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Abstract

Background: Laughingthrushes (family: Leiothrichidae) consists of diverse and widespread species found in the Indian subcontinent but there is a lack of information on their avian haemosporidians.

Methods: We sampled 231 laughingthrushes of 8 species in the western and eastern Himalaya in India. Using parasite morphology and cytochrome b sequences we describe 2 new Haemoproteus species harbored in 3 species of laughingthrushes and report a case of cryptic speciation.

Results: First Haemoproteus lineage TROERY01 (GenBank: KY623720) found in Trochalopteron erythrocephalum (27.47%) and Trochalopteron variegatum (2.9%) in mid to high altitude tropical forests in the western and eastern Himalaya, was described as Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) leiothrichus n. sp. (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae). Second Haemoproteus lineage TROERY02 (GenBank: KY623721) described as Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) homoleiothrichus n. sp. (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae) was found in T. erythrocephalum (2.19%) and Trochalopteron lineatum (3.84%), albeit in low intensity, only in the western Himalaya. Both H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. and H. leiothrichus n. sp. showed no significant difference in morphological features in blood stages. A genetic divergence of 4.4% along with distinct phylogenetic position indicates that these 2 lineages represent cryptic species. Previously, T. erythrocephalum has been described as an additional host for a morphologically described Haemoproteus timalus in the oriental region. Our described species have several morphological features that are absent in H. timalus. These are, the presence of dumbbell-like shaped mature gametocytes, ‘arm’ like extensions of gametocytes and lateral displacement of nuclei of infected erythrocytes. Illustrations of blood stages of the new species are given, and phylogenetic analysis with morphologically described Haemoproteus species identifies parasites closely related to the 2 described parasites.

Conclusions: The lineages described here have been recorded only in the laughingthrushes so far. These are the first parasites to be described with T. erythrocephalum as a type host from the western and eastern Himalaya in India.
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Introduction

Avian haemosporidians are cosmopolitan, vector-borne, and intracellular parasites, first discovered back in 1880 by V. Ya. Danilewsky (Valkūnas, 2005). These parasites are placed in 3 genera: *Plasmodium, Haemoproteus* or *Leucocytozoan* (Atkinson & van Riper, 1991). Currently, the genus *Haemoproteus* consists of over 130 species based on morphological descriptions of erythrocytic stages (Valkūnas et al., 2008). Since the advent of molecular techniques (e.g., Feldman et al., 1995), avian haemosporidians have been used as a popular model system to understand epidemiology and host-parasite co-evolution. Recent molecular studies have revealed marked diversity in avian haemosporidians and over 2,000 unique lineages have been characterized based on mitochondrial cytochrome b (cyt b) gene (Bensch et al., 2009). Determining the diversity of these vector-mediated parasites is hindered by large knowledge gaps in complete life-cycles, and the lack of combined molecular and morphological descriptions (Clark et al., 2014; Outlaw & Ricklefs, 2014). Linking between haemosporidian DNA lineages and their morphospecies has remained a major challenge which is fundamental to our understanding the cryptic speciation and evolution of host-parasite relationships. Recent studies on avian haemosporidians have recorded the presence of cryptic parasite species (Nilsson et al., 2016; Palinauskas et al., 2015; Sehgal et al., 2006). In particular, Nilsson et al. (2016), has recently drawn conclusion using molecular techniques that five lineages nested within *Haemoproteus majoris*, represent five different biological species and are morphologically cryptic.

In the absence of experimental manipulations to characterize cryptic species, it is often impossible to understand the complete stages of life cycle and to determine competent vector groups which can provide crucial information in identification of transmission areas. Nevertheless, for a species to be defined as cryptic, the morphology with respect to developmental and sexual stages of the parasite species, genetic distinctness, phylogenies and host range provide convincing evidence (Nilsson et al., 2016; Palinauskas et al., 2015; Perkins, 2000; Sehgal et al., 2006).

