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Abstract

Background: Informal urban settlements, known as slums, are the home for a large proportion of the world population. Healthcare in these environments is extremely complex, driven by poverty, environmental challenges, and poor access to formal health infrastructures. This study investigated healthcare challenges faced and choices made by slum dwellers in Mumbai, India.

Methods: Structured interviews with 549 slum dwellers from 13 slum areas in Mumbai, India, were conducted in order to obtain a population profile of health-related socio-economic and lifestyle factors, disease history and healthcare access. Statistical tools such as multinomial logistic regression were used to examine the association between such factors and health choices.

Results: Private providers (or a mixture of public and private) were seen to be preferred by the study population for most health conditions (62% - 90% health consultations), apart from pregnancy (43% health consultations). Community-based services were also preferred to more remote options. Stark differences in healthcare access were observed between well-known conditions, such as minor injuries, pulmonary conditions, and pregnancy and emerging challenges, such as hypertension and diabetes. A number of socio-economic and lifestyle factors were found to be associated with health-related decisions, including choice of provider and expenditure.

Conclusions: Better planning and coordination of health services, across public and private providers, is required to address mortality and morbidity in slum communities in India. This study provides insights into the complex landscape of diseases and health providers that slum dwellers navigate when accessing healthcare. Findings suggest that integrated services and public-private partnerships could help address demand for affordable community-based care and progress towards the target of universal health coverage.
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Introduction

By 2025, the world population is projected to reach 8.1 billion, with the majority of this growth taking place in developing countries. Nowhere else will this growth be more concentrated than in the already heavily populated informal settlements of cities known as slums. Slums are said to represent a fundamental transformation of the physical and social environment of cities and of human health. In India, over 100 million people are estimated to live in urban slums. The determinants of health in urban slums are extremely complex, a concentration of the detrimental effects of poverty and environmental challenges, as well as marginalisation from formal infrastructure and services. If India is to make progress towards achieving the Sustainable Development Goal 3 to ‘ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages’, a focus on slum populations will be crucial.

In addition to persisting challenges of infectious diseases and maternal health, low-resource settings are confronted with a growing burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Low- and middle-income countries currently experience 82% of the global burden of premature NCD deaths, with 250 million slum dwellers estimated to suffer from NCDs. The determinants of NCDs in urban slum populations are complex, with risk factors ranging from lifestyle, to infectious diseases, such as HIV and hepatitis, and to malnutrition and suboptimal foetal programming during pregnancy. The latter is a particular challenge in Indian slums, where 40% of pregnant women are malnourished and the prevalence of gestational diabetes and gestational hypertensive disorders have been reported to be as high as 35% and 15%, respectively. With appreciation for this interconnection, the UN declaration from 2011 advocates implementation of integrated programmes across NCDs and maternal and child health (MCH). Yet, little is understood about how such integrated programmes could fit in the current fragmented landscape of health services in urban slums.

Agarwal has published a comprehensive overview of the healthcare landscape in Indian slums and the National Family Health Survey, conducted by the government of India, has reported on incidence statistics, particularly in the context of maternal and child health. Beyond these, health statistics and access to care data about slum populations are sparse, with the vast inter- and intra-slum variability rarely captured in formal reports. Identifying slums as spatial entities in data systems, including national censuses, is one of the main policy recommendations listed in a recent Lancet series on the health of people who live in slums. The lack of population-based data likely leads to underreporting of chronic conditions that remain undiagnosed, untreated, and hence unreported. Moreover, this sparsity of data results in poor understanding of the factors associated with healthcare decisions influencing choice of provider and healthcare expenditure. A few studies have suggested that slum communities access care from a mixture of public and private providers, with distance, household decision-making structure and perceived quality of care being important factors in their decision. Association between socio-economic factors and availability, affordability and utilisation of health services have also been reported. Without a better understanding of how slum populations navigate India’s complex healthcare environment, effective design of healthcare policy or implementation strategy to improve access to essential healthcare services and address current and emerging epidemics will be limited and challenging.

This study examined the disease burden, health awareness and services, and healthcare choices reported by residents across 13 slums in Mumbai, India. To provide insights into the heterogeneous nature of healthcare choices, the study focused on parents of young children who are likely to have recently encountered a number of health challenges including maternal health, common health conditions, and early-onset NCDs. These insights are of high relevance to integrated health programmes looking to align incentives behind traditionally verticalized services.

Methods

Study setting

The study took place between January and May 2015 in the Bandra, Khar, Santa Cruz, and Andheri areas of the city of Mumbai, India, in 13 slum areas covered by the health and social programs of the nongovernmental organization the Centre for the Study of Social Change (CSSC). The study population and health system context has previously been described. Briefly, Mumbai is home to about 12.4 million people, with population density of about 19,652 people per km². Rapid migration from rural Maharashtra, and other states like Bihar and Uttar Pradesh puts a burden on Mumbai’s infrastructure and services. Approximately 41.8% of the population of Mumbai live in slums. Slum accommodation varies from simple shacks to permanent structures; they are all very close together and small, with a family typically living in one room approximately 8 x 10 ft. The CSSC works on grassroots social development in these communities, with a particular focus on primary healthcare services; since 1972, the organisation has built up an infrastructure of trained health workers that engage in both health delivery and research projects.

