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Abstract

**Background:** O-GlcNAcylation is a posttranslational modification associated with various physiological and pathophysiological processes including diabetes, cancer, neurodegeneration and inflammation. However, the biological mechanisms underlying the role of specific O-GlcNAc sites and their link to phenotypes remain largely unexplored due to lack of suitable in vivo models. TGF-β activated kinase-1 binding protein-1 (TAB1) is a scaffolding protein required for TGF-β activated kinase-1 (TAK1) mediated signalling. A single O-GlcNAc site has been identified on TAB1 that modulates TAK1-mediated cytokine release in cells.

**Methods:** Here, we report the generation of the Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mouse model using a constitutive knock-in strategy. The Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mice carry a Ser393Ala (S393A) mutation that leads to loss of the single O-GlcNAcylation site on TAB1.

**Results:** We did not observe any obvious phenotype in Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mice. Loss of O-GlcNAcylation on TAB1 has no consequences on TAB1 protein level or on TAB1-TAK1 interaction.

**Conclusions:** The homozygous Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mice are viable and develop with no obvious abnormalities, providing a powerful tool to further investigate the role of O-GlcNAc on TAB1 in the inflammatory response in the context of a whole organism.
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Introduction

O-GlcNAcylation is a dynamic and reversible post-translational modification on serine and threonine residues of intracellular proteins. It is catalysed by two enzymes, O-linked N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase (OGT) and β-N-acetylglucosaminidase (O-GlcNcase, OGA), responsible for the addition and removal of the O-GlcNAc group, respectively (Hart et al., 2011). O-GlcNAcylation modulates several cellular processes, such as transcription (Hanover et al., 2012), cell signalling (Muller et al., 2013; Zachara et al., 2004), and metabolism (Ruan et al., 2013). Changes in O-GlcNAc levels are associated with pathological conditions including diabetes, neurodegeneration and cancer (Issad et al., 2010; Lazarus et al., 2009; Slateon et al., 2010). In addition, accumulating evidence suggests that O-GlcNAc modification controls the maturation and activation of immune cells, including T cells, B cells and macrophages (Golks & Guerini, 2008; Li et al., 2019; Machacek et al., 2019).

O-GlcNAcylation has been shown to affect the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) signalling pathway (Mendoza et al., 2008). The TAK1 pathway regulates inflammatory cytokine production and release in response to pro-inflammatory and endotoxin stimuli in macrophages and innate immune cells. TAK1 forms a functional complex with the pseudo-phosphatase TAK1 binding protein-1 (TAB1) and regulates the production of inflammatory molecules through activation of several mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) including p38α, extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) and c-jun kinases, leading to subsequent activation of downstream effectors including the IkB kinases (IKKs) and the transcription factor NFκB (Adhikari et al., 2007; Conner et al., 2006; Sato et al., 2005; Shibuya et al., 1996; Shim et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2001). TAB1 is required for TAK1 activation and downstream signalling events. TAK1 activity can be negatively regulated by p38α MAPK through a feedback mechanism where p38α MAPK phosphorylates TAB1, leading to TAK1 activity suppression (Cheung et al., 2003). The TAK1-TAB1 complex has been found to be important in vivo for the survival of activated macrophages upon lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation and for the modulation of immune response in T and B cells (Mihaly et al., 2014; Sato et al., 2005).

We previously showed that human TAB1 is modified with N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) on a single site, Ser395, in human cells. O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 is induced under stress conditions and modulates TAK1-mediated cytokine release in vitro by increasing TAK1 activation, leading to downstream signalling activation and cytokine production in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Pathak et al., 2012). However, the in vivo biological significance of this single O-GlcNAc site remains to be explored.

Here, we describe the generation of a genome edited constitutive knock-in (KI) mouse model expressing endogenous TAB1 lacking the key residue targeted for O-GlcNAcylation. The Ser393 site, equivalent to Ser395 in the human TAB1 sequence, was abolished by introducing a Ser393Ala mutation using a classical recombinational approach. We demonstrate that this mutation causes the loss of the O-GlcNAc modification on TAB1 without affecting TAB1 protein levels or its interaction with TAK1. Homozygous Tab1S393A mice lacking O-GlcNAc on TAB1 were viable with no obvious development abnormalities. This work provides a platform for exploration of O-GlcNAc-dependent functions of TAB1.