The Indian subcontinent has an exceptional diversity of avifauna with around 1400 species (Rasmussen & Anderton, 2005). Ideally, such high diversity should reflect upon the diversity of avian haemosporidians in the tropics which provide a great opportunity to exploit a broad range of avian hosts. However, studies on avian haemosporidians which can support this prediction are lacking in the Indian subcontinent. Bennett et al. (1991) and Nandi & Bennett (1997) were the main studies solely based on the morphology and estimates of avian haemosporidian prevalence across a large scale survey in the Indian sub-continent. More recently, Ishiaq et al. (2007) used both microscopy and molecular techniques to screen avian haemosporidians in 43 species of birds from India.

During an ongoing study on the diversity and distribution of avian haemosporidians across eastern and western Himalaya in India, blood samples from eight species of laughingthrushes (Leiothrichidae) were collected, in the mid-elevation forests. Here, we describe 2 prevalent *Haemoproteus* species in laughingthrushes using morphological analysis of blood stages and mitochondrial cyt b gene sequences. These are the first parasites to be described infecting *Trochalopteron erythrocephalum* as a type host from the western and eastern Himalaya in India.

Methods

Ethical approval

The field experiments comply with the current laws of the India where the study was performed. We thank Uttarakhand Forest Department and Arunachal Pradesh Forest Department for permission for the collection of avian blood samples.

Bird sampling

Bird sampling was conducted between April-May in Kedarnath Wildlife Division (30.457042 N, 79.274714 E; 1,500–3,000 meters above sea level [masl]), Uttarakhand (western Himalaya) from 2014–2016 and during April 2014 in Eaglenest Wildlife Sanctuary (27.1 N, 92.4 E; 2,000 masl), a global biodiversity hotspot, Arunachal Pradesh in the Eastern Himalaya in India (Figure 1). Our samplings coincide with the peak breeding season of birds. In total, 231 samples from laughingthrushes from 8 species were used in this study (Dataset 1 (Ishiaq, 2018)). Birds were sampled using mist-nets. Each bird caught was identified using Rasmussen & Anderton (2005), ringed to avoid resampling and released at the site soon after processing. About 30 µl of blood (never exceeding 1% of individual’s body weight) was drawn from the brachial vein. The samples were collected in SET buffer (20–40 µl in 500 µl buffer 0.15M NaCl, 0.05M Tris, 0.001M EDTA, pH 8.0) or on FTA cards (catalogue no. Z719730, Whatman, GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, England) and were later transferred to the lab and stored at -20° C for subsequent genetic analysis.

Simultaneously, we made 2 or 3 thin blood smears from each bird. All blood smears were fan or air dried within 5–10 sec after their preparation and fixed with absolute methanol on field as described in Valkūnas (2005). Blood smears were Giemsa-stained (catalogue no. 51811-82-6, Fisher Scientific, Mumbai, India) along with phosphate buffer within a month of preparation in the field camp following Valkūnas (2005) protocols.

Microscopy

In total, 103 out of 231 samples with blood smears were microscopically examined. A Leica DM1000 compound microscope equipped with Leica EC3 camera (Wetzlar, Germany) and imaging software imageJ 1.5.x (Schneider et al., 2012) was used to examine slides, prepare illustrations and take measurements. The smears were first examined for 10–15 min at low magnification (×500), and then at least 100 fields were studied at high magnification (×1,000). Intensity of infection was estimated as a percentage by actual counting of the number of parasites per 1,000 red blood cells or per 10,000 red blood cells if infections were light, i.e., <0.1%, as recommended by Godfrey et al. (1987). To determine the possible presence of simultaneous infections with other haemosporidian parasites in the type material of new species, the entire blood films from hapantotype and parahapantotype series were examined at low magnification.
Morphological analysis
The morphometric features studied (Table 1) are those defined by Valkiūnas (2005). Morphology of new species was compared with voucher specimens of *Haemoproteus timalus* (accession numbers 4060 and 4062) from the blood of the *Garrulax mitratus*, in the collection of the International Reference Centre for Avian Haematozoa (IRCAH) at the Queensland Museum, Australia.

