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Abstract

Background: Maternal postnatal depression (PND) is a risk factor for offspring depression in adulthood. However, few longitudinal studies have examined the role of maternal nurturing parenting behaviours in the association between maternal PND and offspring depression in adulthood.

Methods: We examined pathways from maternal PND measured using self-reported Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale at 8 weeks to offspring ICD-10 depression diagnosed using the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised computerised assessment at 24 years through maternal-reported nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, sleeping and crying measured from pregnancy to age 3 years 6 months in 5,881 members of the UK-based birth cohort study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.

Results: The fully adjusted model revealed an indirect effect from...
PND to adult offspring depression through the combination of all parenting factors (probit regression coefficient $[\beta]=0.038$, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.005, 0.071); however, there was no evidence of a direct effect from early maternal PND to offspring depression once the indirect effect via parenting factors was accounted for ($[\beta]=0.009$, 95%CI -0.075, 0.093). Specificity analyses revealed indirect effects through maternal worries about feeding ($[\beta]=0.019$, 95%CI 0.003, 0.035, p=0.010) and maternal perceptions and responses to crying ($[\beta]=0.018$, 95%CI 0.004, 0.032, p=0.012).

**Conclusions:** The adverse impact of maternal PND on offspring depression in early adulthood was explained by maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping in early childhood. Residual confounding and measurement error likely limit reliable conclusions. If found causal, interventions providing support to reduce worries around maternal nurturing behaviours and treating depression could reduce adverse outcomes in adult offspring of depressed mothers.
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Introduction
Substantial research supports the association between maternal postnatal depression (PND) and increased risk of later psychological problems in offspring (Stein et al., 2014). However, few large prospective longitudinal studies have examined whether these adverse effects persist into adulthood beyond 18–20 years of age (Weissman et al., 2016). Furthermore, the offspring outcomes associated with maternal PND are heterogeneous and reported effect sizes are small to moderate (Stein et al., 2014). Thus, it is important to elucidate the long-term effects of maternal PND on offspring mental health beyond adolescence.

In addition, few studies have examined putative mediating factors that underly associations between parental and offspring depression. Insights into possible mediators would be crucial to identify children at greater risk and to develop targeted interventions to reduce adverse outcomes in offspring of depressed mothers. A substantial body of evidence suggests that an important potential mediator is the quality of parenting (Sanger et al., 2015; Stein et al., 2014). Specifically, PND disrupts maternal sensitivity (Murray et al., 2010) and is associated with less engaged parenting (Lovejoy et al., 2000), which is, in turn, associated with adverse offspring outcomes, including mental health problems (Bornstein, 2015).

Numerous studies have identified aspects of parenting that reflect affective, cognitive and physical symptoms of maternal PND (Lovejoy et al., 2000), including lower warmth, sensitivity and responsiveness (Bornstein, 2015). However, much less attention has been paid to the importance of everyday basic maternal nurturing activities that are essential to infant care, or their potential explanatory role in associations between maternal and offspring depression. Caring for infants, who are fully dependent on their parents, require high levels of caregiving involvement normally focused on meeting infants’ basic needs for feeding, responding to their crying, and managing their sleeping routines. Importantly, these basic needs are impossible to ignore, unpredictable and stressful, and thus may be particularly challenging for parents with depression. Approximately 20–25% of parents report problems feeding infants in the first 2 years (Lindberg et al., 1991) with food refusal and fussiness being common features (Young & Drewett, 2000). Infant crying peaks in the first 3 months, including an increase in prolonged night-time crying (Walker & Menahem, 1994). Initiating and maintaining child sleep is a persistent issue during the first years, challenging parents with long evening sleep rituals, waking at night and coming into the parental bed (Stoleru et al., 1997; Wolke, 2003). Although feeding, crying and sleeping patterns in young children are highly variable, they nevertheless are universal features and challenges of early child development, thus calling for a range of parenting managements strategies.

Maternal PND is associated with child feeding, including food refusal and fussy eating (Coulthard & Harris, 2003), as well as maternal feeding behaviours (i.e., feeding style and practices), with depressed mothers resorting to more physical and verbal pressures and offering more incentives to encourage their children to eat (Haycraft et al., 2013). PND has also been associated with more crying per day (Milgrom et al., 1995), longer episodes of crying/fussing and increased crying bout frequency (Miller et al., 1993), as well as reduced sensitivity and responsiveness to infant crying (e.g., feeding, rocking and touching; Esposito et al., 2017). Similarly, ample evidence has established a link between maternal PND and sleep difficulties in infants and young children (Karraker & Young, 2007), including increased frequency of child awakenings (Warren et al., 2006). Sleeping difficulties may have an impact on how mothers approach bedtime routines, including regularity, sleep ecology (i.e., sleep location) and night waking behaviours.