The study focused on parents of young children in order to provide direct comparison between people’s choices regarding a range of healthcare challenges. The demographic captured in this
study is strongly indicative of future challenges facing urban slum populations as their current choices and risk factors will directly affect their and their children’s health. Moreover, integrated health solutions targeting early risk factors of NCDs, as highlighted in the Introduction, would target this demographic group.

Data collection
Data collection was conducted by 22 community healthcare workers, with previous experience in community-focused research. Training for the interview process was conducted by CSSC senior research staff over a period of two days, followed by a series of mock interviews supervised by a senior member of the research team to ensure processes were followed correctly. Each interview lasted approximately 30 minutes and consisted of a series of standardised questions on: socio-economic background; disease history; risk factors, including nutritional patterns, smoking and alcohol consumption habits; access to health care for a range of conditions; and access to medication. The series of questions on NCD access were designed by appropriating the WHO recommended NCD STEPS survey. The Key Indicators of Urban Slums in India document, created by the Indian Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, was consulted to ensure that all questions were contextually appropriate. The questionnaire was designed in English and translated in Hindi and Marathi by a local translator (translation was reviewed by senior research staff over a period of two days, followed by a series of mock interviews reviewed by senior research staff at CSSC) to accommodate language preferences across all participating communities.

The following procedure was followed in order to obtain a sample of the population: (1) the slums were divided into 13 areas, covering a population of approximately 65,000 people and 13,000 households; (2) each health worker was assigned an area to cover; (3) health workers were asked to approach people in their households at random, ensuring participants were not from the same or directly neighbouring households. Participation in the interview process was entirely voluntary and each participant was clearly informed of their right to withdraw at any point of the interview. Both male (152) and female (397) participants were interviewed; a larger group of women was interviewed since maternal health questions represented a substantial part of the interview.

The following were the participant eligibility criteria:

- be over 18 years of age;
- have lived in this area for at least 6 months;
- have had children within the last 5 years or be currently expecting a child;
- have not participated in recent large-scale healthcare studies and trials (to avoid bias in healthcare access perception)
- only one member of a given family or household was interviewed

Data were collected, digitised and stored by the CSSC. Anonymised data were shared with the research team at the University of Oxford for analysis.

Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using statistical packages in Matlab 8.0 and Statistics Toolbox 8.1 (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States). Results are presented as median with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables and prevalence for categorical variables. Statistical significance tests were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test due to its nonparametric nature and applicability to ordinal data.

Multinomial logit modelling was used to examine the association of socio-demographic and lifestyle factors with choice of healthcare provider, where the use of public provider was taken as a baseline and the use of private or multiple providers represented the two other possible categories. Similarly, multiple linear regression was used to investigate the association of such factors with the cost of health consultations.

Ethical statement
Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee (OxTREC Reference: 556-14). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study at the time of interview.

Results
Population profile and lifestyle factors
Between January and May 2015, 557 people were invited to participate in the study; 549 agreed, representing a response rate of 99%. The socio-demographic characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. The study population was young, with a median age of 29 years (33 years for men and 28 years for women). The percentage of households earning less than 12,000 INR (USD 180) monthly was 76.6% and nearly 50% reported earned less than 9,000 INR (USD 135). Decisions regarding the household finances (including healthcare payments) were reported to be made primarily by the husband (62%) in the family or in some cases his parents (27%). The majority of women (83%) did not engage in any paid labour and instead performed unpaid work in the home; only 32% of men were in a permanent job, in contrast to 54% of men in temporary/casual labour. Some of the most common occupations amongst those employed were: driver, seller of goods, shop/stall owner, tailor and household assistant. Approximately half of the respondents reported to have completed Higher Secondary Education (52%), with no statistically significant differences found between men and women.

Lifestyle characteristics of the population are reported in Table 2. Both alcohol consumption and tobacco use were seen to be more prevalent in men than women, 24% versus 0% (p<0.001) and 18% versus 5% (p<0.001), respectively. Self-reported levels of physical activity during work were higher in men (52%) than in women (32%) (p<0.001).