DNA extraction, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and sequencing
DNA was extracted from whole blood samples using the Phenol Chloroform Isoamyl extraction method (Sambrook et al., 1989). A restriction enzyme-based diagnostic PCR assay using 213F/372R primers (Sigma-Aldrich 13485; 160 bp, mitochondrial ribosomal RNA (rRNA), cyt b; Beadell & Fleischer, 2005) that amplifies 3 genera of haemosporidians as well as detects mixed infections was used to screen the samples. For samples that screened positive for the diagnostic PCR, we amplified the cyt b gene fragments of *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* using 3760F/4292RW2 (Thermofisher Scientific 4304970, 533 bp; Beadell et al., 2004) or with nested PCR protocol using HAEM F/HAEM R2 (Thermofisher Scientific 4304970, 479bp; Hellgren et al., 2004). Positive and negative controls were used with each PCR reaction. The positive controls were from infected birds, as determined by microscopic examination of blood films or PCR, and the negative control was nuclease free water in place of DNA template.

PCR products were purified using Exosap-IT (Affymetrix, USB, Santa Clara, California) following manufacturer’s instructions and were sequenced using the Big Dye version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California) in both directions. Sequences were assembled, aligned and edited using SEQUENCER version 4.6 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, Michigan). Co-infections were identified by double nucleotide peaks on sequence electropherograms.

We then compared the obtained lineages to confirm the parasite genus with reference parasite sequences deposited in
### Table 1. Morphometry of host cells and mature gametocytes of *Haemoproteus leiothrichus* sp. nov. and *Haemoproteus homoleiothrichus* sp. nov from *Trochalopteron erythrocephalum* and comparison with *Haemoproteus timalus* (Bennett et al., 1991). All measurements are in micrometers with means followed by the standard deviation in parenthesis. Nuclear displacement ratio (NDR) follows Bennett & Campbell (1972).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>H. leiothrichus</em> n. sp.</th>
<th><em>H. homoleiothrichus</em> n. sp.</th>
<th><em>Haemoproteus timalus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uninfected erythrocyte</strong></td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>(n=85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>11.57 (0.74)</td>
<td>10.94 (0.74)</td>
<td>11.60 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>6.18 (0.46)</td>
<td>6.63 (0.35)</td>
<td>6.60 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nucleus</td>
<td>4.46 (0.32)</td>
<td>4.39 (0.48)</td>
<td>5.00 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of nucleus</td>
<td>2.93 (0.31)</td>
<td>2.94 (0.51)</td>
<td>2.40 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erythrocyte parasitized by macrogametocyte</strong></td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>11.93 (0.75)</td>
<td>12 (0.84)</td>
<td>12.70 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>5.53 (0.44)</td>
<td>6.79 (0.72)</td>
<td>6.40 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nucleus</td>
<td>3.63 (0.38)</td>
<td>4.23 (0.31)</td>
<td>4.80 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of nucleus</td>
<td>2.57 (0.18)</td>
<td>3.25 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.20 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erythrocyte parasitized by microgametocyte</strong></td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=8)</td>
<td>(n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>12.86 (1.21)</td>
<td>11.18 (0.79)</td>
<td>12.80 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>6.56 (0.36)</td>
<td>6.79 (0.49)</td>
<td>6.40 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nucleus</td>
<td>4.37 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.68 (0.39)</td>
<td>4.90 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of nucleus</td>
<td>3.23 (0.26)</td>
<td>3.08 (0.39)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macrogametocyte</strong></td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10.27 (0.64)</td>
<td>10.58 (0.94)</td>
<td>12.50 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>3.79 (1.07)</td>
<td>4.9 (0.61)</td>
<td>2.20 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nucleus</td>
<td>2.24 (0.35)</td>
<td>1.99 (0.36)</td>
<td>2.60 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of nucleus</td>
<td>1.57 (0.26)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.42)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR</td>
<td>0.53 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.316 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of pigment granules</td>
<td>8.02 (1.58)</td>
<td>9.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>11.30 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microgametocyte</strong></td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>(n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>12.49 (0.82)</td>
<td>10.55 (1.04)</td>
<td>13.40 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>4.67 (0.58)</td>
<td>4.98 (0.96)</td>
<td>2.50 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nucleus</td>
<td>6.11 (0.83)</td>
<td>6.02 (0.77)</td>
<td>6.90 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of nucleus</td>
<td>1.86 (0.22)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.28)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR</td>
<td>0.60 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of pigment granules</td>
<td>8.60 (1.6)</td>
<td>9.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>10.90 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GenBank and in the MalAvi database (Bensch et al., 2009). All unique sequences are deposited in GenBank (accession numbers: KY623720 and KY623721).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