Parenting is part of a transactional dynamic (Bornstein, 2009; Mills-Koonce et al., 2007) with children shaping parenting behaviour (Avinun & Knafo, 2014). Children who are more difficult to look after due to fussy eating, frequent crying, and poor sleep routines may evoke mood changes in caregivers and trigger more reactive and less consistent parenting (Stein et al., 2014). These so-called ‘evocative’ child effects are important to account for as findings regarding parental influences on child outcomes likely reflect child influences (Avinun & Knafo, 2014). This dynamic is of particular importance in the context of maternal and offspring depression, which share common genetic liabilities (Knafo & Jaffee, 2013).

Although quality of parenting is important for offspring growth and development (Smith, 2010), little is known regarding the role that maternal basic nurturing parenting behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping (henceforth referred to as ‘maternal nurturing behaviours’) play in the association between maternal and offspring depression. In the current study we address this gap by examining the impact of maternal PND on such nurturing parenting behaviours and estimating the extent to which the association between maternal PND and offspring depression at age 24 years is explained by early maternal nurturing behaviours using data from a large UK-based birth cohort study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Quantifying this association may inform preventative and intervention programmes given that parenting behaviours are modifiable (Kaminski et al., 2008). The richness of the ALSPAC data provides a unique opportunity to account for a number of factors associated with both maternal and offspring depression, including child polygenic score for neuroticism that may indicate genetic confounding. Our specific research questions were:

1. Is maternal PND associated with offspring depression at age 24 years?
2. Is any observed association between maternal PND and offspring depression mediated by maternal nurturing behaviours?

Methods
Study cohort
The sample comprised participants from the ALSPAC cohort. During Phase I enrolment, 14,541 pregnant mothers residing in the former Avon Health Authority in the south-west of England with expected dates of delivery between 1 April 1991...
and 31 December 1992 were recruited. The total sample size is 15,454 pregnancies, of which 14,901 were alive at 1 year of age. Our sample comprised 12,986 mothers with at least one parenting item. Ethical approval and informed consent for data collection were obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Details of specific ethics approvals are available on the website. Information about ALSPAC is available on the website, including a searchable data dictionary. Further details on the cohort profile, representativeness and phases of recruitment are described in three cohort-profile papers (Boyd et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013; Northstone et al., 2019).

Measures

**Exposure: maternal postnatal depression.** Symptoms of maternal PND were measured using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; Cox et al., 1987), a 10-item self-reported depression questionnaire validated for use during the perinatal period and posted to mothers at 8 weeks postnatally. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was used to derive a normally distributed latent trait based on 10-EPDS ordinal response items (see Methods S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

**Outcome: offspring depression.** Offspring depression was assessed using the computerised version of the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised (CIS-R; Lewis et al., 1992), a fully structured psychiatric interview widely used in the community samples. Participants were invited to attend a research clinic at age 24 years and complete computerised assessment to identify individuals with an ICD-10 diagnosis of depression (versus no diagnosis).

**Mediators: maternal nurturing behaviours.** Full details pertaining to item selection and development of factors encapsulating maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping are presented in Methods S1 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). We extracted items from maternal self-reported questionnaires administered from birth to age 3 years 6 months (8 occasions) capturing maternal feeding style and practices, perceptions and responses to crying, and strategies to regulate bedtime routine and sleep ecology. These items were entered into separate CFA models per each dimension.

**Potential confounders: child polygenic score for neuroticism, socioeconomic, parental and family characteristics.** Analyses were adjusted for child polygenic score (PGS) for neuroticism to account for possible genetic confounding (Stein et al., 2014). Neuroticism PGS has been found to be a robust predictor of a number of psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder in population-based samples (Docherty et al., 2016; Luciano et al., 2018). Genotyped data were available for 8,237 children in the ALSPAC (full details in Methods S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). In addition, disadvantaged socioeconomic status and marital conflict are strong risk factors for maternal PND (Leigh & Milgrom, 2008) and less optimal parenting practices (Dix & Moed, 2019; Hoff & Laursen, 2019). Thus, we adjusted for a range of potential confounding factors collected prospectively from maternal questionnaires during the antenatal period: highest maternal educational attainment (minimal education or none/compulsory secondary level (up to age 16 years; O-Level) versus non-compulsory secondary level (up to age 18 years; A-Level)/university level education), maternal age in years, family size (1 child versus ≥1 child), early parenthood (dichotomised as ≤19 years versus ≥ 20 years), perceived affordability of the cost of living (yes versus no), and parental conflict/aggression derived from questions asking how the mother and her current partner behaved towards each other (yes versus no).

