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Abstract

Background: Advice from health care professionals (HCPs) is critical for tobacco prevention and cessation efforts. The academic curricula for health care professional students (HCS) lack comprehensive training in assisting patients in tobacco cessation. Tobacco use among HCPs deters them from giving proper tobacco prevention and cessation guidance. The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and determinants of tobacco consumption in third-year students of four health care disciplines (medical, dental, pharmacy, and nursing) and to assess their undergraduate education on tobacco control and cessation.

Methods: A web-based survey (response rate 84.4%) employing the Global Health Professions Student Survey (GHPSS) questionnaire; n=838; [20.89 (SD± 0.94) years] was conducted in Mysore, India. A descriptive, comparative and multivariate analysis was performed.

Results: The number of students who received formal training in smoking cessation approaches was only 14.04%. In total, two-thirds of medical students, compared to 38.9% of dental, 29.7% of pharmacy, and 11.40 % of nursing students, learned “the reasons why people smoke” as a part of their formal training. However, only less than a quarter of the study population were aware of the role of antidepressants in nicotine cessation medications. The total prevalence of tobacco use was 28.9%. A total of 37.72% wanted to quit smoking, while a mere 1.2% had sought any professional help to do so.

Conclusions: Tobacco use in HCS, apart from personal health risks to
themselves, may potentially affect their future commitment to support patients in tobacco cessation. There is a need for further research into tobacco prevention and cessation programs tailored to the needs of student groups. There is a need for an updated curriculum for tobacco cessation strategies and more research into better cessation strategies.
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Introduction
People frequently fail to grasp the hazards of tobacco use, predominantly cigarette smoking. Over 1.3 billion adults are currently tobacco users with a total global prevalence of 29% of which 47.5% of men and 10.3% of women over the age of 15 years smoke1. Most people who use tobacco regularly do so because of nicotine’s addictive properties, which have been speculated to linked to arousal, mood modulation, performance enhancement, and associated analgesia2–4. Overcoming addiction is difficult, even though most users express a desire to reduce the use or stop entirely, and may require both pharmacologic and behavioral treatments5.

An abundance of studies has demonstrated that even brief, simple advice from health care professionals (HCPs) has a noticeable rise in tobacco cessation rates, putting them on the front lines of tobacco prevention and cessation efforts6–8. HCPs, along with health care professional students (HCS), can serve as role models and help educate the population. Further, at a societal level, they can influence national and global tobacco control policies and efforts9. Unfortunately, it has been estimated that only one out of every five patients is offered adequate assistance10. This can be primarily attributed to tobacco use in the HCP community, which deters them from giving proper tobacco prevention and cessation guidance11. In addition, the academic curricula for HCS lack comprehensive training in assisting patients in tobacco cessation techniques12. Furthermore, low- and middle-income countries in the regions of Africa and Asia, such as India, have been reported to lack a systemic approach to evidence-based nicotine cessation techniques in their academic framework13. Even though it is common practice to include tobacco use when taking the history of a person in clinical rotations, the topics of cessation efforts and guidance are seldom touched upon14.

The World Health Organization, The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the Canadian Public Health Association developed the Global Health Professions Students Survey (GHPSS)14, a standardized school-based survey of third-year students pursuing advanced degrees in dentistry, medicine, nursing, or pharmacy. Most of the studies15–18, along with the national survey17, have not done a study assessing all the four disciplines simultaneously. This makes it problematic to estimate the prevalence and determinants of tobacco use in the HCS. The last national GHPSS study in India was done more than a decade ago18, and since then, there have been a few changes to the medical curricula by the Medical Council of India since the last GHPSS study. Thus, in this study, our objective is to determine the prevalence and determinants of tobacco consumption in third-year students of all the four health care disciplines (medical, dental, pharmacy, and nursing) in Mysore, India, and to assess the extent of their undergraduate education on tobacco control and cessation.

Methodology

Ethics statement
The Institutional Ethics Committee of JSS Medical College, Mysuru approved this study (approval number: JSSMC/IEC/18/7/2018-19). Written informed consent for both participation and publication of data was obtained from all participants by the means of a tick box before beginning the survey. Consent was also obtained from the participants’ institutions.

