis); radiographic evidence of pneumonia; HIV; severe anaemia (haemoglobin <5g/dl); and undiagnosed sickle cell disease. Subgroup analyses will be conducted by testing the significance of interaction terms in the regression models as specified above.

**Ethics statement**

Ethical approval has been obtained from Imperial College London Research Ethics Committee (15IC3100); School of Medicine Makerere University REC (2016-030 and the amendment 2020-155) in Uganda and KEMRI Scientific and Ethics Review Unit KEMRI/SERU/CGMRC-C/0053/3300 and amendment C 215/4109 in Kenya. The trial will be conducted in accordance with the recommendations for research on human subjects in the Declaration of Helsinki, the ICH-GCP guidelines (E6(R1), 1996) and the applicable national regulations.

The trial was registered on ISRCTN (ISRCTN10829073, 6th June 2018) and on the Pan African Clinical Trials Registry (PACTR202106635355751, 2nd June 2021).

**Safety**

The randomised trial will be conducted in children who are most likely to benefit from the treatment. Children with SAM will not be enrolled in the trial as they will all receive full nutritional support. Any child developing SAM during follow up will be referred to the nutritional services for treatment. Infants under 6 months are excluded as they should be exclusively breast fed. We will minimise the risks of cannula insertion and phlebotomy by pretrial training in phlebotomy technique and regular cannula site inspection which is included in a standard operating procedure. Less than 1ml/kg of blood will be drawn for research purposes at any one time.

**Benefits**

Pretrial training of the dedicated study team will include specific training on general management of severe malaria and its complications. A manual of operations will provide clear management guidelines as well as the details of trial conduct and procedures. Children enrolled in the trial will therefore receive a higher quality of care than those managed routinely. Following discharge the parents/children will be encouraged to keep in touch with the clinical coordinator and if their child is ill to return to clinic/hospital so they can be assessed and treated (or admitted to hospital) by the COAST-Nutrition team.

**Plans for dissemination of the study outcomes**

**Public engagement**

Results from this trial will be disseminated locally through community meetings and national meetings with the wider healthcare professional community. These systems have been developed for dissemination of MRC FEAST and TRACT trial results and will be adapted to dissemination for the COAST-Nutrition trial.

**National and international policymakers**

The principal investigators for the COAST-Nutrition trial have discussed the study with Ministry of Health staff and nutritional services. When the results are available, we will provide a summary briefing highlighting the trial results in different and what then next steps will be. Whilst the current study will go some way towards addressing whether nutritional support could be used as supportive therapy following an admission with severe pneumonia future trials should consider pragmatic designs to ensure results are applicable to health services in Africa.

**Discussion**

Severe pneumonia remains a key cause of paediatric admission in many countries in Africa. Both short term and long-term outcomes are poor. Early and supplementary feeding to avert post-discharge mortality in those surviving hospital admission has been recommended but not yet tested in clinical trials. However, there is no specific guidance given on what type of nutritional support should be given and for how long.

In 2017 the investigator group initiated the Children’s Oxygen Administration Strategies Trial (COAST) funded by MRC/DFID/ Wellcome Trust’s Joint Global Health Trials which was designed to simultaneously evaluate two related oxygenation strategies in 4200 children to reduce short-term mortality at 48-hours (primary endpoint) and longer-term morbidity and mortality to 28 days in a large Phase III trial with a factorial design. In 2017 the trial group received co-funding from the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP) to maximise the impact of the trial infrastructure using the platform to enroll children into a further trial (COAST-Nutrition) at 48-hours examining whether supplemented feeding to Day-56 improves 90-day survival. Additional objectives of the study included evaluation whether point-of-care tests and other biomarkers could predict clinical and radiologically-defined pneumonia in those with viral and bacterial aetiologies in order to refine endpoints for the COAST trial and future targeting of definitive and supportive therapies (including tailoring antibiotic management in hospitals lacking microbiology services). Thus, the objectives the
COAST and COAST-Nutrition trials were designed to evaluate two major barriers to improving in-patient and post-discharge mortality. This was by evaluating in a pragmatic randomised controlled trial (RCT) two linked components of oxygen delivery (which could form an integrated treatment package for oxygen administration in hospitals with no access to mechanical ventilation) and improved nutritional support post-discharge to improve long-term outcome. An integrated economic evaluation was included both to identify whether the additional costs of each of the interventions are proportionate to the health benefits and to inform future widespread implementation in terms of value for money. A major reason for including both questions into one RCT is efficiency - each targeting a different mechanism for reducing mortality and morbidity and it is more economical to run one large RCT, COAST, than two separate RCTs. At the time the trial was designed and started we searched ISRCTN and Trials.gov and found that there were currently no randomised controlled trials (RCT) assessing either the targeted use of oxygen therapy (including threshold for giving oxygen) in children or assessing nutritional support in children hospitalised with putative pneumonia.

