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**Abstract**

**Background:** Most publicly available genomes of *Salmonella enterica* are from human disease in the US and the UK, or from domesticated animals in the US.

**Methods:** Here we describe a historical collection of 10,000 strains isolated between 1891-2010 in 73 different countries. They encompass a broad range of sources, ranging from rivers through reptiles to the diversity of all *S. enterica* isolated on the island of Ireland between 2000 and 2005. Genomic DNA was isolated, and sequenced by Illumina short read sequencing.

**Results:** The short reads are publicly available in the Short Reads Archive. They were also uploaded to EnteroBase, which assembled and annotated draft genomes. 9769 draft genomes which passed
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quality control were genotyped with multiple levels of multilocus sequence typing, and used to predict serovars. Genomes were assigned to hierarchical clusters on the basis of numbers of pair-wise allelic differences in core genes, which were mapped to genetic Lineages within phylogenetic trees.

**Conclusions:** The University of Warwick/University College Cork (UoWUCC) project greatly extends the geographic sources, dates and core genomic diversity of publicly available *S. enterica* genomes. We illustrate these features by an overview of core genomic Lineages within 33,000 publicly available *Salmonella* genomes whose strains were isolated before 2011. We also present detailed examinations of HC400, HC900 and HC2000 hierarchical clusters within exemplar Lineages, including serovars Typhimurium, Enteritidis and Mbandaka. These analyses confirm the polyphyletic nature of multiple serovars while showing that discrete clusters with geographical specificity can be reliably recognized by hierarchical clustering approaches. The results also demonstrate that the genomes sequenced here provide an important counterbalance to the sampling bias which is so dominant in current genomic sequencing.
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**Introduction**

_Salmonella enterica_ is one of the four global causes of diarrhoeal diseases (World Health Organization Fact Sheets, 2018), and has been estimated to be responsible for 94 million annual cases of nontyphoidal gastroenteritis (Majowicz et al., 2010). Most cases of salmonellosis are mild but the infections can be life-threatening, especially when salmonellosis manifests as typhoid fever caused by serovar Typhi (Wong et al., 2016), enteric fever due to serovars Paratyphi A or Paratyphi C (Zhou et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2018b), or extra-intestinal disease with serovars Choleræsuis (Zhou et al., 2018b) or Typhimurium (Kingsley et al., 2009). _S. enterica_ also infects domesticated animals in large numbers, and was the primary cause of food-borne outbreaks reported in Europe (European Food Safety Authority, 2007), leading to European regulations intended to reduce the numbers of animal herds contaminated with _Salmonella_ (Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003).

The volume of bacterial genome sequencing is increasing dramatically. Since 2012, unprecedentedly large numbers of _Salmonella_ genomes were sequenced by the Sanger Institute (Feasey et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2016), the Food and Drug Administration (Feldgarden et al., 2019), CDC/PulseNet International (Gerner-Smidt et al., 2019; Nadon et al., 2017) and Public Health England (Ashton et al., 2016; Waldram et al., 2018). In August 2020, EnteroBase (Alikhan et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2020) contained >260,000 _Salmonella_ genomes which had been assembled from sequence reads in the public short read archives, or uploaded by its users (Zhou et al., 2020). However, the global population genetic diversity of _Salmonella_ encompassed by these genomes is not necessarily representative of total global diversity. Almost all of the bacterial strains were sequenced for epidemiological tracking of the sources of food-borne diseases. Most of them were from human infections in North America and England. Similarly, almost all public _Salmonella_ genomes from domesticated animals are from North America, which causes even greater sample bias.

Serovars Typhi, Paratyphi A and Paratyphi C are specific for humans, and other serovars show signs of adaptation to other hosts (Baumler et al., 1998; Kingsley & Baumler, 2000). However, only limited data are available for most other serovars and from inter-continental comparisons (Cheng et al., 2019). We note that _S. enterica_ can be isolated from rivers, ponds and drinking water (Meinersmann et al., 2008; Uesbeck, 2009; Walters et al., 2011; Walters et al., 2013) as well as salt water (Mannas et al., 2014; Martinez-Urtaza et al., 2004). Reptiles are often infected by _Salmonella_ (Corrente et al., 2017; Kanagarajah et al., 2018; Mukherjee et al., 2019; Pulford et al., 2019), and _S. enterica_ strains can invade plant cells, and survive in soil (Dyda et al., 2020; Jechalke et al., 2019; Schikora et al., 2012). The degree of overlap between bacterial populations from those sources and those that infect humans and animals has not yet been adequately addressed.

These uncertainties raise the following specific questions. Does the natural diversity and broad population structure of _S. enterica_ differ between continents, or by source? Are _S. enterica_ populations uniform across smaller geographic entities with multiple legal entities but continuous contact, such as the island of Ireland? Do isolates from water and reptiles cause gastroenteritis in humans? A broad sampling of _Salmonella_ from diverse geographical sources and multiple hosts is needed to answer these questions, and to counteract the current extreme bias in the public databases of _Salmonella_ genomes.

Between 2007 and 2012, the authors of this manuscript and their colleagues (see Acknowledgements) shared representative isolates of _S. enterica_ from their strain collections with MA at University College Cork in order to address these questions. Single colony isolates were cultivated and stored frozen in robotic instrumentation-friendly vials in microwell-format storage racks. At that time, the primary sequence-based genotyping for large collections was classical MultiLocus Sequence Typing (7-gene MLST) (Kidgell et al., 2002; Maiden et al., 1998) (Box 1), and several thousand isolates from the strain collection were subjected to this procedure (Achtman et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2020). These analyses did not extend to the entire strain collection, and it has therefore not been previously described in detail. The entire collection accompanied MA to University of Warwick in 2013, and is now being maintained for posterity as “the Achtman collection” by Jay Hinton, University of Liverpool.