Maternal and NCD health awareness
Health awareness related to maternal health (MH), as well as hypertension and diabetes, was recorded (Table 2). An overwhelming majority of both men (98%) and women (100%)
Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of population, reported separately for men and women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (N = 152)</th>
<th>Women (N = 397)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Age [years]** **
Median (IQR)** | 33 (30 – 37)  | 28 (25 – 31)    |
| **Residency in slum [years]** **
Median (IQR)** | 25 (7 – 33)   | 6 (3 – 11)      |
| **People in household** **
Median (IQR)** | 5 (4 – 7)     | 5 (4 – 7)       |
| **People in household in paid work** **
Median (IQR)** | 1 (1-2)       | 2 (1-2)         |
| **Children** **
Median (IQR)** | 1 (1-2)       | 2 (1-3)         |
| **Household monthly income** **
 n (% of all respondents)** |             |                 |
| < 6,000 INR (USD 90) | 15 (10)       | 56 (14)         |
| ≥ 6,000 INR (USD 90) & < 9,000 INR (USD 135) | 54 (36)       | 134 (34)        |
| ≥ 9,000 INR (USD 135) & < 12,000 INR (USD 180) | 39 (26)       | 120 (30)        |
| ≥12,000 INR (USD 180) | 43 (28)       | 85 (22)         |
| **Types of occupation** **
 n (% of all respondents)** |             |                 |
| Unpaid work at home | 1 (1)         | 329 (83)        |
| Paid permanent job | 48 (32)       | 11 (3)          |
| Paid temporary/casual labour | 80 (54) | 42 (11)         |
| Other | 20 (13)       | 12 (3)          |
| **Decision maker regarding household finances** **
 n (% of all respondents)** |             |                 |
| Man/Husband | 103 (68)       | 229 (58)        |
| Woman/Wife | 6 (4)          | 36 (9)          |
| Maternal parents | 0 (0)        | 12 (3)          |
| Paternal parents | 41 (27) | 106 (27)        |
| Other | 2 (1)          | 12 (3)          |
| **Completed education level** **
 n (% of all respondents)** |             |                 |
| None | 1 (1)          | 16 (4)          |
| Primary [1–5 years] | 6 (4)         | 19 (5)          |
| Secondary [6–8 years] | 10 (7)       | 37 (9)          |
| High School [9–10 years] | 25 (17)     | 71 (18)         |
| Higher Secondary [11–12 years] | 84 (56)    | 202 (51)        |
| University/Vocational Schooling | 25 (17)     | 51 (13)         |

* p ≤ 0.01 ** p ≤ 0.001 for the difference between men and women.

reported that clinical consultation during pregnancy is important. A high proportion of participants were able to define hypertension (67%) and diabetes (74%). No statistically significant differences were found between the two sexes (hypertension: p=0.321, diabetes: p=0.283). Participants with higher levels of education were more likely to be aware of hypertension and diabetes as compared to those with lower levels of education (p<0.001 in both cases).
Table 2. Lifestyle characteristics and health awareness reported separately for men and women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n = 146 )</td>
<td>( n = 396 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit &amp; vegetable [portions per week]</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 (3-5)</td>
<td>4 (3-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein &amp; carbohydrates [portions per week]</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentils</td>
<td>7 (6-7)</td>
<td>7 (5-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption:* **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>36 (24)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use:* **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>21 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity during work:**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>76 (52)</td>
<td>126 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of clinical consultation during pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>149 (98)</td>
<td>397 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>106 (70)</td>
<td>259 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>116 (77)</td>
<td>283 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of sources of care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n (%) )</td>
<td>397 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>32 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>12 (80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( p \leq 0.01 \) ** \( p \leq 0.001 \) for the difference between women and men.

** a: defined as non-abstainers; b: consumption of any type of tobacco; c: more than 10 min of continuous physical activity; d: for pregnancy \( n = 397 \); for hypertension \( n = 61 \); for diabetes \( n = 15 \).

Prevalence of disease
Most people reported to have experienced minor conditions (Category I: headache, minor burns and injuries) at some point in their life (71% of men and 75% of women). Experience of more complex conditions was lower: 15% for chronic conditions (Category II: pulmonary/cardiovascular disease symptoms, such as chest pain and breathing problems, and other chronic problems); and 9% for severe trauma (such as major injuries, bleeding and fractures). No statistically significant difference in disease history between men and women was observed (Category I: \( p = 0.253 \), Category II: \( p = 0.701 \), Category III: \( p = 0.134 \)). Experience of conditions from two categories was reported by 20% of respondents and experience of conditions from three categories by 5% of respondents. The self-reported current prevalence of hypertension was 10% amongst men and 12% amongst women (\( p = 0.572 \)). The self-reported prevalence of diabetes was 2% amongst men and 4% amongst women (\( p = 0.351 \)). Participants reported higher prevalence of the two conditions amongst family members, 26% for hypertension and 20% for diabetes, with 10% of families affected by both conditions.

Access to healthcare services
Access to a number of relevant health services was investigated. A higher proportion of women than men reported to have ever had their blood pressure and blood sugar measured - 48% versus 39% (\( p = 0.062 \)) and 25% versus 13% (\( p < 0.001 \)), respectively. Only 50% of participants with hypertension and 58% of those with diabetes had ever taken medication for their condition.