To place sequenced lineages in a phylogenetic context, we combined 30 cyt b sequences of the parasites identified and described as *Haemoproteus* here with 40 morphologically described avian *Haemoproteus* spp. from the MalAvi database (Bensch et al., 2009). All individual sequences were grouped into a 479 bp long consensus with *Leucocytozoon majoris* (GenBank accession number: AY393804) used as an outgroup (Figure 2). We constructed the maximum likelihood tree using Bayesian phylogenetics as implemented in BEAST version 1.4.3 (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007) and the most appropriate substitution model (GTR+G) according to the Akaike Information Criterion was implemented MEGA version 5.2 (Tamura et al., 2011). We present a Maximum Clade Credibility (MCC) tree using a relaxed molecular clock approach (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007). Rates of substitution were drawn from a log-normal distribution and Yule prior was used for branching rates. We conducted 2 runs of 20 million generations, each with
Based on molecular methods, 105/231 (45.45%) samples were positive for avian haemosporidian infections. Of the positives, 38 samples showed mixed infections with *Plasmodium* and *Leucocytozoon* using restriction enzyme-based digest assay (Dataset 1 (Ishtiaq, 2018)). Using microscopy technique, 49/103 showed infections with haemosporidians which suggest 52.42% were sub-microscopic infections. Of the positives, 12 showed mixed infections in microscopy.

Of the 105 positives, using nested PCR protocol we retrieved 30 *Haemoproteus* sequences from three species of laughing-rushes: the most prevalent lineage described here as *Haemoproteus leiothrichus* n. sp. was found in 27.47% (n = 25/91) of *T. erythrocephalum* and 2.9% (n = 1/34) in *T. variegatum* (Dataset 1 (Ishtiaq, 2018)). Second lineage described here as *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. was found in 2.19% (n = 2/91) of *T. erythrocephalum* and 3.84% (n = 2/52) of *T. lineatum* (Dataset 1 (Ishtiaq, 2018)). We describe morphology of two new parasite species from single infections in host species.

**Description**

*Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) leiothrichus* n. sp. Diagnosis of young gametocytes (Figure 2A–C): The earliest stages of the parasite are not restricted to one position in the erythrocyte and were seen in polar, median and sub-polar positions (Figure 2A). Growing gametocytes are mostly seen in the median position with respect to the erythrocyte (Figure 2B–C). Irrespective of the position of the young gametocyte, the parasite as it grows occupies the median position growing towards both the nucleus and the envelope of the infected erythrocyte.