---

**Box 1. Explanations of acronyms and specialized designations**

| MLST | MultiLocus Sequence Typing in which each sequence variant of a gene is assigned a unique numerical designation. The Sequence Type (ST) is the set of the allelic numbers for an individual strain or genome, and is also assigned a unique ST number. e.g. ST4 might consist of alleles 1 2 1 1 3 5 1. First described for _Neisseria meningitidis_ in 1998 and now extended to a large number of bacterial species (Jolley et al., 2018). |
| 7-gene MLST (_S. enterica_) | Classical MLST involving 7 housekeeping genes (Achtman et al., 2012; Kidgell et al., 2002). STs are grouped together in eBURST Groups (eBSGs) based on minimal spanning trees, which correspond to serovars and are curated manually. |
| wgMLST (_Salmonella_) | Whole genome MLST based on 21,065 genes from a pan-genome based on 537 representative _Salmonella_ genomes (Alikhan et al., 2018). |
| cgMLST (_Salmonella_) | Core-genome MLST based on a subset of 3002 genes from the wgMLST scheme that were present in ≥98%, intact in ≥94% and of unexceptional diversity in 3144 representative _Salmonella_ genomes (Alikhan et al., 2018). STs are referred to as cgSTs. |
| Lineage | A deep branch in a phylogenetic tree which seems to represents a distinct monophyletic group according to visual examination. |
| HierCC | Single linkage hierarchical clustering of cgSTs based on a maximal internal distance of a certain number of different alleles in pairwise comparisons (Zhou et al., 2020). |
Genomic sequencing of large numbers of samples has recently become feasible even for modestly-sized research groups (Loman et al., 2012), as documented by the recent sequencing of several thousand genomes from extra-intestinal human infections with non-typhoidal Salmonella in the Americas and Africa (Perez-Sepulveda et al., 2020). Here we provide an overview of the UoWUCC (University of Warwick/University College Cork) 10K genomes project, in which 9769 Salmonella enterica genomes were sequenced from strains in the Achtman collection in order to address the questions posed above.

Results
Themes within the 10K genomes project. Table 1 provides an overview of the sources of most of the bacterial isolates whose genomes were sequenced, grouped into sub-collections according to theme. The “Rivers” theme includes 466 isolates from rivers in the United States and England, as well as drinking water and faecal samples from healthy individuals in central Benin, Africa. The “Ireland” collection of 3880 strains was isolated from humans, domesticated animals and food: 2125 from the Republic of Ireland and 1755 from Northern Ireland. We also sequenced 1131 isolated in Taiwan which represented the PFGE diversity of multiple Salmonella serovars from humans and reptiles. The “Reptiles” sub-collection consisted of 794 other isolates from Austria, Australia, the Netherlands, Germany and Finland from serovars that infect both reptiles and humans. Finally, 3320 isolates were sequenced to cover “General diversity”, including non-Typhi isolates from long-term human carriers in Germany; reference strains for phage types of serovars Enteritidis and Typhimurium; diverse veterinary isolates from England; and Typhimurium from the mesenteric lymph nodes of asymptomatic pigs in Canada. The “General diversity” sub-collection also included members of the SARA and SARB collections as well as human isolates from diverse global sources. The UoWUCC 10K collection spans the time frame from 1891 to 2018 (Figure 1A), but 94% (9206/9769) of its strains were isolated before 2011. It also spans a wide range of geographic diversity, spanning 73 countries on all the continents except Antarctica (Figure 1B).

Sequence reads, genomes, genotypes and metadata. After Illumina short read sequencing (see Methods), the sequence data files were uploaded to the Short Reads Archive at EBI, where they are publicly available for downloading. Genomes were assembled within EnteroBase using its standard pipelines (Zhou et al., 2020), and the 9769 genome assemblies that passed stringent quality control criteria (Figure 2) and manual curation (Table 2) are now publicly available via EnteroBase for inspection, analysis and downloading. EnteroBase also contains their metadata, serovar predictions and designations are based on trees and are subjective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes and Sources</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rivers</strong></td>
<td>466</td>
<td><strong>Central California rivers</strong> (Walters et al., 2011; Walters et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Boehm (Stanford)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Meinersmann (USDA)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td><strong>Upper Oconee river, Georgia</strong> (Meinersmann et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Uesbeck (Univ. of Cologne)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td><strong>Drinking water in wells and ponds, Benin</strong> (Uesbeck, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wain (HPA, Colindale)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Thames River, England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Republic of Ireland</strong></td>
<td>2125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Coffey (CIT)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Murphy (Cork County Vet lab)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td><strong>Livestock, County Cork</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Bolton (Teagasc, AFRC)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td><strong>Livestock, bovine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Prendergast (DAFM)</td>
<td>479</td>
<td><strong>Domesticated animals and food</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Cormican (NSRL, Galway)</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td><strong>Human</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Leonard (UCD, Dublin)</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>Porcine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Fanning (UCD, Dublin)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northern Ireland</strong></td>
<td>1755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Moore (Belfast City Hospital)</td>
<td>899</td>
<td><strong>Human</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Strain (AFBI, Belfast)</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>Animal Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Madden (AFBI, Belfast)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>Agri-Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taiwan</strong></td>
<td>1131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chao-Chin Chang (SVM, NCHU)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Reptile isolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chien-Shun Chiou (CDC)</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>Human isolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reptiles and human isolates of the same serovar</strong></td>
<td>794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Kornschober (Austria)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Gordon (Canberra)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Australian deserts (Parsons et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Huijsdens (RIVM)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Helmuth (BfR Berlin)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Germany (Achtman et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Pelkonen (EVIRA, Finland)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MLST genotype assignments for classical 7-gene MLST (STs) (Achtman et al., 2012; Maiden et al., 1998), ribosomal gene MLST (Alikhan et al., 2018; Jolley et al., 2012), core genome MLST (cgMLST, cgSTs) (Alikhan et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2020) and whole genome MLST (Zhou et al., 2020) (Box 1). The 10K genomes collection is identified by “M. Achtman” in the metadata field “Lab Contact”, and the original sources of the bacterial strains are listed in the metadata field “Comments”.