Statistical analysis

**Latent factor model.** The hypothesised latent factor model is represented in Figure S1 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). Full details of latent factor model derivation, including the flow chart of items included into the CFA and derived factors and factor loadings are presented in Figure S2 and Table S1 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). In summary, items that were both theoretically relevant and showed standardised loadings (>0.15) on the relevant parenting dimension were included in a combined model using CFA with a robust Weighted Least Square (WLSMV) estimator to model categorical and continuous data (Brown & Moore, 2012). Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA; >0.06), Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI; >0.95) were used to evaluate model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1998). The chi-square test of overall fit is prone to model misspecification when sample size is large (Lomax & Schumacker, 2004); thus, we gave preference to relative fit indices.

**Direct and mediated effects.** Multifaceted constructs, such as different aspects of parenting, are challenging and important in mediation as each specific factor may relate differentially to the outcome (Gonzalez & MacKinnon, 2018). Full details of the mediation model to examine direct and indirect (mediated) effects are presented in Method S1 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). In summary, we examined the extent to which the association between maternal postnatal (8 weeks) and offspring (24 years) depression (direct effect) is mediated by specific factors related to maternal nurturing behaviours (0–3 years; indirect effects). Analyses of longitudinal mediation models were restricted to those with complete data on the child neuroticism PGS and antenatal confounders (n=5,881). We used Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) in Mplus v8.2 (Muthén & Muthén, 2015) with latent constructs of maternal depression and parenting to estimate unadjusted (Model 1: including exposure, outcome and mediator only) and incrementally adjusted models (Model 2: adjusted for child neuroticism PGS; Model 3: further adjusted for socioeconomic and maternal characteristics; Model 4: further adjusted for parental conflict; Table 1). Results from path analyses with binary outcome (offspring diagnosis of depression), including indirect effects, are presented as probit regression coefficients (hereafter referred to as B, details in Methods S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). Probit coefficients represent the predicted probability of the outcome (offspring depression) for a 1 unit increase in the exposure (maternal PND). Unadjusted and adjusted mediation models are presented as probit regression coefficients (hereafter referred to as B, details in Methods S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). Probit coefficients represent the predicted probability of the outcome (offspring depression) for a 1 unit increase in the exposure (maternal PND). Unadjusted and adjusted mediation models are presented as probit regression coefficients (hereafter referred to as B, details in Methods S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). Probit coefficients represent the predicted probability of the outcome (offspring depression) for a 1 unit increase in the exposure (maternal PND).
**Table 1.** Estimates of the direct and mediated effects in the specific factors mediator model unadjusted and adjusted for child PGS and antenatal confounders in complete sample (n=5,881; exposure: maternal depression modelled as a latent factor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect Size</th>
<th>Unadjusted model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
<th>Adjusted model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(B) [95% CI]</td>
<td>(P)-value</td>
<td>(B) [95% CI]</td>
<td>(P)-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a+b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a+b+c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a+b+c+d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total effect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression</td>
<td>0.082 [0.004, 0.160]</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.083 [0.005, 0.161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Direct effect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, accounting for all specific parenting factors</td>
<td>0.059 [-0.023, 0.141]</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.061 [-0.021, 0.143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total indirect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through all specific parenting factors</td>
<td>0.023 [-0.002, 0.048]</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.022 [-0.003, 0.047]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Specific indirect effects</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding style</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding practices</td>
<td>0.002 [-0.004, 0.008]</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.002 [-0.004, 0.008]</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worries about feeding</td>
<td>0.019 [0.005, 0.033]</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.019 [0.005, 0.033]</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions and responses to crying</td>
<td>0.004 [-0.002, 0.010]</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.004 [-0.002, 0.010]</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies to manage crying</td>
<td>-0.003 [-0.011, 0.005]</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>-0.003 [-0.011, 0.005]</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularity of bedtime routine</td>
<td>-0.001 [-0.005, 0.003]</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>-0.001 [-0.005, 0.003]</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep ecology</td>
<td>0.001 [-0.001, -0.003]</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.001 [-0.003, 0.001]</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Analyses restricted to individuals with complete data on child PGS and antenatal confounders; \(^{1}\) effect size are unadjusted and adjusted probit regression coefficients (\(B\) unstandardised); \(^{a}\) unadjusted model; \(^{b}\) adjusted for child PGS; \(^{c}\) adjusted for socioeconomic (maternal educational attainment, family size) and maternal (age, early parenthood) characteristics; \(^{d}\) further adjusted for parental conflict.