Results

Generation of Tab1S393A KI mice

To establish an animal model for investigating the role of O-GlcNAc on TAB1 in vivo, we generated TAB1 constitutive knock-in (KI) mice carrying a Ser393Ala (S393A) mutation. The Tab1 gene is located on mouse chromosome 15 and contains 11 exons. The nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid S393 region is located on exon 10. The targeting vector contained the translation initiation codon in exon 1 and an FRT-flanked puromycin cassette in the intronic sequence between exons 9 and 10. The targeted allele was obtained via homologous recombination in C57Bl/6Ntac ES cells. The constitutive KI-point mutation (PM) allele was obtained after in vivo Flp recombination (Figure 1). Positive targeted ES cell clones were confirmed by Southern blot analysis. Correct homologous recombination at 5’ and 3’ sides was detected in A-A08, A-C04, B-G06 clones (Figure 2A). A single integration site was also confirmed by Southern blot analysis using the cag probe, which detects a region located within the CAG_PuroR_PA selection cassette. Correct single integration was detected in A-A08, A-C04, B-G06 clones (Figure 2B upper panels and left lower panel). The insertion of the point mutation was validated by PCR genotyping and detected in all the targeted clones (Figure 2B right lower panel). The A-A08 and A-C04 positive clones were injected into BALB/c blastocysts to generate chimeric mice. Genotyping was performed to identify constitutive KI animals. Heterozygous mice were backcrossed to C57BL/6J genetic background and maintained as heterozygotes. Homozygous Tab1S393A mice were obtained by breeding heterozygous offspring. Thus, we successfully generated TAB1 S393A KI mice lacking the single O-GlcNAc site at Ser393.

Tab1S393A KI mice exhibit normal development and survival

We used Tab1S393A/– heterozygous animals to generate homozygous Tab1S393A animals. We obtained 19 WT, 68 Tab1S393A/– and 17 Tab1S393A animals from heterozygous pairs. Although deletion of TAB1 leads to embryonic lethality and abnormal cardiovascular development in mice (Komatsu et al., 2002), the homozygous Tab1S393A mice lacking O-GlcNAc on TAB1 were viable and developed normally. The Tab1S393A mice appeared healthy and similar to WT animals. They showed comparable body weight and heart size to WT at three months of age (Figure 3A and 3B; Author, 2019b). In addition, newborn pups were obtained by breeding of either male or female homozygous Tab1S393A mice with sex-matched WT animals, suggesting that fertility is not altered in these animals.

Tab1 is ubiquitously expressed in mammals (Komatsu et al., 2002; Shibuya et al., 1996). To verify that the S393A mutation leads to absence of O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 in the transgenic
animals, brain tissues were isolated from WT and Tab1S393A mice and TAB1 was immunoprecipitated prior to probing with a site specific O-GlcNAc TAB1 antibody (Pathak et al., 2012). As expected, TAB1 was found glycosylated in WT animals, while the S393A mutation led to absence of O-GlcNAc on TAB1 in Tab1S393A mice (Figure 3C).

We next investigated the impact of the S393A mutation on TAB1 protein levels. We observed similar levels of TAB1 protein expression in WT and Tab1S393A animals suggesting that absence of O-GlcNAc of TAB1 has no detectable influence on TAB1 protein levels (Figure 3C). Thus, Tab1S393A KI mice lacking O-GlcNAc on TAB1 exhibit normal development and survival.

O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 is not required for its interaction with TAK1

TAB1 is found in complex with TAK1 and this interaction is required for TAK1-mediated signalling (Mendoza et al., 2008). To investigate the effect of loss of O-GlcNAcylation on TAB1 on the interaction with TAK1, immunoprecipitation analysis was performed on brain tissue lysates from WT and Tab1S393A mice. We found that TAB1 interacts with TAK1 in both WT and transgenic animals, suggesting that O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 is not required for its interaction with TAK1 (Figure 3D).