Study population and sampling
The study was conducted among third-year undergraduate health care professional students in Mysore, situated in Karnataka, India from September 2019 to March 2020. The recruitment period, including taking the necessary permissions from institutions, took two months (September 2019 to November 2019) and data collection took place from November 2019 to March 2020. Mysore has a total population of 3,001,127 people, constituting 50.36 % males and 49.63 % females, and an average literacy rate of 72.79 %19. Institutions for study participants were identified using the State Medical University registry20. The city has 15 institutions, two medical, two dental, three pharmacy, and eight nursing colleges, of which 990 students were studying in their third year of undergraduate training. All 990 students were considered for the study. Unwillingness to participate was the only exclusion criteria.

Data collection and study instruments
To conduct the study, a web-based instrument (Google Forms) was used. It consisted of questions from the GHPSS standardized questionnaire21 in English (see Extended data). The students were invited to participate via email, which were obtained from the institutions. The email sent to the students explained the objective of the study and guaranteed total anonymity. A hyperlink was attached to the invitation email, which directed participants to the survey on Google Forms. The survey ran for 40 days, and two reminder emails were mailed at 10 and 20 days. The invite also had the contact information of the principal investigator to answer survey-related queries. To limit the duplication of entries, each invite could only be used once.

The GHPSS21 has created a standard test of variables from the core questions to facilitate cross-country comparisons. The questionnaire had 42 core questions to assess the following outcomes: prevalence of tobacco use among health professional students; desire for smoking cessation; exposure to environmental tobacco smoke; attitudes towards tobacco use; training received regarding smoking cessation techniques and basic demographics. The questionnaire can be found as extended data22.

Definitions and measures

Usage of tobacco and different ways of consuming it. Those who had never consumed tobacco were categorized under ‘Never-user’; those who had finished any form of tobacco at least once were categorized under ‘Ever-user,’ and someone who had consumed tobacco in the last 30 days was classified as ‘Active user.’ Age, sex, and educational qualification were included in socio-demographic data. The questionnaire listed the mode of consumption, whether in the form of smoking or smokeless form.

Knowledge, notions, and attitudes regarding tobacco consumption. Questions related to tobacco use among family and peers of the participants were asked to determine social
influences for tobacco use. A positive attitude concerning tobacco use was assessed with questions such as their impression of tobacco users, ease associated in social gatherings with tobacco use, and the health impact of tobacco consumption for a year.

Knowledge, notions, and beliefs associated with tobacco consumption were measured with questions assessing their understanding of the adverse effects of tobacco, quitting difficulties, exposure to information on anti-tobacco through mass media, and discussions regarding smoking and cessation with the family/teachers of the participants.

Data of various GHPSS studies conducted in different fields of healthcare related education i.e Medicine, Dental, Nursing and Pharmacy from various continents were retrieved form the GTSS dataset.

Statistical analysis
Data from the online survey forms were exported into Microsoft Excel 2017, and random verification of 10% of data was done for internal validation. Missing data were addressed by using the case deletion approach wherein we omitted the cases with the missing data and analyzed the rest. EpiInfo™ 8.5.1 (2008) was used for calculating the frequencies. Continuous variables were represented as mean with standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables as a number with percentage (%). A simple Chi-Square test was employed to test for differences in tobacco use among categorical variables. Multivariable analyses using SPSS v.21 were conducted to identify independent variables associated with tobacco use. Variables presumed to be of clinical importance, such as demographic factors (such as age, sex, and socio-economic status) and interpersonal factors (such as having friends or family who use tobacco) were included in the model. Along with the aforementioned variables, those with a significant association with tobacco use (found using a simple Chi-square test) were also added to the model. A two-tailed p <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Demographics
In this study, 990 health care professional undergraduates were invited to participate, out of which 838 participated with a response rate of 84.47% (see the underlying data for the full dataset). The distribution of the participants who responded was highest in medical students (n=304;36.2%), followed by dental (n=199;23.2%), pharmacy (n=147;17.5%) and nursing (n=188;22.4%). Reluctance to respond was the main reason (n=84; 8.5%) for the lack of participation while the remaining were due to incomplete completion of the survey (n=68; 6.9%). The mean age of participation was 20.89 (SD± 0.94) years. (Table 1).

