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Abstract

**Background:** Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is an ongoing global health crisis that has caused large scale morbidity and mortality. We aimed to determine the exposure to SARS-CoV-2 among young children and healthcare workers by measurement of anti-S1 antigen (spike protein) specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) using an in-house optimized indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method.

**Methods:** Plasma samples were collected from cohorts of healthcare workers (n = 287) and young children aged from 6 weeks to 2 years old (n = 150) pre-COVID-19 pandemic between September 2018 and November 2019 and post-COVID-19 pandemic between August and December 2020 were simultaneously tested for anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1 specific IgG. The arithmetic mean of natural logarithm-transformed ELISA relative absorbance reading + (3 x standard deviation) of pre-pandemic plasma was used as the cut-off to determine SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity of post-pandemic plasma.

**Results:** There was no reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 S1 antigen detected in pre-pandemic plasma but in post pandemic plasma an 8.0% (23/287) IgG seropositivity in healthcare workers’ and 6.0% (9/150) seropositivity in children aged 2 years old was detected.

**Conclusions:** Comparable levels of SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity in healthcare workers and children suggest widespread exposure to
SARS-CoV-2 in Zambia during the first wave of the pandemic. This finding has implications for continued acquisition and transmission of infection in the healthcare setting, household, and wider community.
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Introduction
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is an ongoing global public health emergency that as of April 2021 has infected more than 100 million people and claimed nearly three million lives worldwide. While reported incidence and mortality has been strikingly lower in Africa compared to other regions of the world, Africa within this period had still recorded over three million cases with over 70,000 deaths. In Zambia, the first confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 was reported on 18th March, 2020 and since then, over 90,000 confirmed cases and more than 1,200 deaths have been reported as of April 2021. The robust global response to the pandemic has seen unprecedented advancements in research leading to elucidation of the SARS-CoV-2 genome, development of diagnostic tests and the accelerated development of vaccines in <12 months since the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak was first reported with more than 600 million vaccine doses administered by April 2021.

Nucleic acid-based testing is the current diagnostic test of choice for active SARS-CoV-2 infection but high costs and the need for specific sample collection and transport conditions, specialised laboratory facilities and skilled personnel limit accessibility and feasibility for large scale screening. In the absence of mass SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid testing for disease surveillance particularly in lower income settings, the true extent of SARS-CoV-2 exposure within high-risk populations such as frontline healthcare workers and the wider population may be underestimated. In such settings, serology can serve as an important complementary diagnostic and surveillance tool. Locally developed serological tests like the antibody-based immunoassays capable of effectively discriminating SARS-CoV-2 exposure by detection of specific immunoglobulins are important for both immunosurveillance and evaluating vaccine responses post implementation.

An intriguing observation during the ongoing pandemic apart from the observed lower incidence and mortality rates in Africa was that the paediatric population recorded lower SARS-CoV-2 incidence, severity and mortality compared to older age groups, a phenomenon also seen in Zambia. Although representing only a small fraction of confirmed COVID-19 cases, children are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection with a substantial proportion of infected children tending to be asymptomatic and potentially missed in symptom-based case detection strategies. Children have mild disease that delays detection and can exhibit prolonged SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding regardless of symptomatology. Children could therefore act as a hidden infectious reservoir for household and community transmission networks and thus, it is important to understand the epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection in this population.

Our objectives were to develop and optimize an in-house semi quantitative, indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for immunoglobulin G (IgG) directed against SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (S1) and screen stored plasma samples from a cohort of healthcare workers and young children to permit estimation of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence within the healthcare setting and among young children residing in a peri urban community in Zambia.

Methods
Ethical considerations
This study protocol including a request for waiver of participant informed consent to utilize samples collected from existing studies was approved by the National Health Research Authority based on the existing participant informed consent and ethical clearance by the University of Zambia Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (UNZABREC) for the Hepatitis B vaccine study (UNZABREC Reference Number 003-01-19) and rotavirus vaccine trial (UNZABREC Reference Number 003-02-18).

