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Abstract

Background: Nutritional rickets is a public health concern in developing countries despite tropical climates and a re-emerging issue in developed countries. In this study, we reviewed pediatric admission data from the Clinical Information Network (CIN) to help determine hospital and region based prevalence of rickets in three regions of Kenya (Central Kenya, Western Kenya and Nairobi County). We also examine the association of rickets with other diagnosis, such as malnutrition and pneumonia, and study the effect of rickets on regional hospital stays.

Methods: We analyzed discharge records for children aged 1 month to 5 years from county (formerly district) hospitals in the CIN, with admissions from February 1st 2014 to February 28th 2015. The strength of the association between rickets and key demographic factors, as well as with malnutrition and pneumonia, was assessed using odds ratios. The Fisher exact test was used to test the significance of the estimated odd ratios. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to analyze length of hospital stays.

Results: There was a marked difference in prevalence across the three regions, with Nairobi having the highest number of cases of rickets at a proportion of 4.01%, followed by Central Region at 0.92%. Out of 9756 admissions in the Western Region, there was only one diagnosis of rickets. Malnutrition was associated with rickets; this association varied regionally. Pneumonia was found to be associated with rickets in Central Kenya. Children diagnosed with rickets had longer hospital stays, even when cases of malnutrition and pneumonia were excluded in the analysis.

Conclusion: There was marked regional variation in hospital based prevalence of rickets, but in some regions it is a common clinical diagnosis suggesting the need for targeted public health interventions. Factors such as maternal and child nutrition, urbanization and cultural practices might explain these differences.
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Introduction
Prolonged vitamin D deficiency causes rickets, the softening and weakening of bones due to inadequate mineralization, and which leads to bone deformities. Rickets has been documented in at least 59 countries in the last 20 years1 and is a pediatric concern, particularly in developing countries2. Rickets is an emerging concern in developed countries3. Vitamin D deficiency occurs in diets low in calcium, vitamin D or phosphates4,5. Vitamin D is also synthesized when human skin is exposed to sunlight; dark skin synthesizes vitamin D at a slower rate5. Therefore, children in low income sub-Saharan Africa countries are perhaps paradoxically some of the most susceptible to rickets4,6.

In Kenya, anecdotal evidence suggests considerable variability in the prevalence of childhood rickets, but no published data support this, and rickets is not generally considered a major public health problem. We therefore sought to explore the prevalence of rickets in contrasting settings in Kenya, using data from the Clinical Information Network (CIN). The CIN is a collaborative initiative between The Kenya Pediatric Association, The Ministry of Health and the KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme (KWTRP). The network includes thirteen county hospitals from across Kenya and works to support better data collection on hospitalized children7. CIN hospitals provide first referral services at county (formerly district) level, and were purposefully selected to have representation from high and low malaria endemic areas8. Some network hospitals serve populations that are entirely urban, but most serve mixed rural/urban catchment populations. In addition, we explore the association between rickets and demographic risk factors such as age, gender and nutrition status. We explore the association of rickets with co-morbidities, such as pneumonia and malnutrition, before discussing possible factors that could explain the regional variation of pediatric rickets.

Methods
This was an exploratory study using data collected within the CIN from February 1st 2014 to February 28th 2015. The network encouraged hospitals to implement two data collection tools: a pediatric admission record form and a discharge form. Data collection was conducted as soon as possible after discharge through abstracting data from inpatient paper records into a non-propriety electronic tool, according to detailed standard operating procedures and with in-built range and validity checks. Additional error correction procedures were employed locally and centrally in the CIN. The methods for data collection have been described in full elsewhere9. No identifiable data were collected; each of the records was given a unique study identification number at the time of data entry to maintain patient confidentiality. Data required for the national reporting system was collected for each pediatric admission in each hospital and more comprehensive data on disease specific care processes, including investigations and treatment, were collected in all acute medical admissions (excluding neonates) in 10 low to moderate workload hospitals, and on a random subset of similar medical admissions in three high workload hospitals.

For the purposes of this study, we divided hospitals in the CIN into three groups based on their location. A full description of these hospitals’ patient populations can be found elsewhere10,11. 

- Nairobi County – H3 and H4 serving a city population,
- Central Kenya – H1, H2, H5, H6, H7 and H14 in the highland or semi-arid central part of Kenya,
- Western Kenya – H8, H9, H10, H11 and H12 in the western malaria endemic area of Kenya.