**General overview of population structures.** The 10K collection accounts for 28% (9206/33,052) of all Salmonella genomes in Enterobase (3 Aug 2020) from strains isolated before 2011. Previously, STs were clustered in eBurst groups (eBGs) (Box 1) which correlate strongly with serovar (Achtman et al., 2012; Alikhan et al., 2018). STs are now being replaced by cgSTs (3002 genes) (Box 1), which offer a sufficiently broad range of resolution to span from epidemiological tracking of microclades up to the sub-division of species at the genus level. eBGs are being replaced by hierarchical clusters of cgSTs (HierCC) in which internal branches can differ by up to 900 alleles (HC900 clusters) (Zhou et al., 2020) (Box 1). HC900 clusters provide higher resolution than eBGs, are more accurate and their cgST assignments remain stable even after the addition of large numbers of new genomes (Alikhan et al., 2018). Figure 3 shows the broad range of core genomic diversity which is present in the 33,052 pre-2011 genomes. These data demonstrate that the 10K genomes are broadly representative of all HC900 clusters in Enterobase with only few exceptions. Serovars Typhi, Paratyphi A and Paratyphi C were excluded from the 10K genomes because they had already been extensively investigated (Wong et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2018b), and several other serovars were not sequenced because they were rare in the sampled countries.

Similar to eBGs, most HC900 hierarchical clusters are associated with a single predominant serovar. Many HC900 clusters correspond to distinct clades, and share only very few alleles with any other HC900 cluster, resulting in an almost star-like phylogeny for many serovars (Figure 3). However, some HC900 clusters do share some identical allelic sequences, allowing higher order phylogenetic relationships to be resolved for those lineages (Box 1). Lineage 3/Clade B (Achtman et al., 2012; DideIot et al., 2011; Parsons et al., 2011) is such a lineage encompassing multiple polyphyletic serovars which undergo inter-serovar recombination. Lineage 3 is clearly delineated in Figure 3, and the data confirm that it encompasses multiple HC900 clusters. The tree confirms other known, high level relationships such as the Typhi/Para A Lineage containing HC900 clusters corresponding to serovars Typh, Paratyphi A and Sendai (DideIot et al., 2007), and the Para C Lineage containing HC900 clusters corresponding to serovars Paratyphi C, Choleraesuis, Typhisuis and Lomita (Key et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2018b). However, Figure 3 also includes other poorly described, higher order lineages that encompass multiple HC900 clusters and their serovars, including the Typhimurium and Enteritidis Lineages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes and Sources</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General diversity</td>
<td>Total: 3320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Curtiss 3rd</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Human carrier strains, Germany, 1980s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Porwollik (SKCC)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>General diversity (Porwollik et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. De Pinna (HPA)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Enteritidis Phage type references, UK (Ward et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.L. Andrews-Polymenis</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Typhimurium Phage type references, Germany (Andrews-Polymenis et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Wise (VLA, Weybridge)</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>Animals, England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Quessy (McGill)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mesenteric lymph nodes from asymptomatic swine, Canada (Perron et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Boyd</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Original SARA/SARB (Achtman et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Harrison (Univ of Pittsburgh)</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Humans, Global (Krauland et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wain (HPA, Colindale)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Humans, England (Achtman et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.-X. Well (Institut Pasteur)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Humans, France (Achtman et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Rabsch (Robert Koch-Institut, Wernigerode)</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>Humans, Germany (Achtman et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z. Jaradat (JUST, Jordan)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Humans, Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Zaidi (Mexico)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Humans, Mexico (Wiesner et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Kingsley (Sanger)</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>Humans, Mali (Tapia et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Bouldin (USDA)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Virulent Enteritidis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Kornsober (Austria)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Boar &amp; Swine, Choleraesuis, Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. Metner (Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Boar &amp; Swine, Choleraesuis, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Rychlik (VRI, Czech Republic)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Human, Typhimurium, Czech Republic (Matíasovcová et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Litrup &amp; M. Torpdahl (SSI, Denmark)</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>Human, 1 strain per MLVA type of Typhimurium, Denmark (Lindstedt et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Williams (University of Liverpool - ILGH)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Badger, Agama</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UowUCC: University of Warwick/University College Cork.
In 1991, 72 strains of 48 multilocus enzyme electrophoretic types were chosen as representatives of the so-called “S. typhimurium complex”, and designated as the SARA strain collection (Beltran et al., 1991). SARA includes representatives of serovars Typhimurium, Saintpaul, Heidelberg, Paratyphi B/Java and Muenchen. The Typhimurium Lineage defined by cgMLST also encompasses serovars Typhimurium, Saintpaul, and Heidelberg (Figure 3), but not Paratyphi B/Java or Muenchen, which are quite distinct in Maximum Likelihood trees of core SNPs (Zhou et al., 2018b). The entire Typhimurium Lineage includes multiple HC2000 hierarchical clusters, HC2000_2, HC2000_13082, HC2000_1285 and HC2000_79072 (Box 1) (Figure 4A). Figure 4A also reveals that many of the serovars in the Typhimurium Lineage are polyphyletic, and fall into multiple HC900 clusters within HC2000_2 (Typhimurium: HC900_2, HC900_6511, HC900_6910; Heidelberg: HC900_536, HC900_977; Saintpaul: HC900_79, HC900_5927; Stanleyville: HC900_143, HC900_9898), which are intermingled in the tree with still other HC900 clusters of serovars Reading, Coeln, Ball, Haifa,
Figure 2. Quality control of 10K genomes. Default Enterobase criteria are indicated by vertical dashed lines. Numbers of genomes in the 10K project that passed these cut-off criteria are indicated in blue and failures in yellow, with the total numbers of failures near the tops of the figures in yellow. The quality criteria consisted of $N50 \geq 20,000$, genomic assembly size between 4 MB and 5.8 MB, a maximum of 600 contigs and a low fraction of uncalled, low quality bases (N's).