Age of initiation and prevalence
The age of initiation (AOI) was analyzed to be 18.18± 3.01 years on average. The most common AOI of tobacco use was around the age of 18 for all the groups except those in dentistry who were found to have an AOI of approx. 17 years (Table 1 and Figure 1a). On comparing the age if initiation in tobacco “ever users” across the GHPSS studies conducted in India from 2005 till 2019, we found that the study in 2005 observed the largest percentage of 11 to 15 year old(27.4% and 23.9%). The percentage of the study population whose age of initiation was “20 years or older” saw an upward trend from just less than 15% in 2005 to 37% in 2009; whereas, the percentage of the study population whose age of initiation was 16 – 17 years, saw a downtrend from 33% in 2005 to 15% in 2009 (Figure 1b).

In terms of prevalence of tobacco use, 243 (28.9%) reported having used tobacco at least once in their lifetime. The most preferred destinations (32.9%) for tobacco use were places like tea stalls and bars, whereas the least preferred were at the school. The prevalence of active tobacco consumption was highest among medical students (20.1%) and least among nursing students (12.7%) (Table 1). Upon comparison of GHPSS data globally, it was found that Europe had the highest proportion of tobacco users across all healthcare professions (Pharmacy: 62.1%; Nursing: 69.2%; Medical: 72.5% and Dental: 77.8%) followed by Region Of The Americas (Pharmacy: 62.1%; Nursing: 63.8%; Medical: 62.9% and Dental: 65.6%). India in 2005 recorded the lowest proportion of tobacco usage across all healthcare disciplines followed by South East Asia for pharmacy (India: 24.2%; SEA: 30.2%) and dental (India: 11.3%; SEA: 31.3%), Africa for nursing (34.4%) and western pacific for medical (34.8%) students indulging in tobacco use (Figure 2).

Exposure to secondhand smoke
Almost 30% of students reported that they had been exposed to secondhand smoking (SHS) in the past seven days; there were no significant differences in exposure among different health care fields. Furthermore, most of the students (95.34%) stated that their school had an official policy banning smoking in school buildings and clinics. While (37.94%) of the students reported that the policy was enforced (Table 2).

Nicotine cessation
The majority of the students smoked less than one cigarette per day, the number of students who smoked more than one cigarette per day was less than 3%. More than a third of the students reported that they wanted to quit (37.72, %). A significant number of medical students (55.74%), when compared to other HCS, had tried quitting in the past. Most of the students (82.63%) did not receive any professional advice or assistance to quit. Nearly half of dental students (46.3%), and one-third of medical students (31.15%) desired to discontinue tobacco use. Of the students surveyed, more than 90% of them thought that tobacco sales to adolescents should be banned and that there should be a complete ban on the advertisement of tobacco products. Further, nearly two-thirds (64.56%) agreed that smoking should be banned in all enclosed spaces without exceptions.
A majority (91.17%) of the students thought health professionals have a role in advising smoking cessation to patients. Interestingly, 94.3% of medical students and 77.7% of dental, pharmacy, and nursing students were taught about the danger of tobacco smoking in their classes. The majority of the medical students (88.70%) reported that they knew the importance of recording tobacco use history while taking a patient’s history. Only (33.7%) of nursing students had been educated about how to elicit a smoking history from the patients. The number of students who received formal training in smoking cessation approaches was 24.8% for medical, 8.2% for dental, 14.6% for pharmacy, and 6.3% for nursing. Two-thirds of medical students, compared to 38.9% of dental, 29.7% of pharmacy, and 11.40% of nursing students, learned “the reasons why people smoke” as a part of their formal training.