PGS: Polygenic Score for Neuroticism, CI: confidence interval.
are presented in Figure 1–Figure 2. We conducted sensitivity analyses with early diagnosis of offspring depression (CIS-R; Lewis et al., 1992) and depressive symptoms (MFQ; Messer et al., 1995) at 18 years as outcomes (Results S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

**Missing data: multiple imputation.** We conducted sensitivity analyses to examine the impact of missing data on our findings. Full description of the imputation method is presented in Method S1 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

**Results**

**Sample characteristics**

The characteristics of our study sample and prevalence of offspring depression at age 24 years by the presence of maternal PND are presented in Table S2 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). Maternal feeding, crying and sleep-related parenting behaviours were relatively highly correlated (Results S1, Table S3, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). The associations between PND and maternal nurturing behaviours, as well as maternal nurturing behaviours and offspring depression are described in Results S1 and Table S4 (Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)). In summary, maternal PND was strongly associated with several aspects of less optimal maternal nurturing behaviours. Maternal worries regarding child’s feeding ($β=0.113$, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.031, 0.195, $p=0.008$) and less sensitive perceptions and responses to crying ($β=-0.135$, 95%CI -0.233, -0.037, $p=0.006$) were associated with higher risk of offspring depression at age 24 years.

**Factors encapsulating maternal nurturing behaviours**

A model using CFA to fit latent factors capturing maternal nurturing behaviours suggested an adequate measurement model fit (RMSEA: 0.033, 95%CI 0.032 to 0.033; CFI/TLI: 0.926/0.918; Table 1S, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)) supporting further tests of structural paths (direct and mediated

![Figure 1. Hypothesised mediation model through seven specific factors capturing maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping, unadjusted. Note: Observed items and variables are represented by squares, whilst latent variables are represented by circles. Error terms covariances and individual items loading onto each specific factor are not represented to reduce figure complexity.](image-url)
Figure 2. Structural mediation model estimating the direct effect of maternal postnatal depression (8 weeks) on offspring depression (24 years) and the indirect effect through seven specific factors capturing maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping, adjusted for child PGS, socioeconomic (maternal educational attainment, family size), maternal (age, early parenthood) characteristics, and parental conflict. Note: Observed variables are represented by squares, whilst the latent variable is represented by a circle. Error terms covariances and individual items loading onto each specific factor are not represented to reduce figure complexity. All specific factors in the model were allowed to correlate. PGS: Polygenic Score for Neuroticism.

Effects. Seven factors representing maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping were derived (full details in Results S1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

**Factor 1 Feeding style:** maternal overall attitude regarding feeding, with higher factor scores representing maternal feeding style more concordant with authoritative parenting (i.e., higher levels of maternal responsiveness and appreciation of feeding routine).

**Factor 2 Feeding practices:** maternal approaches to feeding, with higher factor scores representing less pressured and restricting feeding behaviour (e.g., child is given a different meal when dislikes main meal).

**Factor 3 Worries about feeding:** maternal worries regarding child feeding, with higher factor scores representing higher levels of maternal worry regarding child’s feeding, so unlike other factors higher scores are predicted to confer greater risk.

**Factor 4 Perceptions of and responses to crying:** maternal feelings and behaviours in response to child crying, with higher factor scores representing more sensitive maternal responses to child’s crying.
**Factor 5 Strategies to manage crying:** maternal strategies to deal with child crying, with higher factor scores representing more optimal responses to child crying (e.g., giving milk rather than chocolate to stop crying).

**Factor 6 Regularity of bedtime routine:** maternal behaviours to regulate bedtime routine, with higher factor scores representing more maternal adherence to regular sleep routine and less reactive response to child non-compliance with bedtime routines.

**Factor 7 Sleep ecology:** maternal strategies to manage child sleep location and night waking behaviours, with higher factor scores representing more consistent and adaptive maternal responses to sleep location and child night waking behaviours (e.g., child sleeping in own bed and in own room, child put down to sleep at night and waking up in same room).