The start of recruitment into the COAST Nutrition trial in Kenya was on 12th August 2018 and in Uganda on date 28th November 2018. Enrolment into the trial was slow owing to repeated stoppages of the COAST trial in Uganda. After the 5th stoppage the TSC recommended the COAST oxygen trial to terminate, based on feasibility but recommended the COAST Nutrition trial to continue once the protocol had been amended (see relevant sections below). The results of the COAST oxygen trial have now been published as well as an editorial authored by members of the TSC.47,48

Trial status
Trial enrolment restarted in November 2020 in Ugandan sites, after a long break due to the stoppage of COAST main study by the TSC in February 2020 and the interruption of research caused by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. We had to submit the study as a new proposal to School of Medicine Research Ethics Committee (SOMREC) and Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (UNCST) and were finally granted approval on 8th September 2020 and 10th October 2020, respectively. We also submitted the revised protocol to the Kenyan ethics and regulatory authority and were granted approval on 20th November 2020 and 29th March 2021. Coupled with challenges due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic the recruitment has been significantly slow. To date 420 children have been enrolled into the COAST-Nutrition trial.

Protocol version changes
The original protocol was submitted as an oxygen strategies trial. In 2017 we received additional funding from EDCTP to add in a second randomisation at 48 hours to the nutritional intervention COAST Nutrition. The protocol was therefore amended, amendment version 3.0 dated 21/08/2017 to include COAST Nutrition and was granted full approval by ICREC on 27th September 2017, further minor revisions which were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version no.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amendment no.</th>
<th>Protocol Section (no./title)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v1.0</td>
<td>25th January 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>COAST protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v2.0</td>
<td>7th July 2016</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Blood gases dropped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v2.1</td>
<td>11th January 2017</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>One investigator change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v3.0</td>
<td>14th August 2017</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>COAST nutrition details included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4.0</td>
<td>17th February 2020</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>COAST Nutrition only; oxygen strategies dropped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Appendix 3 Data Management Plan COAST Nutrition.pdf

Reporting guidelines

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “‘No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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Many thanks for asking me to review this manuscript; I hope the comments and requested clarifications are helpful.

This article describes a phase 2 randomised controlled trial of supplemental feeding with Ready to Use Formula (RUTF) following hospital admission for severe pneumonia in children aged 6 to 12 months in Kenya and Uganda. The composite endpoint includes a summary measure of nutrition (Mid Upper Arm Circumference) and 90 day mortality. The comparator is usual nutrition (control arm). The history of the protocol is complex, having been previously combined with an RCT of oxygen support (COAST) which has now been discontinued for reasons of non-feasibility secondary to low recruitment rates. This article describes both this, and presents a definitive description of the nutrition only component (COAST-Nutrition).

The rationale for nutrition support is supported by generally poor quality evidence, and descriptions of current practice. While the authors do note that “Early nutritional support is commonly practices in a wide variety of critically ill patients, including those with sepsis”, it would be preferable to cite evidence rather than practice. Where evidence is missing, or contradictory or confused (which is probably the case for sepsis at present), this should be more clearly stated. There are some data from a Kenyan paediatric cohort (Ngari et al.) used partly to justify the potential for nutritional support to alter mortality. These data do show a strong association of nutrition (and HIV) with death. However, the analysis here examined the association in a group which are a priori excluded from the COAST Nutrition trial (severe acute malnutrition is an exclusion criteria), and this should be made clear.