Enteritidis Lineage. The Enteritidis Lineage (Figure 3) includes one predominant HC2000 cluster, HC2000_12, as well as three smaller HC2000 clusters. HC2000_12 includes most of the genomes of serovar Enteritidis strains from Europe, North America and Africa in HC900_12, as well as one HC900 cluster for each of the related serovars (Feasey et al., 2016; Langridge et al., 2015) Gallinarum (HC900_5460), Pullorum (HC900_4908) and Dublin (HC900_25) (Figure 4B). HC2000_12 also includes two other HC900 clusters of serovar Enteritidis (HC900_2226 and HC900_3589), which are more distinct from HC900_12, the major Enteritidis cluster, than are the Pullorum, Gallinarum or Dublin clusters. The Enteritidis Lineage also contains one HC2000 cluster for serovar Berta (HC2000_125), and two additional clusters of Enteritidis (HC2000_6961, HC2000_1570). Recent analyses have separated Enteritidis into clade B, which corresponds to HC900_12, and two other distinct clades of Enteritidis, A and C, which are common in Australia (Graham et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020). (These were originally referred to as lineages but clades are substituted here to prevent confusion with the Lineages in Figure 3). Clade C corresponds to HC900_1570, which is part of HC2000_12, and clade A to HC2000_1570 (Figure 4B). Figure 4B shows that there are currently a total of five Enteritidis clades within the Enteritidis Lineage, and indicates that similar to the Typhimurium Lineage, Enteritidis and related serovars are polyphyletic and likely reflect a complicated evolutionary history.

The 10K genomes are distributed across the breadth of the entire Enteritidis lineage, except for Pullorum, which had been eradicated from the countries that were sampled. Interestingly, the 10K genomes collection also includes old isolates of Enteritidis clades A and C which are currently particularly common in Australia. Strain E2387 in HC900_1570 (clade A) is the original reference strain for phage type PT14, and was isolated in England in 1968, long before any descriptions of
Table 2. Summary of the fate of 10,316 sets of short reads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failed Quality Control</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-up/contamination</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent MLST type</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent Serovar</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire microwell plate(s)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final dataset</td>
<td>9769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent MLST ST</td>
<td>1801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent serovar</td>
<td>7713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No independent verification</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The table ignores 1208 DNA samples which failed quality control at the Sanger Institute, and were not sequenced. New DNAs for 724 of them passed QC and are included in the table.

clade A in Australia. The 10K collection also includes three older strains in HC900_3589 (clade C): strain P106993, the reference strain for PT26, was isolated in England in 1987, and the two other strains were isolated from snakes in Germany in 2002 and 2003. Similarly, the sole genome in HC2000_6961 is the reference strain for PT11b, strain PT187803, which was isolated in Canada in 1989. Once again, the availability of these genomes will assist future reconstructions of global diversification and dispersion of individual lineages.

Mbandaka Lineage. The 10K genomes are also likely to be very useful for fine-scale analyses within clades with more limited genetic diversity. We provide an initial example of this utility by zooming in on the Mbandaka Lineage (Figure 3). Serovar Mbandaka was first isolated in 1948 but has now become a common source of salmonellosis in humans in the EU and elsewhere (Cheng et al., 2019; Hoszowski et al., 2016). Examination of the sources of the genomes of the Mbandaka Lineage up to 2010 (Figure 5) provides a different perspective because most were from environmental samples, animal feed, sewage, rivers and dairy products with a smaller proportion from chickens, cows, plants, pigs and humans (Figure 6). The Mbandaka Lineage shows so little diversity that almost all of its genomes are included in the tight HC100_4 cluster (Figure 5 and Figure 6), which has a maximal internal branch length of 100 different alleles. Mbandaka cgMLST genotypes cluster very tightly by geographic source and by host, yielding fairly uniform clusters of isolates from cows, plants, dairy products, and chicken farms (chickens plus environmental swabs) (Figure 6). In 2015, a recombinational variant of Mbandaka was designated as serovar Lubbock (Bugarel et al., 2015). Figure 7 shows the current composition of HC100_4, in which Lubbock constitutes a micro-clade. Even today, almost all clades are country-specific, but each country contains multiple micro-clades.

The 10K genomes project provided 25% (208/601) of the H100_4 genomes in EnteroBase that were isolated prior to 2011. These 208 genomes were from multiple themes in Table 1, from diverse geographical sources, and were scattered throughout the cgST tree among isolates from other global sources (Figure 5). Most of the 16 Mbandaka bacterial strains from the Republic of Ireland were from dairy products, humans and pigs. Northern Ireland was the source of 151 other Mbandaka strains, predominantly from chicken farms and animal feed. Multiple micro-clades from each of these two geographic sources were inter-dispersed among other Mbandaka genomes. However, the genomes from Ireland did not cluster together with those from Northern Ireland even though their geographic sources are at most a few hundred kilometers apart. Exceptionally, one genome from Ireland (a chicken isolate) clustered tightly with genomes from Northern Ireland. The primary clades found in Ireland and Northern Ireland were not found in any other country, and they have remained genetically discrete from other geographical sources until the present (18 Aug 2020) when HC100_4 contains 2955 genomes of serovars Mbandaka and Lubbock (Figure 7). Most of the additional strains isolated since 2011 are from the US or the
UK, and show broad continental specificity, interspersed with the isolates from the 10K collection which are spread throughout the entire Mbandaka tree.