**Direct and mediated effects**

We estimated unadjusted and adjusted structural mediation models to examine the direct effect of maternal PND on offspring depression and the mediated effects through specific maternal nurturing behaviours whilst accounting for a range of confounders. Of 3,567 young adults with data on depression diagnosis at age 24 years, 384 had depression (10.8%, 95%CI 0.09, 0.12). Females (13.1%, 95%CI 0.12, 0.14) had higher prevalence of depression than males (6.9%, 95%CI 0.06, 0.08). There was some evidence of total indirect effect from early maternal PND to offspring depression at age 24 years through the combination of all specific maternal nurturing behaviours in the unadjusted (probit regression coefficient \(B = 0.023, 95\% CI -0.002, 0.048, p=0.072\)) and fully adjusted models (\(B = 0.038, 95\% CI 0.005, 0.071, p=0.027\)), although the 95% CIs were wide. There was some evidence of total effect in the unadjusted model (\(B = 0.082, 95\% CI 0.004, 0.160, p=0.040\)), which was substantially attenuated in the fully adjusted model (\(B = 0.046, 95\% CI -0.030, 0.122, p=0.240\)). In line with Loeys et al. (2015), these analyses may be more powered to detect indirect effect than total effect given that the indirect effect is composed of two proximal effects, whereas the total effect is more distal.

There was no evidence of a direct effect from maternal PND to offspring depression at age 24 years in the unadjusted (\(B = 0.059, 95\% CI -0.023, 0.141, p=0.156\)) and fully adjusted (\(B = 0.009, 95\% CI -0.075, 0.093, p=0.839\)) models once the indirect effect via maternal nurturing behaviours was accounted for (Table 1). There was some evidence for specific indirect effects through maternal worries about feeding (\(B = 0.019, 95\% CI 0.003, 0.035, p=0.010\)) and maternal perceptions and responses to crying in the fully adjusted models (\(B = 0.018, 95\% CI 0.004, 0.032, p=0.012\)). Sensitivity analyses using bias-corrected (BC) bootstrapping (\(n=1,000\); MacKinnon et al., 2004) to estimate indirect effects led to similar conclusions, albeit with higher \(p\)-values (Table S5, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

It was only possible to model the EPDS as a sum-score in imputed data analyses due to rare values on specific individual items. Thus, to investigate the impact of missing data we compared equivalent models in complete (n=5,881) and imputed (n=7,523) data sets using the EPDS as a sum-score. The results from the analyses with imputed data (Table 2; Results 1, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)) supported our findings: the total indirect effects were in the same direction and led to the same overarching conclusions as in the complete case analyses using EPDS as a sum-score (Table 3).

However, stronger evidence for the total indirect effect emerged in the imputed data analyses (Table 2; unadjusted model: \(B = 0.007, 95\% CI 0.001, 0.013, p=0.012\); fully adjusted model: \(B = 0.005, 95\% CI 0.001, 0.009, p=0.026\)) compared to complete case analyses (Table 3; unadjusted model: \(B = 0.007, 95\% CI -0.001, 0.015, p=0.088\); fully adjusted model: \(B = 0.006, 95\% CI -0.002, 0.015, p=0.071\)). The sensitivity analyses with diagnosis of depression (CIS-R; Lewis et al., 1992) and depressive symptoms (MFQ; Messer et al., 1995) at 18 years resulted in the same pattern of results, with stronger evidence for the direct effect in the fully adjusted model with depressive symptoms as an outcome (Results S1 and Table S6, Extended data (Culpin et al., 2021)).

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

In this population-based cohort study, we found some evidence for an association between maternal PND and increased risk of offspring depression at age 24 years, which was explained by maternal nurturing behaviours during early childhood. Maternal PND was associated with fewer maternal nurturing behaviours, which, in turn, were associated with increased risk of offspring depression. Indeed, in the fully adjusted model, there was evidence of an indirect pathway through maternal nurturing behaviours (albeit with wide 95% CIs), but no evidence of a remaining direct association between maternal and offspring depression. The indirect pathway was driven by two specific parenting factors, maternal worries about feeding and perceptions and responses to crying, although the effect sizes were notably small.