Study setting and participants
This study included healthcare workers that were enrolled in an existing “before and after” interventional study aimed at demonstrating healthcare worker’s protection against Hepatitis B infection by vaccination. The Hepatitis B vaccine study was conducted in Kalulushi district on the Copperbelt province of Zambia having a projected total population of approximately 140,000 in 2019. Kalulushi district has nine health posts, eleven clinics and one second level hospital as well as one nursing school, one health training institute and university. The district had an estimated 405 healthcare workers with an additional 407 students and lecturers in health training institutions (Data sourced from Kalulushi District Health Office, 2019). The sample size for the Hepatitis B vaccine study was calculated based on confidence interval for one proportion and required a minimum sample size of 513 healthcare workers to estimate the prevalence of Hepatitis B with a 95% confidence interval and 5% width interval assuming a prevalence of 8.6%. The sample size was adjusted by 25% to control for losses to follow-up and secondary analyses. Before enrolment, sensitization talks about the Hepatitis B vaccine study were conducted by the study team at the health facilities and health professional schools after which eligibility was determined and written informed consent sought from those healthcare workers that were willing to participate. Inclusion criteria for the healthcare workers were individuals formally employed within a public health facility or students enrolled at an allied healthcare training institution in the district, aged ≥18 years and willing to consent and meet study training and follow up requirements. Refusal to consent, pregnancy, yeast allergy, hypersensitivity, acute febrile illness and receipt of steroids, immune modulators or blood products were exclusionary criteria. A total of 641 healthcare workers were recruited using this approach and the enrolled healthcare workers were followed up from November 2019 through August 2020.

Paediatric plasma samples included in this study were from an ongoing open label randomised controlled rotavirus vaccine trial comparing two versus three dose vaccination in Lusaka, Zambia. The outcome of the rotavirus vaccine study was boosted antibody responses after administration of the third vaccine dose in the intervention arm. The study determined...
the sample size based on an anticipated 15% or greater increase in rotavirus antibody response after the third dose and required a total of 196 infants (98 infants per arm) to detect this boosted response at an 80% power using two-sample t-test, and assuming equal standard deviation at 5% level of significance. A 10% upward sample size adjustment was made to account for losses in follow up to reach a total of 214 children that were enrolled from a population residing in “George compound”, a peri-urban unplanned settlement in Lusaka. Children aged between 6 to 12 weeks old attending the George public health facility with their mothers were targeted for enrolment. Mother-child pairs were approached during their visit to the maternal and child health department by the study Research Nurse and provided with sensitization information about the study in the mother’s local language of choice. Mothers that were interested were invited to the study research unit co-located within the health facility premises for more detailed information during which willing mothers were checked for eligibility and taken through the written informed consent process. Criteria for enrolment included the mothers’ willingness to participate voluntarily and provide consent, child’s’ eligibility for Rotarix vaccination as per national policy and mothers’ willingness for child to undergo defined study procedures and residence in the study area for the duration of the study. Contraindication to rotavirus vaccination, previous receipt of rotavirus vaccine, recent immunosuppressive therapy including high-dose systemic corticosteroids, history of receiving blood transfusion or blood products, including immunoglobulins within the previous 6 months, any condition deemed by the study investigator to pose potential harm to the child or jeopardize the validity of study result and any existing congenital anomalies constituted exclusionary criteria. Eligible, consenting mothers were enrolled and followed up until the child attained 2 years of age.

Data collection
From the enrolled healthcare workers under the Hepatitis B vaccine study, demographic data and pre-vaccination plasma samples were collected at baseline after written informed consent was obtained. Additional plasma samples were collected at subsequent scheduled appointments after vaccination during the follow-up period. In our study we utilized the healthcare worker pre-vaccination baseline plasma collected prior to declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic between November and December 2019 and the matched post-vaccination plasma samples that were collected in August 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Similarly, in the rotavirus vaccine trial mother-child cohort, demographic data and pre-vaccination plasma was collected at enrolment after mother's written informed consent was obtained. During the follow up period, additional plasma samples from the children were collected post-vaccination during scheduled study clinic appointments. For the present study, we used pre-vaccination baseline plasma collected when children were aged 6 to 12 weeks old between September and November 2018 (pre-COVID-19 pandemic) and matched plasma collected in the follow up period between August and December 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic when children were aged 2 years old. Plasma samples from both cohorts were stored at -20°C prior to serological testing in this study.