Discussion

One Health approach. MA initiated a catholic collection of *Salmonella* from diverse sources in 2008. At the same time, the One Health Initiative (Kahn *et al.*, 2020) independently proposed combining global epidemiological and other information about pathogens from human and animal infections, as well as from the environment. For the last few years, comparisons of bacterial isolates from multiple sources have been pursued for *Salmonella* and other food-borne pathogens by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States, which has sequenced numerous bacterial genomes from plant isolates and the environment in addition to food samples. The FDA has also been exemplary in sequencing genomes from around the globe, and in establishing the GenomeTrakr website to provide access to those genomes and their properties (Timme *et al.*, 2019). GenomeTrakr also includes numerous genomes of human isolates that have been sequenced by the Sanger Institute and the CDC. Unfortunately, most lack metadata, which confounds public efforts to link such genome sequences with information from food and environmental

---

**Figure 3.** Genomic diversity of 33,052 pre-2011 genomes in EnteroBase, including 9206 from the 10K genome project (red perimeters). The figure shows a Ninja NJ (Wheeler, 2009) tree of the numbers of different alleles between cgSTs as generated within EnteroBase using GrapeTree (Zhou *et al.*, 2018a). Nodes from 41 common HC900 clusters are indicated by distinct colors, HC900 designations and predominant serovars. Lineages of HC900 clusters are indicated in yellow. The Enteritidis and Typhimurium Lineages are explored in greater detail in Figure 4 and the Mbandaka Lineage in Figure 5. Node sizes are proportional to the numbers of genomes they include. Nodes that include genomes from the 10K genomes project are highlighted by red perimeter. An interactive version can be found at http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/46053, in which the user can use other metadata for coloring genomes. Scale bar: 300 alleles.
Figure 4. Detailed representations of HC 2000 and 900 clusters in the Typhimurium Lineage (A) and the Enteritidis Lineage (B). Each consists of a NINJA NJ tree of the subset of nodes encompassed by the corresponding Lineages from the tree in Figure 3. The figure indicates HC2000 clusters in larger font and gray shading for clusters which encompass more than one HC900 cluster. Designations for individual HC900 clusters and their predominant serovar include the total number of isolates (black) and the number from the 10K genomes project (red) in parentheses. In part B, Clade A and C designations from citations (Graham et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020) are indicated for HC2000_1570 and HC900_3589, respectively. Interactive versions can be found at http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/46227 (A) and http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/46226 (B), in which the user can use other metadata for coloring genomes. Blue arrowheads: tree root. Scale bar: 200 alleles.

Sources. The genome sequencing efforts by Public Health England since 2015 are also highly laudable, and they publish short reads together with the corresponding metadata from all human Salmonella isolates in England (Ashton et al., 2016; Waldram et al., 2018). However, very few genomes of Salmonella are publicly available from non-human sources in England, and the rest of Europe is only now beginning to sequence and publish genomic sequence reads and their metadata. Furthermore, most European countries still maintain separate networks of laboratories for isolates from humans and from domesticated animals or food, and the two networks are separately coordinated by ECDC and EFSA, who have not yet implemented universal genomic sequencing (EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control) 2019; ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control) et al., 2019).
Figure 5. Genomic diversity of 601 pre-2011 genomes from HC100.4 of which 208 were from the 10K genomes project (red perimeters). The figure shows a Ninja NJ (Wheeler, 2009) tree of the numbers of different alleles between cgSTs as generated within EnteroBase using GrapeTree (Zhou et al., 2018a). The geographical sources of some of the isolates from the 10K genomes project are indicated to demonstrate that multiple micro-clades were present in individual countries. An interactive version can be found at http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/46139, in which the user can use other metadata for coloring genomes. The same tree colored by general source can be found in Figure 6 and a tree showing all modern Mbandaka and Lubbock genomes can be found in Figure 7. Scale bar: 10 alleles. Color Key at right.

Thus, the goals of the One Health Initiative are not yet being adequately met for Salmonella, and the completion of the UoWUCC 10K Salmonella genomes project is a major step forward towards those goals.

Accuracy. According to our experience, a few percent of isolates from all reference/diagnostic laboratories are incorrectly serotyped (Achtman et al., 2012). Sporadic curation of EnteroBase has also revealed numerous instances where the metadata in the short read archives were inconsistent with the serovars that were predicted from the assembled sequences. Such discrepancies likely reflect laboratory mistakes or typographical errors and/or data transmission glitches. We manually curate such discrepancies in EnteroBase when we notice them. In several cases we have deleted the genomes. However, we usually simply replace obviously false serovars with the predicted serovars from the genomic assemblies (Robertson et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019), and currently almost 20% of the serovar metadata for Salmonella in EnteroBase are based on such predictions. For other cases we have replaced false
metadata with the corresponding published data, e.g. for the Murray collection (Baker et al., 2015).