### Table 1. Detailed prevalence and socio-demographic factors of the study population consisting of 3rd year students from health care fields using the Global Health Professions Student Survey (GHPSS) questionnaire in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019–20 (N= 838).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Medicine (N=304)</th>
<th>Dentistry (N=199)</th>
<th>Pharmacy (N=147)</th>
<th>Nursing (N=188)</th>
<th>Total (N=838)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>21.07± 0.72</td>
<td>20.59± 1.05</td>
<td>21.28± 0.82</td>
<td>20.45± 1.06</td>
<td>20.89± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of initiation of tobacco use</strong></td>
<td>18.29± 3.15</td>
<td>17.09± 2.88</td>
<td>18.11± 3.17</td>
<td>18.16± 2.84</td>
<td>18.18± 3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tobacco use status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never-user¹</td>
<td>217(71.3%)</td>
<td>125(62.8%)</td>
<td>105(71.4%)</td>
<td>148(78.7%)</td>
<td>595(71.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever-user²</td>
<td>87 (28.6%)</td>
<td>74(37.1%)</td>
<td>42(28.5%)</td>
<td>40(21.2%)</td>
<td>243(28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active³</td>
<td>61(20.1%)</td>
<td>54(27.14%)</td>
<td>28(19.1%)</td>
<td>24(12.7%)</td>
<td>167(19.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex distribution</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>173 (56.9%)</td>
<td>68(34.1%)</td>
<td>98(66.7%)</td>
<td>152(80.8%)</td>
<td>491(58.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>131(43.1%)</td>
<td>134(65.8%)</td>
<td>49(33.3%)</td>
<td>36(19.2%)</td>
<td>347(41.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place of tobacco use for ever-users (N=243)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At home</td>
<td>15(17.2%)</td>
<td>11(14.8%)</td>
<td>7(16.6%)</td>
<td>12(30.1%)</td>
<td>45(18.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At school</td>
<td>2(2.3%)</td>
<td>4(5.4%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>6(2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At friends’ place</td>
<td>20(22.9%)</td>
<td>14(18.9%)</td>
<td>7(16.6%)</td>
<td>12(30.1%)</td>
<td>53(21.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At social events</td>
<td>7(8.1%)</td>
<td>9(12.1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>4(10.2%)</td>
<td>21(8.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At public places</td>
<td>7(8.5%)</td>
<td>11(14.8%)</td>
<td>21(50.1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>39(16.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (e.g. Tea stalls)</td>
<td>36(41.1%)</td>
<td>25(33.7%)</td>
<td>7(16.5%)</td>
<td>11(29.9%)</td>
<td>79(32.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency and quantity of usage of smoking forms of tobacco among ever-users (N=243)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not used tobacco in the past 30 days</td>
<td>217(71.3%)</td>
<td>125(62.8%)</td>
<td>105(71.4%)</td>
<td>148(78.7%)</td>
<td>595(71.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 cigarette per day</td>
<td>62(20.3%)</td>
<td>45(22.6%)</td>
<td>24(18.9%)</td>
<td>28(14.8%)</td>
<td>163(19.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 cigarette per day</td>
<td>16(5.2%)</td>
<td>18(9.1%)</td>
<td>14(8.2%)</td>
<td>12(6.3%)</td>
<td>46(5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 5 cigarette per day</td>
<td>2(0.6%)</td>
<td>4(2.1%)</td>
<td>4(1.5%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>20(2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10 cigarette per day</td>
<td>1(0.3%)</td>
<td>2(1.1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>3(0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 20 cigarette per day</td>
<td>1(0.3%)</td>
<td>1(0.5%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>2(0.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1A “never user” was defined as one who had never used tobacco; 2An “ever user” was someone who had used any form of tobacco at least once; 3An “active user” was someone who used tobacco within the last 30 days

*Students from Healthcare field comprises of all the students studying of third year in medicine (MBBS), dental sciences (BDS), Pharmacy (B. Pharma/ Pharma D) or Nursing (ANM/GNM)
Figure 1. Figure 1a: Comparison of “age of initiation” of tobacco use in “ever-users” among students from health care fields using the GHPSS questionnaire in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019 using the GHPSS questionnaire in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019-20 (N=243). Figure 1b: Comparison of age of initiation of tobacco use in our study and different GHPSS studies done in India since 2005 (GTSS Dataset).