Our findings are consistent with previous longitudinal research linking parental depression with less optimal parenting behaviour (Suveg et al., 2011), which in turn increases the risk for offspring depression (Caron et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2001). Bifulco et al. (2002) found that maternal depression exerted no direct effect on offspring psychopathology once a mediating pathway through a composite measure of offspring neglect and abuse was accounted for. However, these studies focused on harsh dimensions of parenting (Bifulco et al., 2002; Johnson et al., 2001), with less emphasis on day-to-day parenting strategies geared to meet and attend to children’s basic nurturing needs. This study extends existing literature with evidence that variance in maternal nurturing behaviours may constitute an important explanatory mechanism of the association between maternal and offspring depression. The negative emotions that characterise maternal depression may be colouring the experience of day-to-day parenting and maternal responses to feeding and crying (Berg-Nielsen et al., 2002).
Table 2. Estimates of the direct and mediated effects in the specific factors mediator model unadjusted and adjusted for child PGS and antenatal confounders in imputed sample (n=7,523; exposure: maternal depression modelled as a sum-score).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect Size ¹</th>
<th>Model estimates (n=7,523)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted model ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B [95% CI]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. Total effect  
Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression | 0.020 [0.006, 0.034] | 0.003 | 0.020 [0.006, 0.034] | 0.003 | 0.013 [-0.001, 0.027] | 0.064 | 0.012 [-0.002, 0.026] | 0.076 |
| 2. Direct effect  
Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, accounting for all specific parenting factors | 0.013 [-0.001, 0.027] | 0.065 | 0.013 [-0.001, 0.027] | 0.064 | 0.007 [-0.007, 0.021] | 0.315 | 0.007 [-0.007, 0.021] | 0.336 |
| 3. Total indirect  
Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through all specific parenting factors | 0.007 [0.001, 0.013] | 0.012 | 0.007 [0.001, 0.012] | 0.013 | 0.006 [0.001, 0.009] | 0.027 | 0.005 [0.001, 0.009] | 0.026 |
| 4. Specific indirect effect ²  
Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through: | | | | | | | | |
| Worries about feeding | 0.003 [0.001, 0.005] | 0.015 | 0.003 [0.001, 0.005] | 0.016 | 0.003 [0.001, 0.005] | 0.016 | 0.003 [0.001, 0.005] | 0.017 |
| Perceptions and responses to crying | 0.001 [-0.001, 0.003] | 0.253 | 0.001 [-0.001, 0.003] | 0.253 | 0.001 [-0.001, 0.003] | 0.086 | 0.001 [-0.001, 0.003] | 0.078 |

Note: ¹ Effect size are unadjusted and adjusted probit regression coefficients (B unstandardised); ² to reduce table complexity, only results for specific indirect effect through maternal worries about feeding and perceptions and responses to crying are presented; ³ unadjusted model; ⁴ adjusted for child PGS (direct pathway between maternal and offspring depression only); ⁵ adjusted for socioeconomic (maternal educational attainment, family size) and maternal (age, early parenthood) characteristics; ⁶ adjusted further for parental conflict.

PGS: Polygenic Score for Neuroticism, CI: confidence interval.
### Table 3. Estimates of the direct and mediated effects in the specific factors mediator model unadjusted and adjusted for child PGS and antenatal confounders in complete sample (n=5,881; exposure: maternal depression modelled as a sum-score).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect Size</th>
<th>Unadjusted model</th>
<th>Adjusted model $^{a}$</th>
<th>Adjusted model $^{a+b}$</th>
<th>Adjusted model $^{a+b+c}$</th>
<th>Adjusted model $^{a+b+c+d}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B$ [95% CI]</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>$B$ [95% CI]</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>$B$ [95% CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total effect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression</td>
<td>0.016 [0.001, 0.032]</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.016 [0.001, 0.032]</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.010 [-0.006, 0.026]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Direct effect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, accounting for all specific parenting factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression</td>
<td>0.009 [-0.009, 0.027]</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.009 [-0.009, 0.027]</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.004 [-0.013, 0.022]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total indirect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through all specific parenting factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression</td>
<td>0.007 [-0.001, 0.015]</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.007 [-0.001, 0.015]</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.006 [-0.002, 0.014]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Specific indirect effect</td>
<td>Early maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression, through:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worries about feeding</td>
<td>0.004 [0.001, 0.008]</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.004 [0.001, 0.008]</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004 [0.001, 0.008]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions and responses to crying</td>
<td>0.001 [-0.001, 0.003]</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.001 [-0.001, 0.003]</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.002 [0.001, 0.004]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1. Effect size are unadjusted and adjusted probit regression coefficients ($B$ unstandardised); 2. to reduce table complexity, only results for specific indirect effect through maternal worries about feeding and perceptions and responses to crying are presented; $^{a}$ unadjusted model; $^{a+b}$ adjusted for child neuroticism score (direct pathway between maternal and offspring depression only); $^{a+b+c}$ further adjusted for socioeconomic (maternal educational attainment, family size) and maternal (age, early parenthood) characteristics; $^{a+b+c+d}$ further adjusted for parental conflict.