Within maternal health, access to services appeared to be good. Most women reported to be accessing some type of maternal healthcare during pregnancy. Although 94.5% of all women stated that visiting a clinic during pregnancy is important, 79.3% said...
it was too expensive and 75.8% said it was too far away. Consequently, 92.0% of women accessed maternal care during pregnancy but only 61.5% reported to visit a clinic, with the rest relying on providers in the community. Sixty three percent of women reported to have had their first consultation within the first trimester and 92% had a consultation at least once per month, after their first consultation. More than 90% of women reported to receive essential pregnancy services, including blood and urine tests, ultrasound scans, weight measurement and abdominal palpation. More than 85% of women reported to take pregnancy tablets such as folic acid, iron and vitamins during pregnancy.

Choice of healthcare providers

The choice of healthcare providers was reported to vary depending on the disease, as illustrated in Figure 1. Results are reported on the basis of people who have been previously or are currently affected by a given condition. Participants could pick multiple providers per health condition, hence the percentages indicate the proportion that each type of provider represents of all answers pertaining to a category. Private providers were seen to dominate as the preferred source of care in all categories, apart from maternal health. Mild conditions and conditions requiring repeated visits were primarily dealt with through private providers locally. Treatment for more severe trauma was split between public (42%) and private (54%); surprisingly, local private providers were chosen by 26% of respondents even for this type of health problem. Public providers were seen to play an important role in maternal health (60%); 33% of women reported to access a mixture of both public and private services during pregnancy. Many people affected by hypertension and diabetes could not identify a provider that they can receive care from for their condition (40%). Contributions from providers of alternative care or pharmacies appeared to be minimal (≤6% across all conditions).

In cases where multiple visits were required to resolve the health issue, 56% of respondents reported to switch providers. For any given disease category or MH, a mixture of public and private providers was reported by less than 6% of respondents; yet, aggregated across disease categories and MH this resulted in 32% of people using a mixture of public and private providers.

Variation in provider choice was also observed regarding access to medication - 55% of women reported to procure pregnancy supplements through the public health system (public doctor, health post or community health worker) and the rest procured them from a private provider.

Healthcare costs

Costs associated with health consultations for the health conditions investigated, across various provider types as reported by participants, are summarised in Table 3. The majority of governmental services were reported to be accessed for free or at very low cost (10 INR). The cost of care from a private provider locally was seen to be comparable across disease categories, with

![Figure 1. Types of providers per disease category.](image)

The population in each disease category includes the number of people affected by that condition. Participants were allowed to select multiple providers; therefore, for each disease category, n denotes the sum of responses for that disease category. Category I: Minor disease, e.g. headache, fever, minor burns, injuries. Category II: Pulmonary/Cardiovascular disease symptoms, e.g. chest pain & breathing problems as well as other chronic conditions that require multiple consultations. Category III: Severe trauma such as major injuries, bleeding, fractures.
Table 3. Costs associated with each provider for a given disease category. The population in each disease category includes the number of people affected by that condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Public provider</th>
<th>Private provider [local]</th>
<th>Private provider [remote]</th>
<th>Pharmacist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category I</td>
<td>10 (10-10)</td>
<td>50 (50-80)</td>
<td>60 (50-100)</td>
<td>10 (0-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category II</td>
<td>10 (10-10)</td>
<td>70 (50-100)</td>
<td>200 (100-500)</td>
<td>50 (40-125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category III</td>
<td>10 (10-10)</td>
<td>65 (50-70)</td>
<td>500 (300-3500)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public providers Private providers

Maternal Health 10 (0-10) 200 (100-460)

Category I: Minor disease, e.g. headache, fever, minor burns, injuries.
Category II: Pulmonary/Cardiovascular disease symptoms, e.g. chest pain & breathing problems as well as other chronic conditions that require multiple consultations.
Category III: Severe trauma such as major injuries, bleeding, fractures.

Median values of 50 INR, 70 INR and 65 INR across Category I, II and III, respectively. By contrast, remote providers of private care, outside the community, were reported to charge a higher and more variable fee, depending on the type of condition, with median values of 60 INR, 200 INR, 500 INR for Categories I, II, III, respectively.

Within maternal health, women paid for their pregnancy consultations in 73.5% of the cases. Payment in the public sector was minimal, median of 10 INR (IQR 10-10 INR), and payment in the private sector was considerably higher, median of 200 INR (IQR: 100-460 INR).

Given the small number of people suffering from hypertension and diabetes, the interview enquired about willingness to pay rather than history of payment as for the other conditions. More than 93% of all people interviewed reported being willing to pay to get tested for either of these conditions. Differences were observed in the amount people were willing to pay for hypertension testing, median of 20 INR (IQR: 10-30 INR), versus diabetes testing, median of 30 INR (IQR: 20-50 INR).

Healthcare choices
Table 4 presents insights into participant characteristics and their associations with healthcare provider choice and cost. Women with higher income and those who reported higher fruit & vegetable consumption were more likely to choose private than public providers for maternal healthcare. For general conditions (i.e. disease Categories I, II and III combined), women from smaller households, women from households with higher income, women with more children, and men who reported consuming more portions of protein and carbohydrates were more likely to use mixed providers (public and private) compared with only using public providers.

