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Abstract

Background: Transgenic animal models are a widely used and powerful tool to investigate human disease and develop therapeutic interventions. Making a transgenic mouse involves random integration of exogenous DNA into the host genome that can have the effect of disrupting endogenous gene expression. The J20 mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a transgenic overexpresser of human APP with familial AD mutations and has been extensively utilised in preclinical studies and our aim was to determine the genomic location of the J20 transgene insertion.

Methods: We used a combination of breeding strategy and Targeted Locus Amplification with deep sequencing to identify the insertion site of the J20 transgene array. To assess RNA and protein expression of Zbtb20, we used qRT-PCR and Western Blotting.

Results: We demonstrate that the J20 transgene construct has inserted within the genetic locus of endogenous mouse gene Zbtb20 on chromosome 16 in an array, disrupting expression of mRNA from this gene in adult hippocampal tissue, while expression of Zbtb20 protein remains unchanged. We note that the endogenous mouse App gene also lies on chromosome 16, although 42 Mb from the Zbtb20 locus.

Conclusions: These data will be useful for future studies utilising this popular model of AD, particularly those investigating gene interactions between the J20 APP transgene and other genes present on Mmu16 in the mouse.

Keywords

Transgenic, mouse model, Alzheimer’s disease, APP, Zbtb20, J20, Amyloid Precursor Protein
Corresponding authors: Elizabeth M.C. Fisher (elizabeth.fisher@ucl.ac.uk), Frances K. Wiseman (f.wiseman@prion.ucl.ac.uk)

Author roles: Tosh JL: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Rickman M: Investigation; Rhymes E: Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Norona FE: Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Clayton E: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Mucke L: Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Isaacs AM: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Fisher EMC: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Wiseman FK: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [098330], Strategic Award to The London Down Syndrome (LonDownS) Consortium; the Alzheimer’s Society [192(ALZSOC-PhD-2013-001)], awarded to FKW and EMCF; the Medical Research Council [MR/J004022/1], to AMI; and Alzheimer’s Research UK [ARUK-PPG2014B-5] to AMI.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2017 Tosh JL et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Tosh JL, Rickman M, Rhymes E et al. The integration site of the APP transgene in the J20 mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations] Wellcome Open Research 2017, 2:84 (https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12237.1)

First published: 13 Sep 2017, 2:84 (https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12237.1)
Introduction
The Tg(PDGFB-APPswInd)20Lms (MGI:3057148, here referred to as ‘J20’) mouse model is a transgenic animal that overexpresses mutant human APP protein (amyloid precursor protein), and is widely used as a model of amyloid deposition and pathogenesis in the study of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). J20 mice recapitulate many AD-like phenotypes, including synaptic loss, amyloid plaque deposition and cognitive impairment (Hong et al., 2016; Mucke et al., 2000; Palop & Mucke, 2016).

The model was developed by Mucke and colleagues using the PDGF-APPsw,Ind transgene construct described previously (Games et al., 1995; Rockenstein et al., 1995), which includes a human APP mini-gene, carrying the familial AD-linked 717_q7 (Indiana) mutation (Murrell et al., 1991) and 670/671Q/ESL (Swedish) double mutation (Mullan et al., 1992). The transgene construct was designed so that the APP mini-gene included genomic sequence for APP introns 6–8, allowing expression of hAPP695, hAPP751 and hAPP770 isoforms. The PDGF-APPsw,Ind transgene expression is driven in neurons throughout the brain by the human platelet-derived growth factor β chain (PDGFb) promoter (Harris et al., 2010; Sasahara et al., 1991). The J20 mouse is an important model: currently, 125 articles have been catalogued in the Mouse Genome Database (Roberson et al., 2007). Moreover, this model has been used to elucidate the role of Aβ in synaptic dysfunction (Palop & Mucke, 2016; Palop et al., 2007; Sanchez et al., 2012).

Transgenic mice are conventionally generated by direct injection of linear foreign DNA into the pronucleus of fertilised zygotes. Once inside the cell, these linear fragments undergo circularisation and concatamer formation before integrating into the host genome as a tandem array (Bishop & Smith, 1989). In principle, transgenes insert randomly into the host genome; however, ~45% of integration sites lie within host gene regions (~13.2 exonic, 31.6% intronic), potentially as a result of increased accessibility of transcriptionally active DNA (Yan et al., 2013). Integration of a transgene array into coding sequences can induce new mutations (for example, haploinsufficiency) (Haruyama et al., 2009), and so it is important to know the site of integration for a transgene array in a mouse model.

A recent study suggested an association of a heterozygous deletion of the CHMP2B gene (charged multivesicular body protein 2B) with early-onset Alzheimer’s disease (Hooli et al., 2014). Interestingly, mutations in CHMP2B are a rare genetic cause of Frontotemporal dementia (Skibinski et al., 2005). We have previously reported generation of a Chmp2b knockout mouse (Ghazi-Noori et al., 2012). To determine if Chmp2b deletion modulates APP/Aβ biology in vivo, we attempted to cross our Chmp2b knockout with the J20 mouse, to study potential double mutant progeny. The Chmp2b locus lies on mouse chromosome 16.