Laboratory procedures
Set up and optimisation of in-house ELISA. To develop our SARS-CoV-2 ELISA, we tested sero-reactivity of plasma against commercially available SARS-CoV-2 Spike/S1-His recombinant protein (Cat 40591-V08H, Sino Biologicals, China) using an indirect ELISA procedure. Seropositive plasma controls for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG were selected from known convalescent local Zambian adults previously tested and confirmed to be SARS-CoV-2 positive by polymerase chain reaction. Prospective adult donors were approached by the study team with information about the study and a request for plasma samples. Plasma was obtained from five individuals that were willing and provided consent to have a blood sample drawn. In addition to these seropositive controls, pre-pandemic plasma from five mothers among the mother-child cohort were used as seronegative plasma controls during initial experiments.

In these initial experiments we tested individual and pooled seropositive and seronegative control plasma including a blank (1% skim milk in phosphate buffer, Blotto) control in the indirect ELISA assay method. To optimise the assay, we tested varying antigen coating concentrations, plasma sample dilutions, detection antibody concentrations, assay blocking solution and test sample incubation conditions. Briefly, microtiter plate wells were incubated with 50μL SARS-CoV-2 S1 antigen at three different concentrations (0.5μg/ml, 1μg/ml and 2μg/ml) and blocked with different volumes of blotto (150μL or 200μL) for three hours at room temperature. Plasma sample controls at different dilutions (1:40 through 1:6400) and blotto (as blank to control for background absorbance) were then added in duplicate wells and incubated for either 2 hours at 37°C or overnight at 4°C. Peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG detection antibody at two dilutions (1:10000 or 1:15000) was added to the wells before incubation for three hours at room temperature, followed by addition of substrate, colour development and absorbance optical density (OD) reading at 492nm. Prior to each incubation step, the plate was placed on an incubator shaker set at 20°C, 270 revolutions per minute for 20 minutes. Plate was also washed in between assay reagent and sample addition steps. We set our optimized ELISA as the assay with parameters yielding a >1.5 absorbance OD reading value for the pooled seropositive plasma and a 3-fold or more lower OD value for the seronegative control and blank. Based on these experiments, our optimized indirect ELISA required 1μg/ml antigen coating concentration, 200μL blocking buffer volume, 1:100 sample dilution and overnight incubation and 1:15000 peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG antibody dilution. The optimisation experiments additionally determined a donor positive control sample with the highest SARS-CoV-2 IgG titer which was assigned as an in-house standard. These optimized conditions and procedures were used in all the subsequent assays.

Determination of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies by ELISA.
Healthcare workers’ and children’s plasma samples were tested
using the optimized indirect ELISA. Briefly, a 50μL volume of 1μg/ml SARS-CoV-2 antigen concentration in sodium carbonate-bicarbonate coating buffer at pH 9.6±0.2 was added to the microtiter plate wells (Ref 655061, Greiner Bio-One) and incubated overnight at 4°C. The following day, the plate was blocked with 200μL 1% blotto, weight/volume skim milk in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.25% volume/volume Tween20 (Cat P1379, Sigma-Aldrich) (PBST), and incubated at room temperature for 3 hours. A 5μL volume of pre- and post-pandemic matched plasma sample diluted in a two-step wise manner in PBST and blotto to a final 1:100 was added in duplicate wells and incubated at 4°C overnight. On the third day, the plate was incubated with 50μL of peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Cat A0170, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:15000 in PBST for 3 hours at room temperature. The colour reaction was developed using 50μL o-Phenylenediamine dihydrochloride substrate (Cat P4664, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in citric acid phosphate buffer containing 0.03% volume/volume 30% hydrogen peroxide and stopped by addition of 100μL 1M Sulphuric acid before reading absorbance optical density (OD) at 492nm. Throughout the assay, wells were washed five times with PBST in between the ELISA steps. In each experiment, eight two-fold dilutions (1:100 to 1:12800) of the in-house SARS-CoV-2 IgG standard plasma, pooled seropositive and seronegative control plasma and blank (blotto) were included in duplicate wells. The pre-pandemic plasma samples were treated as internal negative controls to the matched post-pandemic samples for each participant in both the study cohorts.