Macrogametocytes (Figure 2D–H): Gametocytes grow along the nuclei of the infected erythrocytes, laterally displacing the nuclei of the infected erythrocytes (Figure 2F, H). Mature macrogametocytes are usually closely associated with both the...
nuclei and the envelope of the erythrocyte. However, at times, there is also an unoccupied space observed between the parasite and the envelope of the erythrocyte even in mature macrogametocytes, causing a ‘dip’ and giving a dumbbell-like appearance (Figure 2G). Mature macrogametocytes enclose the nucleus of the infected erythrocyte with their ends without encircling it completely (Figure 2G, H). Macrogametocytes of this parasite have the tendency to almost fill one of the poles of the erythrocyte first while the other pole still remains unfilled and more often do not fill both poles of the erythrocyte (Figure 2D, E, G, H). The gametocytes also extend so called an ‘arm’ (Valkiunas, 2005) across the erythrocyte, thus touching the opposite envelope of the erythrocyte (Figure 2D, G). The outline of the gametocyte varies from amoeboid (>70%) to even. Nuclear displacement is observed in fully grown gametocytes where the nucleus is pushed laterally (Figure 2H). Parasite nucleus is compact and roundish, sometimes variable in shape, located in a sub-median to sub-polar position. The nucleus of the parasite more often adheres to the envelope of the erythrocyte, but in some cases it was also seen adhering to the nucleus of the erythrocyte. Pigment granules are round to oval in shape and scattered (Figure 2H), or sometimes slightly aggregated (Figure 2G) in the cytoplasm of the parasite. The size of the pigment granules is most often medium whereas small pigment granules have also been observed. The average number of pigment granules is 8–9 per gametocyte. Vacuoles were not observed in the parasite. Volutin granules are present (Figure 2H).

Microgametocytes (Figure 2I–L): General configuration as for macrogametocytes, with main haemosporidian sexually dimorphic characters. The nucleus of the microgametocyte is diffused and median in position. Mature microgametocytes more often fill the poles of the infected erythrocyte (Figure 2I–L). Volutin granules are present (Figure 2I–K).

Taxonomic summary

Type host: Trochalopteron erythrocephalum (Passeriformes, Leiothrichidae).

DNA sequences: Mitochondrial cyt b lineage 479 bp, GenBank accession number KY623720, TROERY01 lineage code.

Additional hosts: Trochalopteron variegatum (Variegated laughingthrush).

Etymology: The parasite is named as Haemoproteus leiothrichus, as it is found in Trochalopteron erythrocephalum which belongs to the family Leiothrichidae formerly included in Timaliidae.

Site of infection: Mature erythrocytes, no other data.

Type locality: Uttarakhand (30°45.704’N, 79°27.471’E, 1,500–3,000 masl) and Arunachal Pradesh (27°6.0’N, 92°24.0’E, 2,000 masl), India.

Type specimen: Hapantotype (Trochalopteron erythrocephalum, Shokharakh (3,000 m) Kedarnath Wildlife Division, Uttarakhand State, India), intensity of parasitemia is 1% in Trochalopteron erythrocephalum deposited with voucher number ESM 201 in Ecological Sciences- Microorganism (ESM) collection at Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) homoleiothrichus n. sp. Diagnosis of young gametocytes (Figure 3A, B): The earliest forms were seen in polar, median and sub-polar positions and do not seem to be restricted to single position in the erythrocyte (Figure 3A). Later stage growing gametocytes have an even outline and are more often seen in the median position with respect to the erythrocyte (Figure 3B–C). Young gametocytes adhere to the envelope of the erythrocyte as well as the nucleus of the erythrocyte (Figure 3A). The parasite as it grows, occupies the median position adhering to both the nucleus and the envelope of the infected erythrocyte.

Macrogametocytes (Figure 3C–E): Gametocytes grow along the nuclei of the infected erythrocytes, laterally displacing the nuclei of the infected erythrocytes. Macrogametocytes are closely associated with both the nuclei and the envelope of the erythrocytes (Figure 3D, E). Mature macrogametocytes enclose the nuclei of the infected erythrocyte with their ends without encircling it completely (Figure 3D, E). The outline of the gametocyte is more even (>70%) than amoeboid, even though amoeboid arms are sometimes seen. Dumbbell shaped forms are present (Figure 3C, D). As the gametocyte grows, the nucleus is pushed laterally and thus the parasite occupies all the area of the cytoplasm of the infected erythrocyte (Figure 3E). Some of the macrogametocytes of this parasite have the tendency to almost fill one of the poles of the erythrocyte first while the other pole still remains unfilled (Figure 3D). Nuclear displacement is prominent and observed in growing as well as fully grown gametocytes where the nucleus is pushed laterally (Figure 3C–E). Parasite nucleus is compact and roundish in shape, located in a sub-median to sub-polar position. The nucleus of the parasite adheres to the envelope of the erythrocyte. Pigment granules are round to oval in shape and scattered throughout the cytoplasm of the parasite (Figure 3D). The size of the pigment granule ranges from small to medium. The average number of pigment granules is 8–10 per gametocyte. The cytoplasm contains volutin granules, vacuoles were absent.