Our case definition of rickets was any discharge diagnosis in the hospital records of ‘rickets’. This diagnosis is made at the discretion of the clinical team and typically based on clinical identification of frontal bossing, wrist cupping, rachitic rosary, or lower limb deformities pathognomonic for rickets. X-rays might sometimes be used in the diagnosis, but such supporting evidence was not required to identify cases in this study. Other diagnoses used in the study were clinical diagnoses and were based on recommended national12 and WHO guidelines13, encoded by ICD-10. For these analyses, we studied admitted children aged between 1 month and 5 years.

R version 3.1.3 was used for analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize population demographics. Variables were summarized with means, medians and standard deviations, counts and proportions as appropriate. Associations between categorical variables were represented with odds-ratios (OR). The strength of association was assessed with the Fisher Exact Test (FET), with significant associations considered as having p-value ≤0.05. A time-to-event grouped analysis of the length of stay (LOS) using Kaplan-Meier probabilities was also performed. Deaths were treated as right censored observations in this analysis. The log-rank test (LRT) was used to compare LOS in subgroups of interest, significantly different LOS between groups were declared for p-value ≤0.05. An adjusted analysis for rickets (adjusting for all demographic factors) was not numerically feasible because there were an insufficient number of rickets...
incidences. Furthermore, a regional adjustment was not possible because Western Region had approximately zero rickets cases.

Results
The total number of eligible hospital admissions for these analyses was 20,528 with a total of 9,756 admissions in hospitals in Western Kenya. Table 1 gives site specific summary statistics on key demographic variables. There were slightly more male admissions than female. Pooling data from all sites, the mean weight was approximately 10 kilograms (95% CI: 9.9 – 10.0), while the mean age was approximately 21.4 months (95% CI: 21.2 – 21.6). The proportion of admissions to a site with weight for age Z (WAZ) score < -2 ranged from 14% to 41%, with the sites in Nairobi County having the highest proportions. Approximately 75% admissions had a LOS less than 5 days. There were 1,188 deaths, which made up 5.4% of all admissions. The deaths in the Nairobi, Central and Western Regions make up 11%, 3.3% and 6.7%, respectively of the admissions in the study period.

Figure 1 is a bar plot of the site specific prevalence of rickets. There was only one case of rickets in the entire Western Region. This one case does not provide sufficient counts for meaningful inference on the association of rickets with other factors of interest; therefore the Western Region was excluded in any association analysis. The highest proportion of rickets cases (6% admissions) was in H4. Table 2 gives regional frequencies and proportion of rickets cases by age, gender, WAZ scores and LOS sub-groups. Statistical evidence from the data indicates that in the Nairobi and Central Regions, rickets occurs predominantly in younger children (age ≤2 years) and in children with WAZ < -2. The results also indicate that children with rickets are likely to have had longer hospital stays.

Table 3 presents analysis of the association between rickets and the clinical diagnosis of pneumonia, as well as the association of rickets and the clinical diagnosis of severe malnutrition. Severe malnutrition was classified as any of the following