PGS: Polygenic Score for Neuroticism.
We found evidence of specificity for two parenting factors in mediating the mother-to-child depression effect, namely maternal worries about feeding and perceptions and responses to crying. Items around worries about feeding and crying were self-reported, which may not reflect actual maternal behaviours. However, what is shared between these two factors is emotional concern, which may be a critical component for the mediating mechanisms in the association between maternal and offspring depression. Arguably, measurement of parenting practices that reflects feelings, beliefs and perceptions may be better captured by parent-reports than independently observed behaviours (Smith, 2011). Mothers who experience depression are more prone to emotional dysregulation (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999), which may translate into inconsistent or harsh parenting. Parent and child co-regulate emotions in infancy (Calkins, 2011); thus, if the mother is feeling negative emotions when dealing with basic nurturing needs, the infant may also experience negative emotions. Consistent responsiveness to the infants’ needs also provides a predictable scaffolding, which empowers the infant to feel in control of their environment (Crittenden & Landini, 2015). If consistent responsiveness is disrupted, children’s self-regulatory competence may also be affected potentially contributing to long-term depression.

Strengths and limitations

Associations between parenting and infant feeding, crying and sleeping are complex and, most likely, bidirectional (Avimun & Knafo, 2014; Mills-Koonce et al., 2007). Our findings suggest that maternal PND is associated with maternal nurturing behaviours in early childhood. In line with transactional developmental models, infants with more difficult feeding, crying and sleeping patterns may also influence maternal PND (Murray et al., 1996). Examination of possible bidirectionality was outside the scope of the current study, but we accounted for possible evocative child effects and shared genetic liability for depression in mothers and offspring and related phenotypes, such as parenting experiences, by including genetic liability scores for neuroticism (Knafo & Jaffee, 2013). It should be noted that we only included genetic scores for neuroticism, which explained a small proportion of the variance in the outcome; thus, shared genetic variance in depression and parenting not captured by such scores is likely to play a role in the associations between PND, parenting and offspring depression, precluding causal interpretation.

The strengths of the study include a longitudinal design and a large community-based sample that enabled us to examine the long-term association between maternal PND and offspring depression in early adulthood, as well as elucidate possible transmission pathways. To our knowledge, no previous studies have examined maternal nurturing behaviours as possible explanatory mechanisms in the association between maternal and offspring depression. Furthermore, we utilised clinical diagnosis of offspring depression and accounted for a range of confounders, including child neuroticism PGS. Modelling basic maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping as a latent factor also enabled us to capture maternal behaviours across early childhood (birth to 3.5 years).

A limitation of the study relates to sample attrition, which is similar to that observed in other population-based studies (Boyd et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013). Sample attrition may have implications for internal validity, given that participants from lower socio-economic background and those with depression were under-represented in our complete sample. We addressed bias associated with selective attrition by controlling for factors known to predict missingness and by imputing missing data in our exposure, outcome and confounders. The results from the analyses with imputed data supported our findings with the total indirect effects being in the same direction compared to complete case analyses.

Non-independence of measurement and reporting bias, whereby maternal depression and parenting practices are reported by the same informant (the mother), is another limitation. Evidence suggests that depressed mothers may report more negative parenting (Burt et al., 2005), potentially biasing the indirect effects to the null and, therefore, over-estimating the direct effects. Arguably, reports of specific behaviours assessed using relatively neutral/functional items (e.g., ‘frequency child made to go to bed’) may be less susceptible to bias than global assessments of parenting style (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999). Even though maternal depression may influence reports of perceived worries surrounding parenting, the offspring depression outcome in our study was child-reported (i.e., no shared bias), suggesting that at a minimum, maternal reports of their parenting are more predictive of offspring outcomes than reports of maternal depression itself. Maternal depression in pregnancy and throughout childhood as a possible alternative mechanism should also be noted. The effect size of the association between maternal PND and offspring depression (direct effect) in our sample was small, suggesting that the accrued effects of exposure to chronic maternal depression across the child’s life may be of importance. Examination of timing and chronicity of maternal PND was not the focus of this paper and has been extensively explored in relation to offspring outcomes in ALSPAC (Netsi et al., 2018) and other population-based studies (Hammen & Brennan, 2003). Better powered studies are also needed to examine possible sex differences in maternal nurturing behaviours and their mediating role in the association between maternal PND and offspring depression.

Our measure of parenting was self-reported rather than independently assessed, potentially biasing the estimation of associations between some parenting behaviours and offspring depression (Kendler & Baker, 2007). However, we modelled parenting items across several time points, arguably capturing a more comprehensive picture of maternal nurturing behaviours compared to a one-off assessment. Although we adjusted for a range of possible measured confounders, residual confounding remains a possibility. The sizes of the associations were relatively small; however, in this study we looked at variance in maternal depression and parenting in the whole population sample where even small effects can have a meaningful impact.
(Bornstein, 2014). Finally, we did not explore measures of the fathers’ parenting behaviour, which are known to be important (Parke & Cookston, 2019).