Microgametocytes (Figure 3F–I): General configuration as for macrogametocytes, with main haemosporidian sexually dimorphic characters. The nucleus of the microgametocyte is diffused and median in position. Mature microgametocytes ‘almost’ fill the poles of the infected erythrocyte and often push the nucleus of the infected erythrocyte laterally (Figure 3F–I).

Taxonomic summary

Type host: Trochalopteron erythrocephalum (Passeriformes, Leiothrichidae).

DNA sequences: Mitochondrial cyt b lineage 479 bp, GenBank accession number KY623721, TROERY02 lineage code.
Figure 3. Gametocytes of Haemoproteus homoleiothrichus n. sp. (A–C, F) Growing gametocyte, (D, E) mature macrogametocyte and (G–I) mature microgametocytes. Scale bar = 10 µm. Long arrow points to the nucleus of the parasite; short arrow - indicates unfilled spaces between gametocytes and envelope of infected erythrocyte; arrow head shows pigment granules; long narrow arrow shows volutin granules.

Additional hosts: Trochalopteron lineatum (Streaked laughingthrush).

Etymology: The parasite is named as Haemoproteus homoleiothrichus, as it is found in Trochalopteron erythrocephalum which belong to the family Leiothrichidae and is morphologically identical to H. leiothrichus.

Site of infection: Mature erythrocytes, no other data.

Type locality: Uttarakhand (30°45.704’ N, 79°27.471’ E, 1,500–3,000 masl)

Type specimen: Hapantotype (Trochalopteron erythrocephalum, Mandal (1,500–1,800 m), Kedarnath Wildlife Division, Uttarakhand State, India), intensity of parasitemia is 1% in Trochalopteron erythrocephalum deposited with voucher number ESM 202 in Ecological Sciences- Microorganism (ESM) collection at Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

Molecular description
Partial mitochondrial cyt b sequences of H. leiothrichus n. sp. and H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. isolated from the infected type host, Trochalopteron erythrocephalum (n = 27). The new lineage, TROERY01, represents the described new species – Haemoproteus leiothrichus n. sp., which falls within a well-defined monophyletic clade restricted to laughingthrushes (Figure 4). H. leiothrichus n. sp. differs from other morphologically described Haemoproteus lineages by 1.7 – 10.6%. The other lineage, TROERY02 is H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. found in laughingthrushes (n = 4) falls in a separate clade and differs genetically by 2.1–12.7% from other morphologically described Haemoproteus lineages and shows a genetic distance of 4.4% from TROERY01 (Figure 2; Table S1, Supplementary File 1).

Remarks
Haemoproteus leiothrichus n. sp. and H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. share key morphological features; however, their difference in overall prevalence, distribution, phylogeny and a genetic divergence of 4.4% attributes to 2 separate parasite species. Both Haemoproteus leiothrichus n. sp. and H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. have gametocytes growing along the nuclei of the infected erythrocyte (Figure 2F–H, Figure 3D, E). Dumbbell-like appearance is observed in the macro- and microgametocytes of both species (Figure 2G, H, L, Figure 3C, D, G). A morphological feature observed in H. leiothrichus n. sp. and H. homoleiothrichus n. sp. is the tendency in some of the gametocytes to leave one of
the poles unfilled even as lateral nuclear displacement is clearly observed (Figure 2G, H, Figure 3D). The characteristic extension of an ‘arm’ like feature is seen in mature gametocytes of *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. (Figure 2D–G, I, Figure 3D, G) along with no significant difference between the size, shape and number of pigment granules. It is to be noted that both *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. vary in their prevalence; *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. with a prevalence of 11.25% whereas *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. has a prevalence of 1.73%. We can speculate that this difference in the overall prevalence between the two parasites can be attributed to factors such as virulence of the parasite species, and availability of suitable vectors, or competition between lineages which warrants further investigation.