Statistical analysis

Key background characteristics of healthcare workers and children were summarised using frequency and percentage for categorical variables, whereas median and interquartile range (IQR) were used to summarise age. Absorbance levels were calculated for each assay plate as relative absorbance units (rAU) with reference to values obtained for an in-house, high-titer standard plasma (assigned a value of 1000 rAU). To define seropositivity for SARS-CoV-2 IgG, we calculated a cut-off as the arithmetic mean of natural logarithm-transformed mean blank corrected arbitrary rAU of all pre-pandemic samples tested + (3 x standard deviation of the natural logarithm-transformed mean blank corrected arbitrary rAU). Seroprevalence was calculated as the proportion of samples with natural-log-arbitrary rAU above the calculated cut-off for the respective cohort. Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the association of seroprevalence and key background characteristics. Dot plots and range plots were used to characterise the arbitrary rAU of samples in the two periods (pre- and post-SARS-CoV-2 pandemic). All analyses were performed using Stata 16 MP (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

A total of 287 healthcare workers were included in this study and had their pre- and post-pandemic matched plasma tested (Figure 1). Included healthcare workers had a median age of 29 years (interquartile range 25, 37), were mostly female 187/287 (65.2%), had normal body mass index 149/287 (51.9%) and were unmarried 145/287 (50.5%). Among these healthcare workers most were medical staff 131/287 (45.6%), while 100/287 (34.8%) were support staff and 53/287 (18.5%) were students.

A cut-off of 5.5381 arbitrary rAU was calculated from the healthcare worker pre-pandemic plasma and was used to
determine seropositivity for this cohort. Overall, 23/287 healthcare workers were reactive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG above the calculated cut-off giving 8.0% seropositivity. Among these seropositives, most were among medical staff particularly among Nurses and Mid-wives. We did not find an association between any background characteristic included and seropositivity for SARS-CoV-2 IgG (Table 1).

We included and tested pre- and post-pandemic plasma from a total of 150 children in this study (Figure 1). These children had a median age of 6 weeks (interquartile range 6,6), were mostly male 84/150 (56%) and HIV unexposed 103/287 (68.7%). Majority of the children were from mothers that were married 123/287 (82%), unemployed 108/287 (72%) with some primary level of education 88/287 (58.7%) and from households comprising up to three children 88/287 (58.7%).

We calculated a cut-off of 4.7508 arbitrary rAU from pre-pandemic plasma of this cohort and detected 9/150 children aged 2 years old that were reactive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG above this cut-off giving a 6.0% seropositivity in this cohort comparable to the adult healthcare worker cohort. We found no association between background characteristics and SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity (Table 2).

Table 1. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 immunoglobulin G (SARS-CoV-2 IgG) seropositivity among healthcare workers by background characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th># of healthcare workers (% of total)</th>
<th># (%) seropositive</th>
<th>Fisher’s exact p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–25</td>
<td>81 (28.2)</td>
<td>3 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>126 (43.9)</td>
<td>11 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>45 (15.7)</td>
<td>7 (15.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45+</td>
<td>35 (12.2)</td>
<td>2 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>187 (65.2)</td>
<td>17 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>100 (34.8)</td>
<td>6 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>17 (5.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>149 (51.9)</td>
<td>10 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>114 (39.7)</td>
<td>13 (11.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>7 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>145 (50.5)</td>
<td>11 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>126 (43.9)</td>
<td>12 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated/ widowed</td>
<td>13 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCW category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of these:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nurse/Mid-wife</td>
<td>90 (68.7)</td>
<td>8 (8.9)</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lab Tech/Scientist</td>
<td>9 (6.1)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Radiographer</td>
<td>3 (2.3)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other medical staff*</td>
<td>29 (22.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support staff</td>
<td>100 (34.8)</td>
<td>7 (7.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>53 (18.5)</td>
<td>4 (7.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>287 (100)</td>
<td>23 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other medical staff include Clinical officers, Pharmacists, Anaesthetists, Dentists, Ophthalmologists, Public Health Officers and Environmental Health Scientists
Significantly (p<0.001) higher mean arbitrary rAU was observed in post-pandemic plasma compared to pre-pandemic plasma in both healthcare workers and children (Figure 2).