---

### Table 1. Summary statistics for demographic characteristics by site. Q1=first quantile, Q3=third quantile, SE=standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>N = 20528</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>WAZ &lt; -2 (%)</th>
<th>Mean age, months (SE)</th>
<th>Died (%)</th>
<th>LOS median (Q1: Q3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>671 (56.01)</td>
<td>376 (33.84)</td>
<td>16.04 (13.19)</td>
<td>112 (9.69)</td>
<td>3 (1 : 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H4</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>818 (54.79)</td>
<td>573 (40.58)</td>
<td>17.13 (14.27)</td>
<td>163 (12.22)</td>
<td>5 (3 : 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H5</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>844 (55.97)</td>
<td>331 (22.55)</td>
<td>19.97 (14.02)</td>
<td>43 (2.87)</td>
<td>3 (2 : 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H14</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>1125 (58.5)</td>
<td>552 (30.07)</td>
<td>18.61 (14.06)</td>
<td>87 (4.58)</td>
<td>3 (2 : 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>591 (54.87)</td>
<td>272 (26.05)</td>
<td>16.02 (13.33)</td>
<td>9 (0.87)</td>
<td>3 (2 : 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H7</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>760 (54.95)</td>
<td>254 (18.53)</td>
<td>21.06 (14.73)</td>
<td>31 (2.27)</td>
<td>2 (1 : 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H6</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>560 (52.83)</td>
<td>190 (20.72)</td>
<td>20.11 (14.19)</td>
<td>30 (2.90)</td>
<td>4 (2 : 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>640 (56.64)</td>
<td>260 (26.89)</td>
<td>18.14 (14.55)</td>
<td>68 (6.05)</td>
<td>4 (2 : 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>H11</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>1049 (55.77)</td>
<td>353 (19.83)</td>
<td>23.76 (15.94)</td>
<td>125 (6.72)</td>
<td>2 (1 : 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H9</td>
<td>2888</td>
<td>1520 (52.63)</td>
<td>455 (17.96)</td>
<td>26.11 (17.09)</td>
<td>209 (7.29)</td>
<td>3 (2 : 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H12</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>1157 (54.47)</td>
<td>318 (15.47)</td>
<td>21.47 (16.06)</td>
<td>134 (6.46)</td>
<td>3 (2 : 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H8</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>887 (55.72)</td>
<td>204 (15.84)</td>
<td>25.10 (16.71)</td>
<td>108 (6.95)</td>
<td>2 (2 : 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H10</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>703 (55.31)</td>
<td>169 (14.20)</td>
<td>26.81 (16.34)</td>
<td>69 (5.47)</td>
<td>2 (1 : 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Figure 1. Site specific prevalence of rickets.](image)
Table 2. Regional odds-ratios and FET p-values for association between rickets and age, gender, nutrition status (WAZ <-2) and LOS >2 subgroups. LOS=length of stay, WAZ= weight for age Z-score. (*) indicates Fisher Exact Test p-value ≤ 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>With rickets (N=108)</th>
<th>Without rickets (N=2583)</th>
<th>Odds-ratio (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>Age ≤24 months</td>
<td>102 (94.44)*</td>
<td>2044 (79.13)</td>
<td>4.48 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>62 (57.41)</td>
<td>1427 (55.25)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WAZ &lt; -2</td>
<td>69 (66.35)*</td>
<td>880 (36.38)</td>
<td>3.45 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS &gt;2 days</td>
<td>66 (89.19)*</td>
<td>1656 (64.11)</td>
<td>6.16 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Age &lt;= 24 months</td>
<td>72 (97.3)*</td>
<td>5809 (72.55)</td>
<td>13.62 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>42 (56.76)</td>
<td>4478 (55.93)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WAZ &lt; -2</td>
<td>52 (72.22)*</td>
<td>1807 (23.99)</td>
<td>8.23 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS &gt;2 days</td>
<td>99 (91.67)*</td>
<td>4789 (59.81)</td>
<td>5.54 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Regional association of rickets with diagnoses of severe malnutrition and pneumonia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
<th>Without rickets (N = 2583)</th>
<th>With rickets (N = 108)</th>
<th>Odds-ratio (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>Severe malnutrition</td>
<td>251 (9.72)</td>
<td>21 (19.44)</td>
<td>2.24 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>1520 (58.85)</td>
<td>67 (62.04)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Severe malnutrition</td>
<td>465 (5.81)</td>
<td>27 (36.49)</td>
<td>9.31 (&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>4924 (61.5)</td>
<td>54 (72.97)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

diagnoses: Kwashiorkor [ICD10: E40], Marasmus [ICD10: E41], Severe Malnutrition [ICD10: E43] or Marasmus-Kwashiorkor [ICD10: E42]. The proportions of admissions diagnosed with severe malnutrition in the Nairobi, Central and Western Region are 10%, 6% and 3%, respectively. There is evidence of an association between clinical diagnoses of severe malnutrition and rickets; the odds of children diagnosed with severe malnutrition also being diagnosed with rickets increase by a factor of 2 in Nairobi and a factor of 9 in Central province. The proportions of admissions diagnosed with pneumonia in the Nairobi, Central and Western Regions are 56%, 54% and 32%, respectively. The only significant association of pneumonia and rickets occurs in the Central Region; the odds of a rickets diagnosis in addition to a pneumonia diagnosis increases by a factor of 1.7.