*Haemoproteus leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. are morphologically similar to *Haemoproteus timalus*, which was described from *Turdoides rubiginosus* in South Horr, Kenya (Valkiūnas, 2005). There are the following key features that distinguish *H. timalus* and the 2 parasites described here: Dumbbell-like appearance is present in mature macrogametocytes and microgametocytes of *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. (Figure 2G, H) and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. (Figure 3C, D) while in *H. timalus*, mature gametocytes lose this shape and are closely appressed to the nucleus and envelope of infected erythrocyte (Figure 5C). Gametocytes of *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. more often do not entirely fill the poles of the erythrocytes (Figure 2D, E, G, H, Figure 3D). Maximum NDR observed in *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. is more than that recorded in *H. timalus* (Table 1). Two parasites described here have been observed to push the nucleus of the erythrocyte laterally so that it touches its host cell envelope; however, this feature is not seen in *H. timalus* (Figure 5C, D). Fully grown gametocytes of *H. timalus* fill the poles of the erythrocyte (Figure 5C) which is not always the case with *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. or *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. The average number of pigment granules in *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. (n = 8.6) and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. (n = 9.8) is lesser than that seen in *H. timalus* (n = 10.9).

**Discussion**

We have described the morphology and provided molecular evidence for the 2 proposed avian haemoproteids *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. as new species. These are the first described species of parasites from the western and eastern Himalayan regions which also happen to be morphologically cryptic. Both parasite species appear to be restricted to laughingthrushes (family Leiothrichidae, formerly included in Timallidae). The chestnut-crowned laughingthrush *T. erythropus* is a south Asian passerine, found in India, Bhutan, China and Nepal, and is one of the most common laughingthrushes seen in the Himalaya (Rasmussen & Anderton, 2005). Previously, *T. erythropus* has been recorded in a population of *Garrulax* (now *Trochalopteron*) *erythrocephalus* in south-east Asia (Paperna et al., 2008). Bennett & Campbell (1972) identified
Haemoproteus fallisi in 2 timalines, Garrulax erythrocephalus and Leiothrix argentauris. Subsequently, these identifications were considered in error and the parasites involved were referred to as *H. timalus* (Bennett et al., 1991). Bennett et al. (1991) described haemoproteids found in 43 species of timaline birds as *H. timalus*, a hypothesis proposed to be tested (Valkiūnas, 2005). However, this ambiguity in the identification of the parasite *H. timalus* has been further confounded by the lack of genetic information. Therefore, linking morphological studies with molecular phylogeny is crucial to understand parasite species divergence within a host species, or phylogenetically closely related host species.

Despite similar morphology with *H. timalus*, our described parasites contain several features that are absent in *H. timalus* which are important for taxonomical identification. These are, the presence of some dumbbell-like shape mature gametocytes, ‘arm’ like extensions of gametocytes, and lateral displacement of some nuclei of infected erythrocytes. By comparing *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. (*Figure 2*) and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. (*Figure 3*) with the type material of *H. timalus* (*Figure 5*), we can conclusively state the above mentioned differences. The lack of genetic sequence for *H. timalus* and its morphological similarity with *H. vireonis*, *H. coatneyi* and *H. passeris* as described by Valkiūnas (2005), makes it difficult to understand the genetic divergences among the parasites found in the same host family. The genetic divergence based on partial mitochondrial cytochrome b gene between *H. vireonis*, *H. coatneyi*, and *H. passeris* and *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. is 3.2%, 2.8% and 3.2% respectively and 4%, 3.6% and 3.2% respectively with *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. is most closely related to Haemoproteus homobolopolskyi and *Haemoproteus Magnus* with a genetic distance of 1.7%. Even though *H. homobolopolskyi* and *H. Magnus* differ from *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. by a genetic distance as low as 1.7%, key morphological features describing *H. homobolopolskyi* and *H. Magnus* is completely absent in *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. The most prominent feature of *H. Magnus*, where it completely encircles the host erythrocyte and the presence of rod like pigment granules, are absent in *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. *Haemoproteus leiothrichus* n. sp. also significantly differs from *H. homobelopolskyi*, as the former is not seen completely enclosing the host nucleus or encircling it. Similarly *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. differs from *Haemoproteus tartakovskyi* with a genetic distance of 2.1%, but a significant morphological difference between these two parasites is observed despite the small genetic difference, *Haemoproteus tartakovskyi* enucleates the host cell and infected erythrocytes are hypertrophied, but these features are not observed in *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp.