Implications of the research
Our findings indicate that maternal nurturing behaviours may play an important role in the association between maternal PND and offspring depression in adulthood, even if indirect effect sizes were small. Further studies to examine whether these associations are causal are needed to strengthen these findings. If they are causal, interventions that identify and treat depression early, as well as enhance maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping to address worries and emotional reactivity around such activities, may contribute to reducing intergenerational transmission of mental health risk. This therapeutic strategy may be particularly important in light of evidence suggesting that parenting interventions focused on active acquisition of parenting skills and increased parenting confidence are effective in improving offspring development (Kaminski et al., 2008).

Data availability
Underlying data
ALSPAC data are available through a system of managed open access. The study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool data dictionary. The application steps for ALSPAC data access are highlighted below.

1. Please read the ALSPAC access policy, which describes the process of accessing the data in detail, and outlines the costs associated with doing so.

2. You may also find it useful to browse the fully searchable research proposals database, which lists all research projects that have been approved since April 2011.

3. Please submit your research proposal for consideration by the ALSPAC Executive Committee. You will receive a response within 10 working days to advise you whether your proposal has been approved.

If you have any questions about accessing data, please email alspac-data@bristol.ac.uk.

Extended data
Open Science Framework: Maternal postnatal depression and offspring depression at age 24 years in a UK-birth cohort: the mediating role of maternal nurturing behaviours concerning feeding, crying and sleeping. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RFESM (Culpin et al., 2021).

This project contains the following extended data:
- Supplementary_Maternal Nurturing.docx (Supplementary methods and results)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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This manuscript presents a timely and important analysis of relations between maternal postnatal depression and offspring depression in early adulthood (age 24). Strong points include the large sample size based on a UK-based birth cohort study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), the long-term prospective longitudinal research design, and the analysis of possible mediating effects of maternal basic nurturing parenting behaviors including worries about feeding and response to crying.

Analyses supported that adverse outcomes of maternal postnatal depression on offspring depression were mediated by early parenting behaviors.

The manuscript makes a very important contribution by calling attention to the point that maternal post-natal depression does not necessarily directly lead to long-term problems in youth as a direct effect but more likely leads to later depression or other problems by setting in motion family processes over time that increase consequent risk. In this instance, the data support that early parenting behaviors are a link in a chain of consequent causal processes that indirectly leads to later risk for offspring adult depression.

A future direction that the authors may want to encourage is more study of how maternal post-natal depression and consequent parenting problems may result in a pattern of multiple and possible complex cascading processes over time that contribute to increased risk for offspring adult depression. That is, it seems unlikely that early parenting problems directly lead to later adult depression but instead early parenting problems may set in motion other (at this point unknown) processes that contribute to heightened risk over time.

With regard to the manuscript, the authors may want to reconsider which figures and tables to include in the text or, alternatively, in the supplemental materials. For example, the inclusion of Figure S2, Tables S1 and S4, and Results S1 seem like they may be informative but some of the current materials (e.g., Figures 1 and 2) did not seem especially informative. I found myself a little frustrated at times when I could not find figures or tables in the text that seemingly would provide
valuable information.

The manuscript would also benefit from the addition of an "analysis plan" paragraph that briefly outlines the plan for analyses and perhaps the rationale for the analysis plan that is followed. The text presents the results of many analyses, including total effects, total indirect effects, direct effects, imputed analyses, adjusted and unadjusted models, sensitivity analyses, and other analysis directions, with sometimes seemingly contradictory results (e.g., a significant “total effect” – is that evidence for a significant direct effect?). I suspect all of the analyses presented make perfect sense but readers may benefit from a further explication of the analysis plan.
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This is a careful analysis of the association between maternal postnatal depression and offspring
depression at age 24. They use the large ALSPAC cohort. The authors examine the possible mediating effects of early maternal nurturing behaviour and find effects via feeding and response to crying. They suggest that this implies early intervention targeting these aspects of maternal behaviour may be beneficial.

It remains possible that these early nurturing behaviours are associated with parenting behaviour for the next 20 or more years, and these play a large part in the later offspring depression. This possibility is not discussed in the Discussion and should be.

It would be of interest to show the unadjusted risk of the offspring depression if the mother has an EPDS score of >/ 13. The authors say the effects they detect are small, but it would be of interest to also have some idea of the % of the variance of offspring depression they think may be mediated by the mother’s nurturing behaviour.

Figures 1 and 2 do not add much. They could be included in the Supplementary material, and their information covered in the text. It would be of more interest to include tables S2 and S3 in the main paper.
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