Figure 2 and Figure 3 are plots of the proportion of children discharged with a LOS of at most $T$ days, where $T$ is given by the x-axis. The analysis is grouped by region (Nairobi and Central) and presence or absence of a clinical diagnosis of either pneumonia or severe malnutrition. Among children without severe malnutrition, children diagnosed with rickets were more likely to have longer hospital stays (LRT p-value <0.01 in both the Nairobi and Central Regions), while among children diagnosed with severe malnutrition, there was no association between rickets and
Figure 2. Regional proportions of patients discharged within given times stratified by absence/presence of severe malnutrition. Solid line, diagnosed with rickets; dashed line, not diagnosed with rickets.

Figure 3. Regional proportions of patients discharged within given times stratified by absence/presence of pneumonia. Solid line, diagnosed with rickets; dashed line, not diagnosed with rickets.
LOS (LRT p-value of 0.13 and 0.10 for the Nairobi and Central Regions, respectively). Among children who were diagnosed with pneumonia, children with rickets tended to have a longer LOS (LRT p-values <0.01 for both Nairobi and Central Regions), even when children diagnosed with severe malnutrition were excluded from analysis (LRT p-values <0.01 for both Nairobi and Central Regions).

Table 4 is a study of the association between rickets and death for the Central and Nairobi regions, among children with clinical diagnoses of pneumonia, severe malnutrition, malnutrition (including milder forms of malnutrition), dehydration or diarrhea. There is no evidence suggesting that the presence of rickets was associated with increased mortality in the listed diagnosis groups. Furthermore, in admissions diagnosed with malnutrition (including milder forms of malnutrition), there was no evidence of an association between mortality and rickets.

### Table 4. Association between death and rickets in diagnosis groups for Central and Nairobi Regions, P-values from the Fisher Exact Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Without rickets</th>
<th>With rickets</th>
<th>Odds-ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pneumonia (n=5709)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without rickets</td>
<td>n=5600</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths n (%)</td>
<td>345 (6.16)</td>
<td>9 (8.26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severe malnutrition (n=727)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without rickets</td>
<td>n=680</td>
<td>n=47</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths n (%)</td>
<td>88 (12.94)</td>
<td>2 (4.26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malnutrition (Severe, moderate, mild) (n=1150)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without rickets</td>
<td>n=1079</td>
<td>n=71</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths n (%)</td>
<td>118 (10.94)</td>
<td>3 (4.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dehydration (n=2233)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without rickets</td>
<td>n=2180</td>
<td>n=53</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths n (%)</td>
<td>230 (10.55)</td>
<td>4 (7.55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diarrhea, n=2805</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without Rickets</td>
<td>n=2772</td>
<td>n=33</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths n (%)</td>
<td>172 (6.2)</td>
<td>2 (6.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Rickets has been linked to protein energy malnutrition and respiratory infections in developing countries and poverty, which is associated with rickets, might explain the observed regional variation in rickets prevalence. Recent evidence suggest that clinical diagnoses of pediatric rickets are common in a slum area in Nairobi and are linked to vitamin D deficiency. The percentage of adults living in extreme poverty in the counties with hospitals’ in the CIN ranges from 21% to 65%. Using these crude population estimates of poverty provides no clear picture: Nairobi has moderately high levels of poverty and the highest prevalence of rickets, while overall levels of poverty are as high in the Western region with almost no rickets. Furthermore, poverty levels are at their lowest in the Central Region with some rickets. Given that actual populations using hospitals may vary considerably this may not be surprising. Public hospitals in the Nairobi region are likely to serve a disproportionate number of patients from the densely populated slum areas, while the poorest people may struggle to access hospital care in more rural Western Kenyan settings.

Inability to access nutrient rich food and nutritional diversity are other possible explanations for the regional effect. Communities in Western Kenya have both vegetable and fish based diets, with nutrient-rich indigenous vegetables, such as leaves of amaranth grains (Amaranthus sp.) widely consumed. The diet for communities in Central Kenya is mostly cereal based, with little diversity in the diet. The practice of early weaning to cereal porridge by communities in Central Kenya is thought to deprive infant’s proteins and micronutrients found in human milk, which predisposes infants to mineral deficiencies, such as rickets and anemia.