The SARA (Beltran et al., 1991) and SARB (Boyd et al., 1993) collections are invaluable reference sets for the genetic diversity of the serovars that they represent, but these collections are badly contaminated in multiple laboratories (Achtman et al., 2013), and many of their supposed genomes in the public domain are from contaminants. We sequenced a clean set of those strains (Achtman et al., 2013), and ensured that public genomes from contaminated variants were either deleted from EnteroBase, or were relegated to the category of sub-strains (Zhou et al., 2020), which are not visible without special intent. However, there are too many sets of short reads in the public domain to manually correct all of them, and EnteroBase perpetuates numerous false metadata that accompanied short reads.

In contrast to other public data, the 10K genomes described here are much more accurate because we manually curated them for plausibility (see Methods), and only those that survived curation remain in EnteroBase (Table 2). As a result, the 10K genomes are likely to be one of the cleanest sets of genomic data that are publicly available.

**Historical reconstructions.** Possibly some scientists might argue that the 10K genomes are irrelevant because almost all of them were isolated before 2011, and many even date back to the 1980s and earlier. Instead we counter that too many analyses of population patterns are biased to isolates from a single country and/or a narrow range of years of isolation. A broad resource of older genomes will provide the historical background that is needed to reconstruct evolutionary patterns over decades and possibly even over centuries. For example, it was only possible to describe the evolutionary
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Figure 6. As Figure 5, except that the nodes are colored by general source.
Figure 7. Genomic diversity of 2955 genomes from HC100_4 from EnteroBase (18/08/2020) of which 208 were from the 10K genomes project (red perimeters). The figure shows a Ninja NJ (Wheeler, 2009) tree of the numbers of different alleles between cgSTs as generated within EnteroBase using GrapeTree (Zhou et al., 2018a). The geographical sources of all isolates are color-coded (Key at lower left) and the location of serovar Lubbock is shaded. Unshaded isolates are serovar Mbandaka. An interactive version can be found at http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/46122, in which the user can use other metadata for coloring genomes. Scale bar: 10 alleles.

History over millennia of a *Salmonella* branch (Key et al., 2020) because rare serovars had been sequenced within the 10K genomes project. Several other trivial but dramatic examples of the importance of historical isolates are provided here, e.g. old reference strains for phage types of Enteritidis from Europe that predated by decades the isolation dates of related bacteria in Australia. Many public health laboratories are forced to discard older strains due to space constrictions, e.g. the clinical strains from the Republic of Ireland are no longer available except within the Achtman collection.

Geographical diversity. The strains analysed here are not only old; they also represent unique diversity that is still not adequately represented among the >250,000 *Salmonella* genomes currently in EnteroBase. One such example are genomes of Agama from badgers in Woodchester Park in England, which are uniquely represented by genomes within this project and allowed the reconstruction of transmission chains between neighbouring setts (Zhou et al., 2020). Another example is Mbandaka from chickens and chicken farms in Northern Ireland in the early 2000s. The only Mbandaka genomes in EnteroBase that stem from Northern Ireland are the 152 genomes in the 10K project, and they still differ in 2020 from all 2800 other Mbandaka/Lubbock genomes in EnteroBase.

Future prospects. EnteroBase contains >250,000 *Salmonella* genomes, but most of them are from common serovars infecting humans in the US and the UK. The 10K genomes project has added numerous additional details to the global genetic and genomic diversity of *Salmonella*. In turn, that additional diversity warrants an extensive investigation of the entire dataset. However, such an ambitious project would exceed the capabilities of a small group of scientists, including the authors of this report on their own. We therefore heartily invite the entire global *Salmonella* community to join in this investigation.
Methods

Bacterial isolates. *S. enterica* isolates from multiple sources were collected at University College Cork by MA from 2008–2012, and their metadata were stored in a BioNumerics (Biomerieux) database. The metadata included country, year, and source of isolation, but none of the details that might allow identification of individual farms or people from whom they were isolated. No ethical permissions are required for transfer of such bacterial samples.

Single bacterial colonies were isolated on agar plates and used to inoculate 1.4 ml growth/freezing medium in 2-D bar-coded, screw-capped Fluidx tubes as described in detail elsewhere (O’Farrell et al., 2012). Their physical locations were stored in an ItemTracker database. These tubes were cultivated overnight with shaking at 37°C, and stored at -80°C. All subsequent operations were performed with a specially designed, automated microbiology pipeline as described in detail elsewhere (O’Farrell et al., 2012). Cross-contamination from other tubes with these automated methods is not detectable in the sub-cultures, but can occur at a frequency of 1/500 in the parental tubes. Therefore, whenever the stock tubes were used for DNA isolation of a particular isolate, the most recently frozen serial sub-culture was used to inoculate one subculture for freezing and storage as well as a second sub-culture for DNA isolation. DNA was isolated from many of these strains, and subjected to classical 7-gene multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (Achtman et al., 2012; Achtman et al., 2013; O’Farrell et al., 2012).

The strain collection, robotic equipment and databases accompanied MA to the University of Warwick in 2013, where the same procedures were implemented, except that DNA isolation was performed with a Qiagen QiaCube. We chose over 10,000 isolates of *S. enterica* for genome sequencing (Table 2), with priority given to isolates whose DNA had previously been isolated and 7-gene MLST performed. Once those samples had been processed, DNAs were isolated from additional strains in the collections in Table 1. DNA concentrations were calibrated with Pico Green fluorescence to ensure that each sample contained at least 400 ng of DNA. Each sample was diluted into two 0.5 ml Fluidx screw-capped, 2-D bar-coded tubes. One set of duplicate tubes was shipped to the Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK for draft genome sequencing, and the second was maintained as a reserve at University of Warwick.