Figure 2. The chart is a summation of all the GHPSS data collected from different parts of the world (including the present study), starting from the year 2000. This consisting of Students from Healthcare field (HCS) comprising of all the students studying medicine (MBBS), dental sciences (BDS), Pharmacy (B.Pharma/Pharma D) or Nursing (ANM/GNM) (GTSS Dataset).
training. However, only less than a quarter of them were aware of the role of antidepressants in nicotine cessation medications (23.87%), with the highest understanding among medical students (39.10%) and least among nursing students (9.80%) (Table 3).

Results from multivariable analysis
The multivariable analysis (Figure 3b) included tobacco use as the outcome variable. Increased odds for current tobacco use were observed for the following variables among students in different health courses: male sex (between 2.1 and
### Table 3. Curriculum/Training among students from health care fields using the Global Health Professions Student Survey (GHPSS) questionnaire in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019–20 (N= 838).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Medicine (N=304)</th>
<th>Dentistry (N=199)</th>
<th>Pharmacy (N=147)</th>
<th>Nursing (N=188)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **During your (medical, dental, nursing, or pharmacy) school training, were you taught in any of your classes about the dangers of smoking**
| Yes                      | 287 (94.30%)     | 147(73.80%)       | 121(82.40%)      | 147(78.20%)     | p = 0.002 |
| No                       | 17(5.7%)         | 52(26.2%)         | 26(17.6%)        | 41(21.80%)      |         |
| **During your (medical, dental, nursing, or pharmacy) school training, did you discuss in any of your classes the reasons why people smoke**
| Yes                      | 206(67.90%)      | 77(38.90%)        | 44(29.70%)       | 21(11.40%)      | p = 0.032 |
| No                       | 98(32.10%)       | 122(61.10%)       | 103(70.30%)      | 167(88.60%)     |         |
| **During your (medical, dental, nursing, or pharmacy) school training, did you learn that it is important to record tobacco use history as part of a patient’s general medical history**
| Yes                      | 270(88.70%)      | 137(68.70%)       | 80(54.70%)       | 63(33.70%)      | p <0.001 |
| No                       | 34(11.3%)        | 62(31.3%)         | 67(45.3%)        | 125(66.3%)      |         |
| **During your (medical, dental, nursing, or pharmacy) school training, have you ever received any formal training in smoking cessation approaches to use with patients?**
| Yes                      | 75(24.80%)       | 16(8.20%)         | 21(14.60%)       | 12(6.30%)       | p = 0.018 |
| No                       | 229(75.20%)      | 183(91.8%)        | 126(85.4%)       | 176(93.7%)      |         |
| **Have you ever heard of using antidepressants in tobacco cessation programs (such as bupropion or Zyban)**
| Yes                      | 119(39.10%)      | 25(12.70%)        | 38(26.10%)       | 18(9.80%)       | p <0.001 |
| No                       | 185(60.9%)       | 174(87.3%)        | 109(73.9%)       | 170(90.20%)     |         |

**Figure 3.** Figure 3a: Multivariable analysis of ever users in the study population who quit tobacco use and the methods they used to quit it in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019–20. Note: Students from Healthcare field (HCS) comprises of all the students studying medicine (MBBS), dental sciences (BDS), Pharmacy (B.Pharma/Pharma D) or Nursing (ANM/GNM). Figure 3b: Multivariable analysis with tobacco use as the outcome variable against independent variables in the city of Mysore, India in the year 2019–20. Note: Students from Healthcare field (HCS) comprises of all the students studying medicine (MBBS), dental sciences (BDS), Pharmacy (B.Pharma/Pharma D) or Nursing (ANM/GNM).
It should be made a priority for our policymakers to help HCS quit tobacco use as it not only helps the general health and well-being of the student but also influences them in delivering effective anti-tobacco counseling when they start seeing patients. A brief interaction between the doctor and the patient regarding quitting tobacco can have a substantial positive outcome. Unfortunately, it has been observed that in developing countries like India, the HCPs very rarely take the time to discuss tobacco cessation.