Cultural practices and weather might also influence regional prevalence of rickets. Swaddling is practiced in the colder regions of Central Kenya, where the average temperature for the coldest month in some locations, such as Nyeri town, is 10°C. Western Kenya, however, is considerably warmer; for example, the average temperatures for the coldest month in Kisumu, a major Western Kenya town, is 22°C. Children here are often minimally clad, hence experience more sun exposure.
A limitation of our work is that rickets in most cases is likely to be diagnosed and treated as an outpatient condition, and only in severe cases, or when a serious comorbid illness is present, are such cases admitted. Our data may therefore suggest what the pattern of rickets is, but cannot be used to infer the possible magnitude of vitamin D deficiency in children. In addition, our analysis is based on inpatient data from routine pediatric admission records with no prospective sensitization or training of clinicians in diagnosing rickets, and in settings where routine diagnostic tests are rarely available. These factors may result in the under-estimation of the true hospital based prevalence or, if some areas have been sensitized to the problem of rickets, may contribute to apparent regional differences. Furthermore, causal relationships cannot be ascertained. Nonetheless, these limitations are unlikely to affect the main conclusion of our study, the low rates of rickets in Western Kenya compared to Central Kenya and Nairobi. The results of this study are useful in that they suggest the need for more robust studies to help obtain good quality data that would more accurately depict the scale of the problem of vitamin D deficiency and rickets, better define regional variation and help identify local risk factors. With prevalence of rickets as high as 6% of admissions in some areas, such studies would appear urgent and targeted public health measures may be needed to avert long term consequences of an entirely preventable dietary deficiency. Such measures might include: improved use of calcium or vitamin D supplementation in pregnant and lactating women, infant supplementation or food fortification.

Given the known problems associated with the sensitivity and the specificity of a clinical diagnosis of nutritional rickets, there is value in hospital based prospective studies for better estimation of prevalence rates and subtle Vitamin D deficiency. Well-designed prospective studies should feature a standardized definition of a clinical diagnosis of nutritional rickets. These studies should also support the use of routine wrist X-rays, with scans reviewed by trained radiologists for a confirmatory diagnosis. In addition, a rickets diagnosis should be supported by more definitive biochemical testing.
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Kelsey D. J. Jones
Chelsea & Westminster Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

This is a well-written paper on a neglected health problem in Kenya. Two recent studies have contributed anecdotal data that suggest a significant burden of disease in urban areas, and have identified vitamin D deficiency as the likely cause of rickets in many cases (note that this is different to most other settings in Africa). The current study provides the first proper epidemiologic data from Kenya, and there are some remarkable findings. That 6% of paediatric inpatients in a hospital in a region with abundant sunlight have rickets is quite extraordinary; could the authors put this into context - has similar prevalence been recorded elsewhere?

In general the approaches and analyses are sound. There is a lot of emphasis on length of stay, I presume as a proxy for severity/complexity, though it is a difficult parameter to interpret (certainly in low-resource settings in Kenya, where length of stay can be artificially inflated by delays in payment, for example). I don't feel that figures 2 or 3 add a great deal to the argument and I would be minded put them into a supplementary file.

Two frequently reported associations of vitamin D deficiency are developmental delay and TB. Can the authors look for any association with these conditions? I expect that developmental delay is probably not well coded in your data so it may not be possible, but I bet that TB is coded much better. I think this would really add value to the paper. (A section will need to be added to the discussion about the difficulty in robustly diagnosing TB in Kenya and similar settings.)

The discussion section is sound, but would do well to highlight even further the difficulty in diagnosing rickets in Kenya and similar settings, which makes studying it so difficult. Rickets is best diagnosed by a combination of clinical, radiographical and biochemical features, but I expect that most children in this study were diagnosed clinically alone. Clinical evaluation is insensitive – especially where the clinician is concentrating on ‘urgent’, severe illness requiring hospital admission, but it can also lack specificity: anecdotally, in Nairobi I have come across children diagnosed with rickets solely on the basis of neurodevelopmental delay, for which there are a multitude of other potential causes. The authors could provide specific guidance on the kind of prospective clinical research that would help to resolve these difficult issues.
NB1: Source data is not provided but I would not expect it to be for a study of this type due to practical and ethical issues.