Discussion

Although O-GlcNAcylation was discovered over 30 years ago, our knowledge on the biological processes regulated by site-specific O-GlcNAcylation of specific proteins is limited. Generation of transgenic animal models lacking specific O-GlcNAc sites will help to decipher the role of individual O-GlcNAc sites and associated mechanisms in physiological and pathologic conditions. In the present study we have described the generation of a viable constitutive KI mouse model leading to loss of a single O-GlcNAc site S393 on TAB1 protein, allowing for determining the biological significance of TAB1 O-GlcNAcylation in vivo.

A growing body of evidence supports that O-GlcNAcylation is an important modulator of the immune response and inflammation (Golks & Guerini, 2008; Golks et al., 2007; Kearse & Hart, 1991). However, the role of this modification on specific proteins involved in the function and regulation of immune cells remains largely unknown. The TAB1-TAK1 complex regulates the activation, survival and maintenance of activated macrophages upon LPS stimulation in vivo (Mihaly et al., 2014). O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 modulates TAK1-mediated cytokine release in response to IL-1 stimulation or osmotic stress in MEF cells (Pathak et al., 2012). However,
Figure 2. Generation of correctly targeted embryonic stem (ES) clones bearing the constitutive knock-in (KI) S393A point mutation in TAB1. (a) Southern blot of wild-type (WT) and four targeted ES clones (named A-A05, A-A08, A-C04 and A-G06) with 5’ ext and 3’ ext probes shows correct homologous recombination at the 5' and 3' sides in all clones except for A-C05. The expected molecular weight band for WT (W) and the targeted (T) alleles are shown. (b) Southern blot of WT and four targeted ES clones (named A-A05, A-A08, A-C04 and A-G06) with the cag probe shows single integration in all clones except for A-C05 (upper left and right panels and lower left panel). The expected molecular weight band for the targeted (T) allele is shown. PCR of WT and four targeted ES clones (named A-A05, A-A08, A-C04 and A-G06) shows insertion of the point mutation in all targeted clones (lower right panel). The expected molecular weight band for the targeted (T) allele is shown.
Figure 3. Tab1S393A knock-in (KI) mice lacking O-GlcNAcylation on TAB1 exhibit normal development and retained TAB1-TAK1 interaction. (a) Body weight of wild-type (WT) and Tab1S393A KI at three months old. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (WT, n=6; Tab1S393A, n=6), Student’s t-test. (b) Heart weight normalized to body weight of WT and Tab1S393A KI at three months old. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (WT, n=6; Tab1S393A, n=6), Student’s t-test. (c) TAB1 protein immunoprecipitation (IP) and blotting using anti-gTAB1 and anti-TAB1 antibodies in brain tissues of WT and Tab1S393A mice shows loss of O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 in Tab1S393A compared to WT animals with similar TAB1 protein levels in both genotypes (WT, n=3; Tab1S393A, n=3). (d) TAB1 protein immunoprecipitation (IP) and blotting using anti-TAK1 and anti-TAB1 antibodies in brain tissues of WT and Tab1S393A mice shows retention of TAB1-TAK1 interaction in both genotypes (WT, n=3; Tab1S393A, n=3).

these pathways have not been investigated in vivo. The Tab1S393A mice model will allow investigation of the consequences of the loss of O-GlcNAc of TAB1 on TAK1 activation and signalling in the context of a whole organism.

TAB1 is also involved in a negative feedback loop in which p38α MAPK suppresses TAK1 activation through phosphorylation of TAB1 at two residues; Ser423 and Thr431 (Cheung et al., 2003). The p38α MAPK is a protein serine-threonine kinase involved in signalling pathways induced by stress. It is activated by transphosphorylation of the TGY motif by MAPK kinases (M KK s) 3, 4 and 6 (Ensen et al., 1998; Raingeaud et al., 1996). Besides being a substrate for p38α MAPK, TAB1 binds to p38α, leading to its autophosphorylation of Thr180 and Tyr182 residues (Ge et al., 2002). This mechanism constitutes an alternative activation pathway for p38α MAPK that has been demonstrated to be independent to the MKK cascade and does not lead to classical p38α MAPK downstream signalling and inflammatory gene expression (Lu et al., 2006). The autoactivation of p38α MAPK through TAB1 was observed in pathological conditions, including cardiac ischemia and heart failure and has been shown to aggravate myocardial injury (De Nicola et al., 2018; Li et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2010; Tanno et al., 2003). A recent study has demonstrated that the p38α-TAB1 interaction can be targeted by small molecules (De Nicola et al., 2018). The same study
reported that reduction of infarction volume was observed in a KI mouse model in which residues located in the p38α docking region of TAB1 were mutated to prevent the p38α-TAB1 interaction (De Nicola et al., 2018). Thus, the development of strategy to target the TAB1-p38α MAPK may be therapeutically relevant. Interestingly, the single O-GlcNAc site of TAB1 is located within this p38α MAPK binding region (DeNicola et al., 2013; Pathak et al., 2012), which is required for p38α MAPK activation (Ge et al., 2002). It is possible that O-GlcNAc on TAB1 could play a role in modulating the TAB1-p38α interaction and subsequent p38α MAPK autoactivation. In the future, both in vitro and in vivo experiments could be performed to test this hypothesis. Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mice lacking O-GlcNAc on TAB1 will provide a suitable model to investigate the potential effect of this posttranslational modification on p38α MAPK signalling during cardiac injury in mice.