The amount people chose to spend on individual consultations in the general disease category was seen to be associated with household income for men and residency in the community (in years) for women. Women with more children, those who reported consuming more protein and carbohydrates, and those who reported smoking tobacco, reported spending less on maternal health care.

Discussion
Our study presents findings on healthcare challenges and choices in a number of slum communities across Mumbai. The study focused on parents of young children as a subsection of the population experiencing a range of health challenges, where healthcare choices are influenced by different socio-economic factors. A number of important differences with previous studies, focused on other demographic groups, were found, including differences in education levels and health awareness, as discussed below. This demographic is highly relevant for organisations designing integrated health programmes (e.g. NCD-MCH programmes) as well as forward-looking health policy development that might target this demographic as a household entry point for health interventions (more than 65% of participants reported to be responsible for financial decisions including healthcare of the household).

The population studied was found to have limited income (50% of households earned less than 9,000 INR (USD 135) per month, compared to a national minimum wage for unskilled labour of INR 9,568); literacy in the community was high (the majority had high school education or above). Health awareness was found to be good and demand for healthcare service high, evidenced by: regular accessing of antenatal services, willingness to pay for diagnostic tests for diabetes and hypertension, as well as spending on private over public providers. Differences in the accessibility of health services, depending on the health condition, were found, with majority of women receiving basic antenatal services, whilst people suffering from diabetes or hypertension received no medication and did not know how to access care. Consequently, the burden of NCDs remains hidden.
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to a large degree. These findings identify gaps in current access to healthcare but also highlight some potential opportunities for delivery of affordable community-based health services.

The choice of healthcare provider was found to be strongly fragmented, with different types of providers being accessed depending on the health condition and frequent changes of providers between multiple consultations. The public sector as a sole source of care was only preferred within maternal health (57% of women); otherwise the majority of care (62%–90% of consultations across conditions) was obtained from private or a mixture of public and private providers. Our findings are consistent with other studies that found that private providers, whilst significantly more expensive, were favoured as they were perceived to provide a better and a safer service. Moreover, previous studies have also commented on poor user experience within the public health system, including time-inefficiency and ill-treatment by clinical staff, reinforcing preferences for private care. Insight into how slum populations navigate the heterogeneous environment of healthcare providers, in the context of differing health conditions and needs, is crucial for effective healthcare planning to ensure universal access to high quality healthcare for these vulnerable populations.

Our finding that the choice of healthcare provider is associated with a number of socio-demographic and lifestyle factors is echoed in a number of other studies. Surprisingly, the level of education was not found to be associated with provider choice; this might be attributed to the higher average education level in the community, compared to other studies. Healthcare expenditure on individual consultations was found to be vaguely associated with socio-demographics – household income for men and duration of residency in the community for women. Women who are recent migrants in the community have limited knowledge of health providers and weak social networks, which has been highlighted as a barrier to health access. The fact that maternal health expenditure showed no association with economic factors could be explained by the complex combination of cultural and social circumstances that have been reported to influence maternal health decision making, e.g. the number of children, tradition, and the influence of mothers-in-law. There are a number of possible limitations to this study which should be considered. The study was cross-sectional in design, making the evaluation of temporal relationships between socio-demographic factors and healthcare decisions impossible. Additionally, socio-demographic and lifestyle characteristics, as well as disease prevalence, are self-reported by participants, which might have introduced information and/or recall bias as well as substantial amount of under-reporting of disease incidence, particularly NCDs, as most people reported to never have had their blood sugar or blood pressure measured. The sample size was found to be insufficient to investigate other factors likely
to be affecting healthcare choices, such as the geographical distribution of participant’s households and proximity to public/private facilities. More detailed surveying of provider availability and quality of services for each area is required to further map any spending patterns in relation to service supply. Finally, given the choice of study population and socio-economic specifics of Mumbai (a more economically developed city), findings are not directly generalisable across slum communities.

Slum communities, who navigate a complex landscape of over-burdened public and unregulated private providers, are often victims of higher mortality and morbidity, as well as crippling out-of-pocket expenditure that sends them deeper into poverty. This study provides a rare insight into the factors associated with healthcare choices amongst slum communities in Mumbai. Findings highlight stark differences between services for well-known health conditions (e.g. maternal health) and less known, emerging problems (diabetes and hypertension). This suggests that, to achieve universal health coverage, integration of new services into existing care delivery channels might be advantageous. Although people in these communities are poor, their health expenditure was found not to be associated with income and spending on private providers was very common, especially on providers operating in the community. This is consistent with other studies where private services were favoured as they did not result in a daily wage loss. This suggests that public-private partnerships that strengthen capacity for community-based care may be an appropriate model that allows for better regulation of providers, whilst satisfying convenience requirements, as discussed in the literature. The implementation of such programmes should be conducted in the context of the National Urban Health Mission of the Government of India, providing a framework for the identification of both listed and unlisted slums. In order to make progress towards universal health coverage to equitable and quality health services, strategic planning and innovation within the Indian healthcare system will be crucial and slum populations must not be left behind. Hence, further research focused on healthcare within urban slum communities is greatly needed.
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Overall, this is a well written paper that has studied healthcare among an important and rapidly growing segment of the World’s and India’s population. Below are two strengths of and three major suggestions for this paper and then follow more detailed
section-wise review comments and suggestions.