Draft genome sequencing. At the Sanger Institute, DNA samples were quantified once again, with a Biotium AccuNuclear Ultra high sensitivity dsDNA Quantitative kit using a Mosquito LV liquid handler, an Agilent Bravo WS automation system and a BMG FLUOstar Omega plate reader. DNAs which passed quality control were cherry-picked and diluted to 200 ng in 120 µl using a Tecan liquid handling platform. The microwell plates containing cherry-picked DNAs were sheared to 450 bp using a Covaris LE220 instrument.

Sheared samples were purified on the Agilent Bravo WS using Agencourt AMPure XP SPRI beads on a Beckman BioMek NX96 liquid handling platform. Library construction (end-repair, adapter-tailing and ligation) were then performed with an NEB Ultra II custom kit (Agilent Bravo WS), followed by PCR reactions to generate sequencing libraries using Kapa HiFi Hot start mix (Kapa Biosystems) and IDT 96 iPCR tag barcodes (IDT). The PCR cycles were: 95°C for 5 minutes; 6 cycles of 98°C for 30 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 2 minutes and were terminated by incubation at 72°C for 5 minutes. The IDT 96 iPCR barcodes consisted of the first 96 primers in the 384 set in Supplementary table S1 of Quail et al. (Quail et al., 2014). The resulting DNA was then purified again using Agencourt AMPure XP SPRI beads and quantified with the Biotium AccuNuclear Ultra high sensitivity dsDNA Quantitative kit. Libraries were pooled in equimolar amounts, 384 at a time, using a Beckman BioMek NX-8 liquid handling platform. The pooled libraries were normalised to 2.8 nM prior to cluster generation on an Illumina cBOT, and were then sequenced with paired ends (2 x 150 bp) on one lane of an Illumina HiSeq X 10.

Post-sequencing procedures. Sets of short reads were extracted from the storage system at the Sanger Institute with the “path-find” module (Bio-Path-Find), and uploaded into EnteroBase together with the corresponding metadata that had been stored in the BioNumerics database. The short reads were assembled by EnteroBase using the then current back-end pipelines (versions 3.61 - 4.1) (Zhou et al., 2020). For those strains where 7-gene MLST had been performed, we also created an identical sub-strain except that the experimental field in EnteroBase for 7-gene MLST data was filled from the data in the BioNumerics database.

Manual curation. Manual curation of the assembled genomes was performed within EnteroBase. To this end, we created a custom view and user-defined fields that contained an arbitrary sequential Plate number for each rack of 96 tubes (95 DNAs plus a blank in microwell format, i.e. from A1 to H12) and information on the rows and columns of the tubes as well as their barcodes. We created one workspace for all the strains and their sub-strains for each microwell rack. 7-gene MLST data from the older ABI-based sequence data were compared with 7-gene MLST predictions from the genome assemblies. In initial experiments, discrepancies between the two sources of data were examined by inspecting the original sequence traces. However, all discrepancies reflected false calls of the ABI data. Thereafter, we treated discrepancies of up to one allele as indicating consistency, and discarded genomes with discrepancies of 2-7 alleles. For genomes without prior 7-gene MLST data, we compared the serovar based on agglutination tests with the serovars predicted from the genomic assemblies by SeqSero (Zhang et al., 2019), SISTR1 (Robertson et al., 2018) and 7-gene MLST eBURSTGroups (eBGs) (Achtman et al., 2012). Discrepancies were examined for plausibility according to antigenic formulas (Griment & Weill, 2007), and genomes with gross discrepancies were discarded. Some 255 genomes lacked metadata on serovar but the remaining metadata on source and year of isolation was considered reliable, and these were kept despite the lack of independent confirmation of a lack of contamination. The numbers in these different categories are summarized in Table 2.
After excluding 129 assembled genomes that failed EnteroBase quality control criteria and 418 genomes with dramatically discrepant 7-gene MLST sequence types and/or serovar (Table 2), we retained genomes from 9769 strains from the 10K collection (http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/a/45743). The short sequence reads of the final set of strains were deposited in EBI.

Analysis. All analyses were performed within EnteroBase with the tools that were described by Zhou et al., (Zhou et al., 2020), as specified in the figure legends. All trees were created with the version of GrapeTree (Zhou et al., 2018a) that is integrated into EnteroBase, and can be interactively interrogated within EnteroBase.

Data availability

Underlying data

Short read sequences are available for public access at the Short Reads Archive (SRA) at EBI under BioProject accessions PRJEB20997 and PRJEB33949.

NCBI BioProject: Salmonella enterica ancient DNA and modern demography. Accession number: PRJEB20997

NCBI BioProject: EnteroBase - User Uploads from M. Achtman to EnteroBase Salmonella database. Accession number: PRJEB33949

All other data is available for public access in EnteroBase http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk in the Salmonella database. Individual strains and genomes from this project can be located with the same BioProject accession codes and also by the metadata field containing the text “M. Achtman”. All the analyses described were performed with software that are available within EnteroBase. Access to the individual trees with the option of colour-coding by other metadata is publicly accessible at:

Figure 3: http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/ms_tree/46053;
Figure 4A: http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/ms_tree/46227;
Figure 4B: http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/ms_tree/46226;
Figure 5, 6: http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/ms_tree/46139;
Figure 7: http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/ms_tree/46122.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the receipt of additional bacterial strains from Gail Wise, VLA – Weybridge, UK; Beverley C. Millar, Northern Ireland Public Health Laboratory, Belfast, UK; Finola Leonard, UCD, Dublin, Ireland; Lee Harrison, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine and Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh PA; John Wain, PHE – Colindale, UK; Mary Murphy, Veterinary Food Safety Laboratory, Inniscarra, Co. Cork, Ireland; David Gordon, Research School of Biology, ANU, Canberra, Australia; Elizabeth de Pinna, PHE – Colindale, UK; Declan Bolton, Ashownt Food Research Center, Teagasc, Dublin, Ireland; Alexandra B. Boehm, Stanford University, CA. We gratefully acknowledge technical assistance with cultivation of these bacteria at UCC by Ronan Murphy.