In our study, we did not observe any obvious phenotype in Tab1<sup>S393A</sup> mice. Given the role of TAB1 in immune response and its interactors, it is likely that induction of stress as inflammation could reveal potential phenotypes. We conclude that this model could be a valuable tool to further investigate the functional relevance of the single O-GlcNAcylation site of TAB1 in a whole organism at several stages of development but also in pathological conditions.

**Methods**

**Ethical statement**

All efforts were made to ameliorate any suffering of animals by providing appropriate husbandry environment conditions (listed below) in accordance with UK and European Union regulations. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 for the care and use of laboratory animals, and procedures were carried out under United Kingdom Home Office regulation and the project licence PAAE38C7B with approval by the Welfare and Ethical Use of Animals Committee of University of Dundee. Mice were humaneely killed using a Schedule 1 method as soon as any sign of distress or suffering were observed.

**Animal source and husbandry**

Mice were maintained on a C57BL/6J (Charles River UK) background and kept in groups of five animals in individually ventilated cages at 21°C, 45–65% relative humidity and a 12h/12h light/dark cycle under specific-pathogen–free conditions in accordance with UK and European Union regulations. Mice had access to a mouse house with sizzle-nest material for bedding and corn cob for nesting and free access to food (R&M3 pelleted irradiated diet) and 0.2 micron sterile filtered water.