Strengths:
1. The methods and results are clearly described.
2. The authors have highlighted the reported level of access to healthcare services of slum women and men. The paper also insightfully describes the different types of healthcare providers accessed by slum women and men for different health conditions.

Suggestions:
1. This paper will vastly benefit by mentioning Government of India’s mandate and suggested methods of identifying all slums (listed as well as unlisted) and slum enumeration methods utilised in Indian cities.
2. The authors should describe the existing public sector healthcare system of Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and State Government of Maharashtra in the four areas of Mumbai where the sample was drawn.
3. Strengthening and broadening the discussion about factors associated with slum women and men accessing private healthcare providers will enhance the significance of this paper by referring to slum healthcare literature from Indian Sub-continent.

More detailed section wise review:
Introduction:
1. The authors are encouraged to briefly describe how this paper contributes information that is not already available to the larger body of knowledge; and how the data from this research stored in digital format in Centre for the Study of Social Change (CSSC) is contributing to the community that was studied and where CSSC works towards grassroots social development focused on strengthening primary healthcare services.

2. Identifying slums as spatial entities is a vital concept for understanding and strengthening healthcare in slums. This aspect should be strengthened in the paper by mentioning Government of India’s mandate and suggested methods of identifying all slums (listed as well as unlisted) and slum enumeration methods utilised in Indian cities. The Draft Final Report of the Urban Health Task Force of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India recommended “mapping of both listed and unlisted slums”\(^1\). The National Urban Health Mission of the Government of India, which is based on the recommendations of the aforementioned task force, mandates inclusion of “urban poor population living in listed and unlisted slums; all other vulnerable population such as homeless, rag-pickers, street children, rickshaw pullers, construction and brick and lime kiln workers, sex workers, and other temporary migrants” (page 5)\(^2\) and “mapping of listed and unlisted slums” (page 76)\(^3\). There is evidence from literature that community enumeration and assessment from Indian cities showed a substantial proportion of unlisted slums (Vlahov et al, 2011)\(^4\). The National Sample Survey (page (i) of the report) found that 59.9% slums were “non-notified”\(^5\).

3. The scarcity of data about healthcare access of slum populations is crucial to address. At the same time, the authors could mention available health access data about urban disadvantaged populations from literature such as from “Health and Living Conditions in Indian Cities: National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) India 2005-06”\(^6\) and “The State of Urban Health in India: Comparing the poorest quartile to the rest of the urban population in selected states and cities (2011)”\(^7\).
4. The authors should succinctly describe the public sector urban healthcare delivery system of the slums in Mumbai (offered by Municipal corporation of Greater Mumbai and the State Government of Maharashtra) that they studied in Bandra, Khar, Santa Cruz and Andheri, to enable the readers of Wellcome Open Research better understand the findings and discussion related to choice of healthcare providers well described in this paper.

Methods and Results
1. Study methods and results are well described are clear.

2. The authors have highlighted the reported level of access to healthcare services of slum women and men. The paper also insightfully describes the different types of healthcare providers accessed by slum women and men for different health conditions.

3. If the authors undertake any future studies on similar themes in any country, they would do well to complement quantitative data along with qualitative findings.

Discussion
1. The discussion section captures the findings very well.

2. The authors should consider describing before “this suggests that public private partnerships…” in paragraph 5 of the discussion, additional factors that affect health provider choice of slum dwelling women and men utilising slum healthcare literature from South Asia. This strengthening and broadening could include a) availability of private providers in or near community in the evening (Adams et al. 2015); b) long distance (also mentioned in this paper), time consuming procedure and rude behaviour during seeking healthcare from Government facilities (Baruah and Pandav, 2011); c) low income, compulsion to work for survival (Agarwal et al. 2009), wage loss in seeking public sector healthcare (Saw, et al).

A suggestion: The authors are encouraged to consider revising the language of sentences such as “Participants were allowed to select multiple providers per health condition.” It would be worthwhile to describing what must have actually been done by the data collectors, who have been working long term in the community.
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**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.
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Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Partly

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

**Author Response 04 Jul 2018**

**Elina Naydenova**, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Thank you very much for your insightful comments and feedback. We have addressed your feedback one by one below.

**Introduction**

1. We have amended the introduction to highlight how our research supplements existing knowledge.

   *This study examined the disease burden, health awareness and services, and healthcare choices reported by residents across 13 slums in Mumbai, India. To provide insights into the heterogeneous nature of healthcare choices, the study focused on parents of young children who are likely to have recently encountered a number of health challenges including maternal health.*
common health conditions, and early-onset NCDs. These insights are of high relevance to integrated health programmes looking to align incentives behind traditionally verticalized services.