Among the 23 SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositives from the healthcare worker and 9 seropositives from the children cohort, we observed a significant difference in arbitrary rAU absorbance readings between pre- and post-pandemic plasma (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

We report comparable seroprevalence for SARS-CoV-2 S1 antigen in very young Zambian children and healthcare workers using a locally developed and optimized indirect ELISA assay. Direct comparison of pre- and post-pandemic plasma from the same individuals permits accurate evaluation of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and avoids contaminating cross-reactive responses to other circulating human coronaviruses (hCoVs). Using an immunofluorescence assay, a recent analysis of pre-pandemic sera cohorts from sub-Saharan Africa estimated seroprevalence of up to 17.1% for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and up to 4% for spike trimer21. Up to 5% reactivity in pre-pandemic sera against spike S2 antigen was observed in SARS-CoV-2 unexposed UK individuals whereas no reactivity was detected against S1 antigen using a flow cytometry-based assay22.
Figure 2. Distribution of natural-logarithm arbitrary relative absorbance units (rAU) pre-post COVID-19 pandemic period among healthcare workers (A) and children (B). The mean log-arbitrary rAU and 95% confidence intervals are shown as range plots for the pre and post coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic plasma for the healthcare workers (n = 287) and children (n = 150). The student t-test of equality of mean log-arbitrary rAU between the two periods for each cohort are shown. The red dashed line shows the calculated cut-off derived from the pre-pandemic samples of each cohort as the mean log-arbitrary rAU (+ 3 x standard deviations).

Figure 3. Distribution of natural log-arbitrary relative absorbance units (rAU) in the pre and post pandemic period among SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositive children and healthcare workers. Pre-and post-pandemic plasma paired data points for arbitrary rAU are shown for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) immunoglobulin G (IgG) seropositive healthcare workers (n = 23) and children (n = 9).
selection of spike S1 protein for detection of SARS-CoV-2 in our assay therefore permits a further level of control for avoiding detection of potentially cross-reactive antibody responses. Further studies will assess the degree of exposure to circulating coronaviruses including hCoV-NL63, hCoV-229E, hCoV-OC43 and hCoV-HKU1 to address the potential contribution of cross-protective immunity in the wider population. As circulating coronavirus infections are also acquired in early life, the acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 infection in very young children will be of particular interest, providing a potential opportunity to examine immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in children who are seronegative for circulating coronavirus strains.

The durability of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses also has important implications for estimates of seroprevalence and vaccine efficacy. Recent longitudinal studies have indicated significant and concerning decays in antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 over time. Anti-nucleocapsid IgG titres were reported to decline by 50% within 3 months. However, IgG against spike trimer can be stable for at least 6 months. The detection of antibody to spike S1 protein in our study therefore provides further confidence of the accuracy of our seroprevalence estimates, the longest likely intervals between infection and plasma sampling in our cohorts being between 8 months for healthcare workers and 12 months for young children. Longitudinal follow up of SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositives presented in this study will inform the durability of antibody responses to spike S1 antigen in the two very different cohorts presented here. African countries including Zambia have begun to roll out vaccinations among their populations, with >2,000 individuals vaccinated in Zambia as of 19th April 2021. Serological assays such as the one presented here may prove useful in measuring longevity or waning of vaccine induced antibody responses and provide insights towards potential administration of booster doses.