**Generation of TAB1 constitutive knock-in S393A mice**

The TAB1 knock-in (KI) mouse line (C57BL/6N-Tac-Tab1<sup>tm3593(S393A)Arte</sup>) carrying a S393A mutation was generated by Taconic Artemis GmbH using the targeting strategy illustrated in Figure 1 and based on the NCBI transcript NM_025609.2. The targeting vector contains the translation initiation codon in exon 1 and also contains a flippase recognition target (FRT)-flanked puromycin cassette in intron 9. The targeting vector was generated using bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones from the C57BL/6J RPCI-B31 Tac BAC library and transfected by electroporation into C57BL/6NTac embryonic stem (ES) cell lines. Recombinant ES cell clones were identified by Southern blot using external (5’ ext and 3’ ext probes) and internal probes (cag). The genomic DNA of the ES cell clones were digested with SspI or SpfI for the 5’ ext probe and analysed for 11.5 kb (SspI digest) or 11.9 kb (SpfI digest) fragments for the recombinant allele, in addition to 24.2 kb (SspI digest) or 9 kb (SpfI digest) for the wild-type (WT) allele. For the 3’ ext probe, the genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI or KpnI and analysed for 13.5 kb (EcoRI digest) or 12.7 kb (KpnI digest) fragments for the recombinant allele, and 10.6 kb (EcoRI digest) or 22.1 kb (KpnI digest) fragments for the WT allele. Single integration was also confirmed by Southern blot analysis using the cag probe that detects a region located within the puromycin selection cassette. The genomic DNA of the ES cell clones was digested with BmtI, EcoRI or KpnI restriction enzymes and analysed for single fragments of 13.0 kb (BmtI digest), 13.5 kb (EcoRI digest) or 12.7 kb (KpnI digest) for the recombinant allele. The insertion of the point mutation S393A was validated by PCR genotyping using the Puro_R5 and Tab1_30 primers, recombinant Taq DNA polymerase (EP0402, Invitrogen) and T100 Thermal Cycler (Biorad). The following PCR program was used (98 °C for 2 min, 98 °C for 10 sec, 68 °C for 1 min, 40 cycles, 20 °C for 10 min). Two positive targeted ES cell clones, A-A08 and A-C04, were injected using piezo actuated microinjection pipette with an internal diameter of 12–15 μm into blastocyst cells from 10 superovulated 4/5 weeks old BALB/c female mice (BALB/cAnNTac, Taconic Artemis GmbH), which were later transferred into six pseudopregnant albino NMRI females (BomTac/NMRI, Taconic Artemis GmbH) and 31 chimeric mice were obtained. Chimerism was measured by coat colour contribution of ES cells to BALB/c host (black/white). Based on visual estimation, three highly chimeric (>75%) seven-week-old male mice were selected for further breeding with six age-matched flippase (Flp) deleter C57BL/6 female mice (C57BL/6-Tg(CAG-Flp)e2 Arte, Taconic Artemis GmbH) to remove the selection marker. From this breeding, 28 mice reached the weaning stage and were used for mouse genotyping analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted from ear notches collected during the microwave identification procedure using an ear punch tool (BrainTree Scientific, Inc) and analysed by PCR using recombinant Taq DNA polymerase (EP0402, Invitrogen) and T100 Thermal Cycler (Biorad). The PCR_33 and PCR_34 primers amplified bands of 259 bp and 334 bp for the WT and the constitutive KI, respectively, using the following PCR program (95 °C for 5 min, 95 °C for 30 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 1 min, 35 cycles, 72 °C for 10 min). The primers sequences used were: 5’ ext probe sense: AACGCTAGGCGCCCTCAAGCAC, 5’ ext probe antisense: ACCCGA-CATTGGGCAACGTAGAG, 3’ ext probe sense: TCTAAGACAAAGAGGCAACGAGT, 3’ ext probe antisense: GGACCTAAACCTCTCGCTGTAGACCC, Puro_R5: CCGTAAAGTTGTATGAAAGTGCGACTTACATACAG, Tab1_30: ATTTGAGGGAAA-GATCCAGAGTCC, PCR_33: TCACATCTACCCCTACTGACGC, PCR_34: ATATGGAGGATAGAGCTC.
Body weight measurement and tissue collection

Heterozygous offspring were used for breeding to generate homozygous Tab1S393A mice. WT and homozygous Tab1S393A mice were housed together in the same cage in groups of five animals. Body weight of six WT mice (three female and three male) and six homozygous Tab1S393A mice (four female and two male) were measured at three months of age. Data collection was performed by an independent observer in a blinded manner. For tissue collection, mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide gas exposure in a rising concentration and brain and heart tissue samples were collected, weighted and rapidly snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Immunoprecipitation of TAB1 and immunoblotting

Endogenous TAB1 was immunoprecipitated as described previously (Pathak et al., 2012). Briefly, mouse brain tissue from three WT and three homozygous Tab1S393A mice was lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1 % Triton-X100, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 0.27 M sucrose, 0.1 % 2-mercaptoethanol supplemented with protease inhibitors (1 mM benzamidene, 0.2 mM PMSF and 5 μM leupeptin) and 10 μM GlnNActstatin G. Brain lysate was centrifuged with 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4 °C and the protein concentration was determined with Pierce 660 nm protein assay (22660, Thermofisher Scientific). Cleared brain lysate containing 1mg of input protein was incubated for two hours at 4 °C with 10 μg of anti-TAB1 (sheep polyclonal S828A, Division of Signal Transduction Therapy, DSTT, University of Dundee) or anti-IgG antibody coupled with 10 μl of Dynabeads Protein G (10009D, Thermofisher). The beads were washed twice with 1 ml of lysis buffer containing 0.25 M NaCl, followed by two washes with 1 ml of 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl and 0.1 % (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol. Eluted samples were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) followed by Western blotting (Pathak et al., 2012). Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies specific to in-house produced gTAB1 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:1000, University of Dundee) (Pathak et al., 2012), TAB1 (sheep polyclonal S823A, 1:1000, DSTT) and TAK1 (sheep polyclonal S828A, 1:1000, DSTT) in blocking buffer, 5 % BSA in TBST (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1 % Tween-20) overnight at 4 °C and next with IR680 (catalogue # 925-68024, Li-Cor) and IR800 (RRID: AB_621848, catalogue # 926-32213, Li-Cor) donkey labelled secondary antibodies at room temperature for one hour. Blots were imaged using Li-Cor Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism version 6 software. For pairwise comparisons of WT and Tab1S393A body weight and heart size data, the Student’s t-test was used.