NB2: The authors might like to consider citing the two recent articles on rickets in Nairobi that have helped to highlight it as a public health concern.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\) I declare a conflict of interest in this suggestion as I am an author on one of these papers, but I think that it strengthens their argument because it provides evidence that vitamin D deficiency usually underlies rickets in Nairobi - an assumption the authors make throughout their article.
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Author Response 29 Oct 2017
Stella Karuri, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kenya

We thank the reviewer for the review and the comments. Our response to each of the comments is given in italics below:
This is a well-written paper on a neglected health problem in Kenya. Two recent studies have contributed anecdotal data that suggest a significant burden of disease in urban areas, and have identified vitamin D deficiency as the likely cause of rickets in many cases (note that this is different to most other settings in Africa). The current study provides the first proper epidemiologic data from Kenya, and there are some remarkable findings. That 6% of paediatric inpatients in a hospital in a region with abundant sunlight have rickets is quite extraordinary; could the authors put this into context - has similar prevalence been recorded elsewhere?

Review articles by Prentice\(^1\) and more recently by Creo et al\(^2\) cite studies in areas with abundant sunshine in Asia and Africa where prevalence of nutritional rickets was estimated to be higher than 6%. For example, a nationwide survey estimated a prevalence of 8% in children under 10 years of age\(^3\) and a survey study in Northern Nigeria estimated a prevalence of 9% in children under 3 years of age\(^4\).

In general the approaches and analyses are sound. There is a lot of emphasis on length of stay, I presume as a proxy for severity/complexity, though it is a difficult parameter to interpret (certainly in low-resource settings in Kenya, where length of stay can be artificially inflated by delays in payment, for example). I don’t feel that figures 2 or 3 add a great deal to the argument and I would be minded put them into a supplementary file.

We understand the reviewer’s point of view, however we believe that the length-of-stay (LOS) metric suggest a cost aspect to a rickets diagnosis. It is rare for patients to be detained for non-payment of hospital fees in the sites in the study. Furthermore the discharge dates used in computing LOS were the medical discharge date and not the administrative discharge date. There is also a potential, at least for pneumonia, that clinical signs such as lower chest wall indrawing take longer to resolve in children with Rickets resulting in longer stays.

Two frequently reported associations of vitamin D deficiency are developmental delay and TB. Can the authors look for any association with these conditions? I expect that developmental delay is probably not well coded in your data so it may not be possible, but I bet that TB is coded much better. I think this would really add value to the paper. (A section will need to be added to the discussion about the difficulty in robustly diagnosing TB in Kenya and similar settings.)

We did explore the relationship between TB and rickets however the admission and discharge data in our network was not rich enough for robust inference, we had less than 1% of admissions with a TB diagnosis which were mostly clinical diagnoses. This rate is likely un under-diagnosis of TB, diagnosing TB in young children is difficult. Advanced TB diagnostics tools such as GeneXpert have not yet entered common use in the sites in our study. It is widely felt that there is under-diagnosis of TB in younger children and specific work is being undertaken to make better diagnostic tools available with a view to exploring the links of TB with Vitamin D deficiency.

The discussion section is sound, but would do well to highlight even further the difficulty in diagnosing rickets in Kenya and similar settings, which makes studying it so difficult. Rickets is best diagnosed by a combination of clinical, radiographical and biochemical features, but I expect that most children in this study were diagnosed clinically alone. Clinical evaluation is insensitive – especially where the clinician is concentrating on ‘urgent’, severe illness requiring hospital admission, but it can also lack specificity: anecdotally, in Nairobi I have come across children diagnosed with rickets solely on the basis of neurodevelopmental delay, for which there are a
multitude of other potential causes. The authors could provide specific guidance on the kind of prospective clinical research that would help to resolve these difficult issues.