At the beginning of August 2020, Zambia had recorded a cumulative 6,347 cases from a total of 85,560 tests conducted giving a 7.42% positivity rate, and cases continued rising to over 20,000 cases by December 2020. During this period, we found 8% seroprevalence among healthcare workers and 6% seroprevalence among children within similar range to the country estimates. Our assay detects IgG responses which may be indicative of a previous and not an active SARS-CoV-2 infection and seropositive individuals in our study were at the time of sample collection non-hospitalised and otherwise asymptomatic, however, robust IgG responses can be detected as early as one week post exposure. In any case, our results speak towards ongoing transmission within the healthcare setting and among very young children.

SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity among healthcare workers in Africa is variable across published reports which could be influenced by variable testing methods, healthcare worker cohorts studied and SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology. While we have reported 8% seroprevalence in healthcare workers using an in-house S1 ELISA, commercially available ELISA tests showed a 45% seroprevalence in Nigeria based on spike S-trimer protein while in Malawi this was 12.3% based on S2 and N protein. The N, S2 and whole spike protein-based assays may overestimate exposure due to reported cross reactivity as opposed to the S1 antigen’s superiority in distinguishing SARS-CoV-2 exposure. We found higher seropositivity among medical staff within the health facilities studied particularly among nurses and mid-wives. Reporting seropositivity among healthcare workers distinguished by role within health facilities is important to better target health facility-based infection prevention and control measures.

Children typically experience less severe outcomes from SARS-CoV-2 infection, yet the reason for this is unknown. Many hypotheses have been suggested among which include exposure to hCoVs that imparts pre-existing cross-protective immunity, non-specific protective effects of live vaccines, differential distribution of and higher protective serum circulating angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the SARS-CoV-2 receptor. While the present study is limited in that we did not test for exposure and potential cross reactivity to seasonal hCoVs, our data has not shown any antibodies reactive to our ELISA target from pre-pandemic samples. Nonetheless, we have shown that post-pandemic, children are just as likely to get infected as adults and infections in children as young as 2 years old are prevalent and have the potential to be transmitted widely within communities. These infections, being asymptomatic have far reaching implications for transmissions within households and schools, and therefore the paediatric population needs to be considered in large scale screening and immunosurveillance campaigns. In the very young children like those included in our study, acquisition of infection from mothers is an important route of infection calling for the need to conduct research on transmission dynamics and control measures within mother-child cohorts. Additional research is also required to address unanswered questions on reasons for the lower disease severity in children.

Our study was limited in that our assay measured IgG which may not reflect active infection, utilized samples from another study which was not powered for this study’s aim and lacked comparison to available commercial tests. However, we are confident in our results as the pair of pre and post pandemic samples accounts for possible cross reactivity as a confounder, with the S1 antigen having little to no reported serological cross reactivity with other hCoVs. We tested a specific population of healthcare workers from a single district. The seroprevalence results may not be generalizable to the overall healthcare worker population across different types of health facilities and districts having different levels of catchment population, infection control capacity and infrastructure. A larger and more representative sample and powered study may be required to accurately assess this. However, our data provides an estimate of seroprevalence in this specific group. We tested seroprevalence in children who were recruited under an ongoing trial and remained in follow-up. Children were enrolled as they randomly attended the health facility and therefore results could be generalizable in this specific age strata.
Conclusions
Establishment of the SARS-CoV-2 antibody assay in-country has potential to create avenues for epidemiological immunosurveillance studies to determine SARS-CoV-2 exposure in the local communities and specific populations. In our study, we have shown that children’s exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection is comparable to high-risk adults highlighting the importance of this paediatric population when considering infection control measures. Such significant exposure among very young children should inform targeting households in addition to infection prevention and control measures being applied at health facility level.

Data availability

This project contains the following underlying data:
- SARS_Data_Dictionary.pdf
- SARS Child dataset.csv
- SARS HCW dataset.csv

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
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