2. Thank you very much for highlighting the importance of identifying slums as spatial entities and the important work conducted by the Government of India in this context. We have made changes to emphasise this in the discussion of the paper.

This suggests that public-private partnerships that strengthen capacity for community-based care may be an appropriate model that allows for better regulation of providers, whilst satisfying convenience requirements, as discussed in the literature. The implementation of such programmes should be conducted in the context of the National Urban Health Mission of the Government of India, providing a framework for the identification of both listed and unlisted slums.

3. References to existing sources of health access data in slum populations have been added to the introduction.

Agarwal has published a comprehensive overview of the healthcare landscape in Indian slums and the National Family Health Survey, conducted by the government of India, has reported on incidence statistics, particularly in the context of maternal and child health. Beyond these, health statistics and access to care data about slum populations are sparse, with the vast inter- and intra-slum variability rarely captured in formal reports.

4. Thank for highlighting the importance of the readers’ understanding of the health system context, we completely agree with your point. Whilst the word limit of this publication does not allow us to cover this in great lengths, we have highlighted references to a few other studies conducted by the CSSC team with the same population that describe the context in more detail.

The study population and health system context has previously been described.

Methods and results

1. “Study methods and results are well described and clear.”

Thank you very much for acknowledging this.

2. “The authors have highlighted the reported level of access to healthcare services of slum women and men. The paper also insightfully describes the different types of healthcare providers accessed by slum women and men for different health conditions.”

Thank you very much for your complimentary feedback.

3. “If the authors undertake any future studies on similar themes in any country, they would do well to complement quantitative data along with qualitative findings.”

We completely agree with your suggestion regarding complimenting quantitative findings with qualitative ones and are committed to doing this in future studies. We hope that other research teams follow your recommendation too.
Discussion

1. “The discussion section captures the findings very well.”

Thank you very much.

2. We completely agree with your suggestion and have amended the discussion to highlight other factors influencing healthcare choices as per the existing literature.

Other studies have suggested that slum communities access care from a mixture of public and private providers, with distance, household decision-making structure and perceived quality of care being important factors in their decision.20–23

... Our findings are consistent with other studies that found that private providers, whilst significantly more expensive, were favoured as they were perceived to provide a better and a safer service21,26,32. Moreover, previous studies have also commented on poor user experience within the public health system, including time-inefficiency and ill-treatment by clinical staff, reinforcing preferences for private care.47

... Although people in these communities are poor, their health expenditure was found not to be associated with income and spending on private providers was very common, especially on providers operating in the community. This is consistent with other studies where private services were favoured as they were less likely to result in a daily wage loss.48

Kind regards,
Elina Naydenova

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
in part (e.g. antenatal care, facility delivery and immunization, nutrition) and where the private sector is filling a gap or replacing government services.

The lack of use of pharmacies and alternative medicine is a surprising finding and may be reflective of either Mumbai or the youth and education of participants which are different from other healthcare utilization surveys in India. This is likely because of the need to enroll those who have recently had or will shortly have a birth in the family.
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Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
No source data required

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Partly
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I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 04 Jul 2018

**Elina Naydenova,** University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Thank you very much for your excellent suggestion regarding the evaluation of government services in the urban slum communities. We will most definitely incorporate this in future research and encourage colleagues to do so too. Such insights would be complimentary to the work conducted here which focused on factors influencing healthcare choices among urban slum dwellers. As you highlight in your comments, healthcare delivery in such communities is fragmented across public and private providers and previous research has sought to evaluate gaps in public health delivery filled in by private services [1,2,3]. Our research aimed to capture unique insights regarding the complex drivers behind residents’ health choices, investigated in the context of a range of socio-economic factors.

Your comment about generalisability is very insightful and we have added comments in the discussion section to reflect this (see underlined text below). We completely agree that findings are not necessarily generalisable across India and are driven by a number of socio-demographic factors.
factors associated with the urban slum population of Mumbai as well as the inclusion criteria of the study.

**Discussion:**
Our study presents findings on healthcare challenges and choices in a number of slum communities across Mumbai. The study focused on parents of young children as a subsection of the population experiencing a range of health challenges, where healthcare choices are influenced by different socio-economic factors. A number of important differences with previous studies, focused on other demographic groups, were found, including differences in education levels and health awareness, as discussed below. This demographic is highly relevant for organisations designing integrated health programmes (e.g. NCD-MCH programmes) as well as forward-looking health policy development that might target this demographic as a household entry point for health interventions (more than 65% of participants reported to be responsible for financial decisions including healthcare of the household).