We described *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. as cryptic species, which share the same morphological features; however the phylogenetic position, a genetic divergence of 4.4%, and observed divergences with other *Haemoproteus* lineage recovered in resident Himalayan birds (F. Ishtiaq, unpubl. data) also provide evidence to separate the 2 species despite morphological similarities (*Figure 4*).

*Haemoproteus* lineages differing by >5% are typically morphologically distinct (Hellgren et al., 2007), but there are some morphological species that have a divergence of less than 0.7% (Outlaw & Ricklefs, 2014). Given the overlap in range and distribution of 2 *Haemoproteus* species described in laughing-thrushes, a genetic distance of 4.4% suggests that these parasites are biologically independent entities and not a species complex diversified within the same host species.

In our phylogenetic analysis, *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. shows a divergence of 1.7 – 10.6% whereas *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. shows a divergence of 2.1 – 12.7% with other morphologically described *Haemoproteus* lineages associated with the subgenus Parahaemoproteus. Low genetic distances between reference *Haemoproteus* species and the 2 described species shows that minimal genetic variation can result in significant morphological variation.

Nilsson et al. (2016) showed that 5 morphologically cryptic species nested within a clade forming *H. majoris* were actually reproductively isolated entities and hence independent biological species. Based on partial mitochondrial cyt b sequences,
the genetic divergence within the *H. majoris* clade ranged from 0.2 – 1.3% which is far lesser than the divergence recorded in our study on laughingthrushes.

Our study is the first ever survey of avian haemosporidians using both traditional microscopy and molecular methods conducted in the western and eastern Himalaya in India. This study shows that *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. is a widespread haemoproteid, infecting *T. erythrocephalum* and *T. variegatum* both in western and eastern Himalaya, while *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. retrieved in *T. erythrocephalum* and *T. lineatum* appears to be confined to western Himalaya. Given that all sampled species of laughingthrushes occur in sympatry, the described *Haemoproteus* species could be host-specific lineages harbored exclusively by laughingthrushes. The host ranges of *Haemoproteus* species are often restricted to a limited number of closely related host species (Atkinson & van Riper, 1991; Fallon et al., 2004; Pérez-Tris et al., 2007; Savage & Greiner, 2004). During our large scale bird sampling in the Himalayan region, we have not found *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. infecting any other avian host. From that broader phylogenetic context, both species seem to be fixed on laughingthrushes, sharing the same morphology and phylogenetically distantly related. Extensive research on vector species would provide insights to host-specificity of these prevalent parasites.

**Data availability**

The data underlying this study is available from Open Science Framework. Dataset 1: Laughingthrush parasites. [https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/9GJ6Y](https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/9GJ6Y) (Ishtiaq, 2018)

This dataset is available under a CC0 1.0 Universal

Mitochondrial cyt b lineage sequences for the two identified species have been deposited to GenBank: Accession numbers KY623720 and KY623721

**Supplementary material**

Supplementary File 1: document containing the following supplementary table:

Click here to access the data.

Table S1: Sequence divergence between eight morphologically described *Haemoproteus* sequences and *H. leiothrichus* n. sp. and *H. homoleiothrichus* n. sp. as analysed using the Kimura 2-parameter model. The rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution.
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