We have added a concluding paragraph to the text addressing this comment. Please see the updated version of the article. We are also grateful to the reviewer for bringing to our attention these new studies of rickets and have cited them in the new version.
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This article presents relevant and useful information about the prevalence of nutritional rickets in 3 different regions of Kenya reflecting a mixture of urban and rural populations. Utilizing hospital admission and discharge data on over 20,000 admissions routinely collected through the Clinical Information Network they were able to identify children under 5 years where “rickets” was recorded in the discharge diagnosis. Significant differences were noted across the three regions with only one case identified in the Western region with the highest prevalence reported from one hospital in Nairobi. The majority of cases as would be anticipated were under the age of 2 years with a significant association with malnutrition defined as a weight for age Z-score (<-2.0). Previous work has suggested an association between rickets and/or vitamin D deficiency with pneumonia. However despite a high prevalence of admissions with pneumonia such an association was only seen in the Western region. It is also of interest that no increased risk of mortality was seen in children with rickets given the potential association between vitamin D deficiency and innate immunity.
The authors discuss potential explanations for the variation in prevalence seen across the three regions such as rates of poverty particularly in Nairobi. They have highlighted the potential relevance of differences in dietary practices in particular the lack of dietary calcium intake of the cereal based diet in Central Kenya. It is now well recognized that dietary calcium intake has an important role in addition to vitamin D deficiency in the aetiology of nutritional rickets.

The authors have highlighted the potential limitations of their work particularly the fact that many children with rickets are managed as outpatients and therefore will not be identified in hospital discharge data. Another important factor is the dependence on clinical signs such as lower limb deformities for the diagnosis of rickets. The recent Global Consensus guidelines on Nutritional Rickets recommended that the diagnosis is made on the basis of history, physical examination and biochemical results and is confirmed by radiographs. It is recognized that access to such investigations may be difficult in countries such as Kenya but it would have been useful if radiographs were available in a subset of the sample to see what proportion of cases of rickets were confirmed on X-ray. In a similar setting in the Gambia where bone deformity was used as a diagnostic marker for rickets only in 9% of cases was this confirmed by X-ray.

Two additional comments I would make are:

1) Considering the survey was conducted over 1 year it would be useful if the authors were able to calculate the incidence of nutritional rickets assuming they have information on the population of children less than 5 years in the regions surveyed. Incidence data for this condition is quite limited worldwide.

2) It is not clear to this reviewer what is represented on the Y-axis of Figure 2.
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Reader Comment 14 Sep 2017

**Stella Karuri,** Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kenya

We are grateful for the review and the additional comments. We would like to point out one slight correction to the review, we believe the reviewer meant “Central region” instead of “Western region” in the first paragraph where the reviewer notes that an association between rickets and pneumonia was only seen in the Western region. There was only one case of rickets in the Western region, consequently the association between rickets and pneumonia was not studied in this region.

We address each of the reviewer’s comments below (our comments given in italics):

1) Considering the survey was conducted over 1 year it would be useful if the authors were able to calculate the incidence of nutritional rickets assuming they have information on the population of children less than 5 years in the regions surveyed. Incidence data for this condition is quite limited worldwide.

*We did consider estimating regional incidences of rickets, but we were not able to get reliable up-to-date data of the catchment population for the sites in the study. Using older data was a possibility, however given the fact that the study was based on inpatient hospital records, we felt that the resulting estimates would be biased.*

2) It is not clear to this reviewer what is represented on the Y-axis of Figure 2.

*In Figure 2 and Figure 3, the Y-axis represents the proportion of patients discharged within T days, with T given on the X-axis.*
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**Comments on this article**

**Version 2**

Reader Comment 15 Feb 2018

**Machira Muturi,**
This was a study that utilized data collected from hospitals to determine the prevalence of rickets and to test associations of the disease with variables likely to affect it. Collection and preservation of such data is lacking in many African countries and with proper utilization can have profound effects the prevention and control of diseases. However, the use of such data requires the use of robust statistical methods so that sound conclusions can be drawn. The data analysis is well done. However, a few issues arise:

The Fisher’s exact test tests whether two variables are associated and the strength of association is given using odds ratios and not the other way round. Although the p-value can tell you whether the odds ratio is significant or not, also including the 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio would be more informative.

The fishers exact test is a type of univariate analyses and it normally does not control for confounding and other types of effect modification. For example, Age might have been a confounder for the relationship between malnutrition and rickets? I would suggest including logistic regression modelling into the data analysis with the outcome being whether or not a child had rickets. If the number of cases is too low, consider using penalized maximum likelihood method.

In your methodology you state that you use standard deviation and counts as summary statistics but they are lacking in your results section.

It would also be informative to include a ‘totals’ row in table 1 giving summary statistics for the whole population.

Was there data on cases of repeated admissions of the same patient?
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