References

Didelez X, Bowden R, Street T, et al.: Recombination and population structure

Publisher Full Text


Page 16 of 21
and antibiotic resistance determinants with chromosomal multilocus
genotypes in Mexican Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strains.
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

for Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi, the cause of human typhoid. Nor
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Wong VK, Baker S, Pickard DJ, et al.: Phylogeographical analysis of the
dominant multidrug-resistant H58 clade of Salmonella Typhi identifies
632-639.
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

World Health Organization Fact Sheets: Salmonella (non-typhoidal). WHO
Website.WHO. 2018.
Reference Source

Zhang S, Den-Bakker HC, Li S, et al.: SeqSero2: rapid and improved Salmonella
serotype determination using whole genome sequencing data. Appl Environ
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Zhao Z, Alikhan NF, Mohamed K, et al.: The Enterobase user’s guide, with
case studies on Salmonella transmissions, Yersinia pestis phylogeny,
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Zhao Z, Alikhan NF, Sergeant MJ, et al.: GrapeTree: Visualization of core
genomic relationships among 100,000 bacterial pathogens. Genome Res.
2018a; 28(9): 1395–1404.
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Zhao Z, Lundstrøm I, Tran-Dien A, et al.: Pan-genome analysis of ancient and
modern Salmonella enterica demonstrates genomic stability of the invasive
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Zhao Z, McCann A, Weill FX, et al.: Transient Darwinian selection in
Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi A during 450 years of global spread
PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

![Checkmark] ![Checkmark]

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 December 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.17900.r40967

© 2020 Hinton J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jay C. D. Hinton
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300,000 <i>Salmonella</i> genomes are now publicly available, meaning that more representatives of the <i>Salmonella</i> genus have been genome-sequenced than for any other bacterium. However, the majority of these genome-sequenced <i>Salmonella</i> isolates originated from humans or domesticated animals.

In this major study, the Achtman group have assembled a fascinating collection of 10,000 <i>Salmonella</i> isolates that spanned more than a century, and were obtained from a wide range of mammalian, reptilian and environmental sources.

By generating high-quality genome sequence, and doing analysis with the impressive EnteroBase resource, the paper not only provides invaluable genome-based information concerning the true diversity of the <i>Salmonella</i> genus, but also contributes new insights into the relatedness of the important Enteritidis and Typhimurium serovars. The focus on the Mbandaka Lineage is both timely and interesting.

The manuscript is extremely well-written, and requires very few modifications. Some minor comments are listed below.

**Minor comments:**
- In the Introduction, I suggest that rather than citing the Kingsley et al. (2009) paper, a more recent publication is referred to Stanaway, JD et al. (2019)\(^1\).
- In the first paragraph of the “general overview of population structures” section, I wasn’t clear what the phrase “excluded from the 10 genomes” meant. Could this be clarified?
- In the second paragraph of the “general overview of population structures” section, please add an additional reference for Clade B such as den Bakker HC et al. (2011)\(^2\).
- The scale bar described in legend to Figure 4 shows “200 alleles”. Is this the same as “200
SNPs”? If so, please use the term “SNPs” rather than “alleles” in this legend (and in other relevant figure Legends in the paper).

- At the beginning of the discussion, the term “catholic” is used to describe the UoWUCC collection. As the word “catholic” is not used as commonly as he used to be, I suggest it is changed to “wide-ranging” or similar.

- On page 10, change “all human Salmonella isolates in England” to “all human Salmonella isolates in England and Wales”.

- In the sentence that begins “In contrast to” on page 12, I was not clear what the words “accurate” and “plausibility” meant. Please rephrase.

- In the sentence that begins “As a result,” on page 12, I was not clear what the word “cleanest” meant. Please rephrase.

- On page 14, the important manual curation process is described. I suggest that an additional sentence is added at the beginning of this section to clarify the rationale of this approach for readers. One option would be to begin “Manual curation of the assembled genomes was performed within EnteroBase to generate the most accurate dataset possible. For individual genomes to be assigned to the final dataset, the genome-derived predictions needed to be consistent with either serotype or MLST data for each isolate. To this end...”, but of course the authors should make the sentence their own.
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The authors reported a timely and laudable effort to substantially enrich publicly available genome data of Salmonella. This contribution is particularly valuable by 1) ameliorating the inherent and entrenched sampling bias toward certain countries and origins in public depositories of Salmonella genomes, and 2) accompanying genome resources with a powerful set of analytical and graphical tools as part of EnteroBase.

Taking the "Enteritidis Lineage" for example, existing epidemiology of Enteritidis is largely based on commonly circulating strains in North America and Europe, which often describes the population structure of the serotype as homogenous and clonal (although with the recognition of rare strains that are distantly related to the major Enteritidis clades). The UoWUCC 10K genomes project highlights the phylogenetic diversity of the serotype, as nicely demonstrated in the paper by an interactive figure that is easily accessible and highly customizable.

In the discussion, it would be helpful if the authors could explicitly answer or echo the four questions raised in the introduction (Does the natural diversity and broad population structure of *S. enterica* differ between continents, or by source? Are *S. enterica* populations uniform across smaller geographic entities with multiple legal entities but continuous contact, such as the island of Ireland? Do isolates from water and reptiles cause gastroenteritis in humans?)

As a minor issue, certain source categories in Table 1 appear to overlap with each other, such as "livestock" and "domesticated animals". Some categories may need more precise definition, such as "environment".
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