Exposure to SHS is detrimental to an individual’s health and can cause numerous diseases. Our study showed that a high proportion of students (65.4%) were exposed to SHS. These numbers are not far off from the national GHPSS (73%) study. SHS exposure in GHPSS studies varied between 80.11% in European regions and 56.3% in African regions, indicating global variation. The wide range is likely due to the socio-cultural norms of smoking in different areas of the world. Exposure to SHS, especially in the universities, could be significantly cut down by having a clear anti-tobacco policy that ensures better air quality and encourages those who use tobacco to quit.

Additionally, such policies also assist in sending a strong message to doctors, students, and patients concerning the perils of tobacco use. In our study, even though (95.34%) agreed to have a clear anti-tobacco policy in their universities, only (37.94%) said that the universities were enforcing it. Suitable measures should be taken to bridge the gap between making policies and implementing them.

To see any significant changes in the reduction of the disease burden of tobacco use, there is a need for health care professionals to be adequately trained in all aspects of tobacco prevention and cessation. Results from our study showed that over (91.4%) acknowledged that they would soon be seen as “role models” by the rest of the society, which was identical to other international GHPSS studies. Alarmingly, only one-sixth overall (16.8%) [highest in medical 24.8% and lowest in nursing 6.30%] received any sort of formal training on tobacco cessation therapies. This was lesser than the national GHPSS findings of 29.81%. Likewise, we can see very little difference in the global data concerning having received any formal training ranging from 26.3% in the Eastern Mediterranean region to 21.9% in the Region of Americas. Policymakers must make substantial changes in the curricula of the HCS by adding modules specific to tobacco control and cessation or at least incorporate the required information into the preexisting curricula.

The fight against the epidemic of tobacco has been very challenging. Results from our current study are problematic as it shows no significant reduction from the 10-year-old national GHPSS study. Furthermore, our research, similar to international GHPSS studies conducted previously, continues to reveal the daunting lack of preparation of HCS for their responsibility as HCPs in tobacco prevention and cessation. Countries with a higher income have been shown to have already included key concepts of tobacco cessation into the curricula of HCS. Moreover, there have been many studies focusing on improving the tobacco cessation education of the...
Disappointingly, there is a paucity of data regarding the efficacy of the present anti-tobacco training protocols used in low- and middle-income countries like India\(^,\text{13,41}\). An essential step in achieving a reduced prevalence of tobacco use in our country would be by conducting adequate research into more effective tobacco cessation techniques, making better tobacco control policies, and revising the curricula of HCS with updated tobacco cessation strategies to help them in their practice in the future.

Strengths and limitations of the study
The questionnaire was administered anonymously online, so participants would be less apprehensive about being truthful. The study used a standardized GHPSS questionnaire which makes the data comparable with data collected from the rest of the world using the same method. All four disciplines of HCS were considered in the study with adequate sample size. Tobacco use was self-reported without any biochemical verification. It is subject to recall bias. This study was carried out in one city and cannot be generalized to a diverse country like India.

Conclusion
Tobacco use in this study was considerably lesser than in European countries, the Region of the Americas, and the Eastern Mediterranean countries and was similar to the national GHPSS (2009) study\(^\text{12}\). Dental students had the highest prevalence of the four, while nursing students had the lowest. The study results and previous GHPSS studies indicate a need to design better tobacco control policies that can help us tackle the increasing trend in the prevalence of tobacco use in HCS. Furthermore, it also demonstrates a need for an updated curriculum for tobacco cessation strategies and more research into better cessation strategies. Successful implementation of these two steps will give us a better chance at attenuating the tobacco epidemic.

Data availability
**Underlying data**
Open Science Framework: The extent of formal tobacco cessation training received by student health professionals and the prevalence of tobacco use among them.

https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/N8WF\(^\text{22}\).

This project contains the following underlying data:
- GHPSS OSF_Original_Raw Data.xlsx (survey responses).
- GHPSS_OSF_Global_GHPSS Dataset.xlsx (compiled global GHPSS data from CDC datasets).

**Extended data**
Open Science Framework: The extent of formal tobacco cessation training received by student health professionals and the prevalence of tobacco use among them.

https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/N8WF\(^\text{22}\).

This project contains the following extended data:
- Global Health Professions Student Survey (GHPSS) Questionnaire.pdf (survey instrument).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC-BY 4.0).
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