Data availability

Underlying data


Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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Reviewer 1:

1. Although the information was described in the Methods, the authors should also indicate the location of the restriction enzyme sites, primers and probes used in Figure 1. This will help the readers to understand the strategy. The authors should also provide the raw data of genomic sequencing containing the sequences encoding 393 Ala in KI and 393 Ser in WT mice.

We agree that this suggestion would improve the readability of Figure 1. We have added the locations of restriction sites, primers and probes to the revised figure legend. We have provided sequences of wild type and mutant homozygous mice in an extra panel in Figure 2.

2. It has been reported that Tab1-deficiency caused embryonic lethality, due to the defects in lung and cardiovascular morphogenesis. The authors showed that the heart weight of Tab1S393A mouse and WT mouse is comparable (Figure 3). It is unclear if there are any morphological abnormalities in the heart and lung of Tab1S393A mice. Histological analyses of heart and lung tissues should be done here. In addition, Figure 3B shows the heart weight is comparable between Tab1S393A and WT mouse at three months old. The unit in Y-axis should be clarified.

This is a valuable suggestion. In the revised Figure 3 we have now data on normalised heart weights. We consider a detailed analysis of heart phenotypes as an interesting research avenue, but beyond the scope of this paper.

3. The title of this report is "A mouse model for functional dissection of TAB1 O-GlcNAcylation". To convince the readers that this mouse model can be used to dissect the function of TAB1 O-GlcNAcylation, the authors should demonstrate the potential biological effects of losing O-GlcNAcylation on TAB1 Ser393.

We have deliberated chosen the wording of this title to indicate that this manuscript reports the characterisation and validation (e.g. loss of O-GlcNAc) of the model, not a detailed functional dissection. We would prefer to leave the title as is.

4. In Figure 3C, the authors used site-specific antibody to detect TAB1 O-GlcNAcylation in mouse cells. Although it has been reported that human TAB1 is O-GlcNAcylated on a single site at Ser395
(which is equivalent to Ser393 in mouse), it's not clear if mouse TAB1 is also only modified by O-GlcNAcylation on a single site or actually on multiple sites. The authors should clarify this point by experiments.

We have added (to Figure 1a) a sequence alignment of mouse and human TAB1 covering the 382-420aa of the C-terminal region that carries the TAB1 O-GlcNAc site. The human O-GlcNAc Ser residue is conserved in mouse and corresponds to the Ser393. We have clarified in the manuscript that the Ser393 residue is the sole reported O-GlcNAc site in mouse TAB1 in vivo according to previous studies (Trinidad et al. 2012; Alfaro et al. 2012). We also replaced the mention of “single O-GlcNAcylation site” on mouse TAB1 “by sole reported O-GlcNAcylation site” from the manuscript.

5. In Figure 3D, the authors showed that, as compared with WT TAB1, TAB1S393A interacts normally with TAK1. More appropriate controls, such as the negative control antibody or treatment with LPS, should be included. For example, it has been reported that O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 is induced upon IL-1 stimulation or osmotic stress. It will provide better information if the experiments in Figure 3C and Figure 3D can be conducted in cells under stimulation or stress.

We have now added negative (IgG) and positive controls (macrophage lysates) to Figure 3 with the full blots deposited in the Figshare data repository along with the original unedited gels (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9206567.v1 (Authier, 2019a)). We agree that the effects of loss of TAB1 O-GlcNAcylation can now be studied using this mouse model in different contexts, but this is beyond the scope of this paper.

6. The authors claimed that this KI mouse line could be a good model for further study of the inflammatory diseases, but mouse brain tissues were used in the co-IP experiments in Figure 3. It will be more informative if immune cells from Tab1S393A mice can be included for comparison with WT immune cells.

We focused on brain tissues as they provided the largest amounts of TAB1 for biochemical studies.
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