The trial is general is well and comprehensively described. I am unsure whether the trial is truly intended to be a phase 2 trial – it contains more participants than might usually be expected for phase 2, although it does have an efficacy endpoint. Given this, it would be useful to clarify language in the manuscript. For example, “This trial... will provide preliminary evidence for health services in Africa on the basis of clinical effectiveness and costs” could be confused by the use of
“effectiveness” here. Similarly, “cost-effectiveness outcomes” in Table 1 might be better reflected as by the term “cost economic analysis used elsewhere in the document”.

**Consent:** There is some justification later in the document for deferred consent, and this seems appropriate. However, in the main “Consent process” this should be made explicit and more clearly described.

**SAE reporting:** The process for SAE reporting and decision making is not clear. It is highly likely that there will be many SAEs (mortality is high), and it is noted that the clinical coordinator is responsible for reporting, and that the data monitoring committee will review at half-way to completion. In the interim periods, are there mechanisms for review of these, and who are they reported to. Another large source of SAEs is likely to be events which cause prolongation of hospital stay – how will these be ascertained and recorded?

**Outcomes:** These are well described. The use of the Developmental Milestones Checklist needs to be specified i.e. as “no neurocognitive deficit” is a secondary outcome; how will the tool be used to generate this binary variable?

**Notification of clinical information:** Sickle cell outcomes will be determined by batch processing after completion. However, this represents important clinical information which has repercussions for clinical care. What is the mechanism for getting this information back to children and their families?

**Data sharing:** After completion of the study, the trial management team may not be coherent or properly constituted. If requests for data are channelled is this manner, what is the longer term plan to make the data accessible?

**Minor issues:**
- Definitions are not always laid out clearly. The term “very severe pneumonia" is used in the introduction – is this conflated with the IMCI “very severe disease” category of having a general danger sign? Similarly, while the WHO definition of “severe pneumonia” may be widely known, it would be helpful to restate this here.
- The recommendation for RUTF in severe acute malnutrition of 175-200kcal/kg/day should be referenced more clearly. I can find it in neither of the adjoining references, but perhaps this comes from the Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children?
- Nutritional discharge criterion is currently parenthesised as “based on lack of oedema or MUAC >=12.5cm”. Would the authors please check – I think both resolution of oedema and weight thresholds are required.
- Randomisation and blinding: In terms of blinding, please clarify “laboratory tests are to be performed in a blinded manner” – who is being blinded, and how? Given that anthropometry is important to the outcome ascertainment, who will perform this and is there any blinding possible here? It would be helpful to know the method of randomisation e.g. is there a pre-specified block allocation?
- RUFT should be corrected to RUTF in the abbreviations section.
There is a “X” indicating randomisation at day of admission in Table 1 – I think this may be an error.

**Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?**
Yes

**Is the study design appropriate for the research question?**
Yes

**Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?**
Yes

**Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?**
Not applicable

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** I am an adult general physician and clinical researcher with experience of cohort studies and RCTs in sub-Saharan Africa.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
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Author Response 01 Oct 2021

Kathryn Maitland, KEMRI Wellcome TRust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

Thank you Dr Rylance for the very detailed and helpful review.
I have clarified our scope of health economic assessment and agree cost effectiveness does seem to be too definitive and therefore I am amended accordingly.
I have added details on the consent process, added a section on SAE reporting, clarified how neurocognitive outcomes will be assigned; added that the sickle cell genetic results will be sent to the PI for communication to the families; added more details on contacts for data sharing.

**Minor issues**
I have added the reference for definition of VSP (and included in the inclusion criteria) the details of the criteria. I have added a reference to the recommendations for 175-200cal/kg/day; amended the nutritional discharge criterion to MUAC> 12.5 AND lack of oedema. I have added in more details to who is blind to randomisation and that the clinic visits are conducted by the study team who are aware of the randomisation group. Included in the statistical section is more details on computer generated block randomisation.

**Competing Interests:** none to declare
Carina King  
Global Public Health, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

The COAST-Nutrition trial protocol lays out an interesting, and relevant study, to try and understand how we can improve survival in children with severe pneumonia. I had a few comments for clarifications in the methods presented:

- In the sample size, the trial registration indicates that 2200 children were originally planned. Could the change from that to 840 be expanded on?