...Additionally, socio-demographic and lifestyle characteristics, as well as disease prevalence, are self-reported by participants, which might have introduced information and/or recall bias as well as substantial amount of under-reporting of disease incidence, particularly NCDs, as most people reported to never have had their blood sugar or blood pressure measured. The sample size was found to be insufficient to investigate other factors likely to be affecting healthcare choices, such as the geographical distribution of participant’s households and proximity to public/private facilities. More detailed surveying of provider availability and quality of services for each area is required to further map any spending patterns in relation to service supply. Finally, given the choice of study population and socio-economic specifics of Mumbai (a more economically developed city), findings are not directly generalisable across slum communities.
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Research into the health issues in urban slums is important. As the authors state the literature on this topic is very scarce, while the number of people living in slums is increasing. From this point of view the paper is an important contribution. I do, however, have some concerns about the methodology applied that should be taken into account when interpreting the results.

The most important concern is that the study population clearly is a selection of relatively young people with surprisingly high levels of education. By including only people who have had children within the last 5 years or were expecting a child the authors excluded a large part of the slum population. For studying maternal health issues this selection makes perfect sense, but the aim of the study was also to examine the disease burden in general, with maternal healthcare being one of the fields of interest. This chosen selection has a strong impact on the results regarding NCDs, almost certainly leading to an underestimation of the prevalence of diseases like hypertension and diabetes.

Another concern regards the fact that, understandably, only self-reported prevalence data were collected. This also leads to an underestimation of prevalence data, especially for hypertension and diabetes, simply because these diseases often go without clear complaints. The fact that only less than half of the people report the have ever measured their blood pressure and only less than a quarter their blood sugar suggests that the prevalence figures presented may easily be double in reality.

I would expect the above concerns to be discussed in the discussion section of the paper.

I agree with the authors that much more research is needed into the health profiles of people living in slums. For proper planning and coordination of health services to cope with the health issues in slums, we do need more precise and 'objective' data then those presented in this paper. But again, keeping the concerns mentioned in mind, this paper is an important contribution to this field.
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Thank you for your great comments and feedback. Your comments about the demographic profile of the study population and the self-reported nature of observations raise very important point. We have made changes to the introduction and discussion sections to clarify and highlight that:

1. This study focuses on a population of parents of young children as this demographic is likely to face a wide range of health challenges, from common health problems, through maternal care, to early onset of NCDs. This group is particularly important for organisations designing integrated health services (e.g. MCH-NCD). As you very rightly emphasise, this demographic is not representative for the whole slum population when it comes to incidence rates of NCDs. For that, a much larger population sample, capturing people of older age too, will be required.

2. Findings are therefore not directly generalisable across slum populations.

3. Disease incidence findings in the study population should mostly be interpreted as an indication of the hidden burden of diseases such as NCDs in slums populations, as most people reported to have not had screening tests such as blood sugar and blood pressure.

4. The self-reported nature of observations is likely to introduce bias and a degree of under-reporting when it comes to disease incidence.

Changes to the publication are underlined below.

**Introduction:**

*This study examined the disease burden, health awareness and services, and healthcare choices reported by residents across 13 slums in Mumbai, India. To provide insights into the heterogeneous nature of healthcare choices, the study focused on parents of young children who are likely to have recently encountered a number of health challenges including maternal healthcare, common health conditions, and early-onset NCDs. These insights are of high relevance to integrated health programmes looking to align incentives behind traditionally verticalized services.*

**Methods:**

*The study focused on parents of young children in order to provide direct comparison between people’s choices regarding a range of healthcare challenges. The demographic captured in this study is indicative of future challenges facing urban slum populations as their current choices and risk factors will directly affect their and their children’s health. Moreover, integrated health solutions targeting early risk factors of NCDs, as highlighted in the Introduction, would target this demographic group.*
Discussion:
Our study presents findings on healthcare challenges and choices in a number of slum communities across Mumbai. The study focused on parents of young children as a subsection of the population experiencing a range of health challenges, where healthcare choices are influenced by different socio-economic factors. A number of important differences with previous studies, focused on other demographic groups, were found, including differences in education levels and health awareness, as discussed below. This demographic is highly relevant for organisations designing integrated health programmes (e.g. NCD-MCH programmes) as well as forward-looking health policy development that might target this demographic as a household entry point for health interventions (more than 65% of participants reported to be responsible for financial decisions including healthcare of the household).

... Differences in the accessibility of health services, depending on the health condition, were found, with majority of women receiving basic antenatal services, whilst people suffering from diabetes or hypertension received no medication and did not know how to access care. Consequently, the true burden of NCDs remains hidden to a large degree.

... Additionally, socio-demographic and lifestyle characteristics, as well as disease prevalence, are self-reported by participants, which might have introduced information and/or recall bias as well as substantial amount of under-reporting of disease incidence, particularly NCDs, as most people reported to never have had their blood sugar or blood pressure measured. The sample size was found to be insufficient to investigate other factors likely to be affecting healthcare choices, such as the geographical distribution of participant’s households and proximity to public/private facilities. More detailed surveying of provider availability and quality of services for each area is required to further map any spending patterns in relation to service supply. Finally, given the choice of study population and socio-economic specifics of Mumbai (a more economically developed city), findings are not directly generalisable across slum communities.

Kind regards,
Elina Naydenova

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.