- Randomisation: how are the sealed opaque envelopes distributed from Kilifi to the other three study sites securely? And was the 1:1 stratified by site, or overall?

- In the ISRCTN trial registration, it mentions that participants are children taking part in the COAST trial. Were all study arms of this trial eligible?

- And following on, was consent for the two different trials taken separately, with the opportunity to take part in one but not the other? The explanation of how the two trials are linked in the discussion section was super helpful, and it might help clarify this aspect if this appears earlier on in the paper.

- Could more information on how the RUTF is supplied be given (i.e. are caregivers given all 56 days worth of RUTF on discharge, or are they required to return to the hospital to pick it up). Is adherence to feeding in the intervention arm and caregiver/child experiences/perspectives measured?

- Information on how standardisation of clinical and anthropometric assessments at recruitment and follow-up was done across the different sites would be helpful (e.g. training, quality checks, data cleaning).

- In the follow-up assessments, is the assessor blinded to the allocation status of the child?

- I didn’t quite understand how the change in MUAC (continuous?) would be combined with mortality (binary?) into a single composite primary outcome variable. Apologies if I’ve misunderstood this.

Minor edits:
- Abstract: I think "cost-economic analysis" might be a typo?
- Introduction: "Relevant to the question COAST-Nutrition trial seeks to address is children
hospitalised with clinical diagnosis of very severe pneumonia (VSP), who had the second highest hazard ratio for post-discharge mortality (after malnutrition) of 4.1 (95%2.3-7.5)" a few words are missing in this sentence.

- Introduction: remove "ration 1:1" in the aim statement at the end.

- Objective (iii): is not written as an objective.

- Objective (iv): can you be more specific with the "overtime" component of this objective? I presume day 90 and 180?

- Inclusion criteria: it would be helpful to explicitly list the signs of severe pneumonia used, particularly to be clear on whether severely malnourished children classified by WAZ but not MUAC would be excluded.

- For the ages, in Figure 1 you have >6 months to <12 years, but in the text, you have between 6 months and 12 years, so it is not 100% clear if 6 months olds and 12 year olds are included.

- Outcomes: typo in the statement "Re-admission to hospital by 28 and day 180 days"

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?
Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?
Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Epidemiologist

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 01 Oct 2021
Kathryn Maitland, KEMRI Wellcome TRust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

Thank you Dr King for a very helpful and detailed review.
With respect to the sample size changing I have included in the relevant section why the sample size was revised as the MUAC change of 0.5 was thought to be more clinical relevant.

The 1:1 randomisation was stratified by site in block randomisation. The randomisation envelopes were prepared in Kilifi in batches and then sent by courier to the respective sites. Envelopes had a signature over the seal and could not be used if the seal had been tampered with or broken.

Yes all children except those < 6 months of age and those with severe malnutrition were included in the factorial trial. Initially children were randomised to oxygen strategies then at 48 hours eligible children randomised to nutritional strategies. The oxygen trial was terminated (detailed in the protocol manuscript) and the protocol was amended so children are only randomised to nutritional strategies.

The consent and patient information sheets are included in the supplemental files. All children were eligible for both trials (see above) except those with were excluded for age (children 6 months and below are meant to exclusively breast fed) and children with malnutrition.

I have included in the section on nutritional feeds how we supply these in the trial. We are not collecting details on whether the supplement is being taken by the child and experiences/perspectives.

All the study centres use a detailed manual of operations, together with pre and peri trial training which includes a detailed section on how to assess the anthropometric measures. All data are double data entered and discrepancies resolved with trial managers.

I have now specified in the protocol that at follow up children are assessed by the clinical team who are aware of the nutritional randomisation.

For the co-primary endpoint death (bivariate outcome) is treated as the worse scenario as a competing outcome with change of MUAC from admission to Day 90 (which is continuous measure)

**Minor comments**
Thank you for flagging typos and confusing sentences. These have all been addressed. Included in the inclusion criteria I have added as a foot note the definitions of severe pneumonia from the WHO Pocket Handbook for inpatient management.
I have also clarified children over (> ) 6 months are eligible to children aged 12 years.
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