Here, we present the outcome of these genetic cross experiments and the resulting mapping of the J20 transgene array integration site by Targeted Locus Amplification (TLA) with deep sequencing. We discuss how integration of the transgene affects expression of the flanking loci.

Methods
Animal welfare
Mice were housed in controlled conditions in accordance with guidelines from the UK Medical Research Council in Responsibility in Use of Animals for Medical Research (1993). Two female J20 positive animals were killed at 6 months of age to provide splenic material for the TLA study. Furthermore, 3 month hippocampal tissue was collected from J20 animals: N=5, 3 male, 2 female. C57BL/6J controls: N=5, 3 male, 2 female. All used for qRT-PCR and western blotting. All experiments were conducted under license from the UK Home Office and with Local Ethical Review approval. Tg(PDGFB-APPswInd)20Lms/2Mmjax animals (J20) were obtained from The Jackson laboratory (stock no. 034836) and maintained on a C57BL/6J background in our animal facility. Chmp2b knockout animals were already available in our animal facility (Ghazi-Noori et al., 2012). Mice had access to a mouse house with bedding material and wood chips. All animals had continual access to water and RM1 (Special Diet Services) (stock animals) or RM3 (Special Diet Services) (breeding animals) chow ad libitum. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages in a specific pathogen free facility with a 12 hour light/dark cycle.

Genotyping of J20 and Chmp2b knockout mice
DNA was extracted from tail tip or ear biopsy by the HOTSHOT method (Truet et al., 2000).

The presence of the J20 human APP transgene was tested by PCR using primers (Eurofins) APP-F: 5’-GTGAGTTGTAGTGTGATGGCC-3’ APP-R: 5’-TCTCTCTTCTTCACCTCAGC-3’, control primers ContF: 5’-CAAATGGTTGCTGGTCTTGTTG-3’ ContR: 5’-CTGAGCTGGTGCACAGTCTT-3). Copy number of the human APP transgene was validated by quantitative PCR using a Taqman Fast machine (Applied Biosystems) with the following primers and probes: hAPPF: 5’-TTGGGTCAAAACAAAGTGGCAA-3’ hAPPR: 5’-GATGAAGATACGTCTGCGATGAC-3’ hAPP probe: FAM-CATTGGACTCATGGTGGGCGGTG-3’ qContF: 5’-CACGCTGGGTCCACAGATT-3’ qContR: 5’-TACCATGAT-CATTCTGACCTTGTTG-3’ qContProbe: VIC-CAATGTGTGGGCACTGCTCAAA-3’.

The Chmp2b knockout locus was detected by PCR with the following primers: Int2_F: 5’-CCATTGCCACTTGGATGTAA-3’ Int2_R: 5’-TCTCTGTGTTTCTGTTG-3’. Copy number of the wildtype Chmp2b was validated using primers (Eurofins) Chmp2b_F: 5’-CACTGGCCATCGCTGGG-3’ Chmp2b_R: 5’-TACCATGAT-CATTCTGACCTTGTTG-3’ Chmp2b_ContF: VIC-CAATGTGTGGGGCACTGCTCAAA-3’.

Extraction of spleen cells from J20 animals
Mice were sacrificed by rising concentration of CO₂ and confirmed for 5 minutes. Spleen was resected and kept on ice. Splenocytes were then dissociated through a 40µm mesh filter and the cells collected by centrifugation at 4°C for 500 x g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet re-suspended in 1 ml red blood cell lysis buffer (4.13g NH₄Cl, 0.5g KHCO₃, 193.5µl...
0.5M EDTA dissolved in 500ml H₂O) for three minutes at room temperature to lyse splenic erythrocytes. To terminate the lysis reaction, 0.5ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added and the splenocytes were collected again by centrifugation at 500 × g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet re-suspended in 0.5ml PBS before a final centrifugation step for 2 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was re-suspended in 1ml freezing buffer (PBS with 10% fetal calf serum and 10% dimethyl sulphoxide). Samples were stored at -80°C before preparation for TLA processing.

Targeted Locus Amplification
Processing of samples for TLA was performed by Cergentis B.V. (Utrecht, The Netherlands), as previously described (de Vree et al., 2014). A primer pair targeted to the APP transgene sequence was used to perform the TLA. Sequences of the PCR primers are (5’ to 3’): 1917_APP_F GAAACTCATCTTCACGGCA; 1698_APP_R GGTTAGACTTCTTGCCAATA. PCR products were purified and library prepped using the Illumina NexteraXT protocol and sequenced on an Illumina Miniseq sequencer.

Sequence alignment and analysis of TLA
TLA reads were mapped using BWA-SW, which is a Smith-Waterman alignment tool. This allows for partial mapping, which is optimally suited for identifying break-spanning reads. The mouse Mm10 genome assembly version was used for mapping. Visualisation and interpretation of the data were performed using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) from the Broad Institute (Robinson et al., 2011).

RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription PCR
RNA was extracted from whole hippocampus from J20 animals and age and litter matched controls. Total RNA was extracted using the Qiagen miRNaseasy kit and reverse transcribed using the Applied Biosystems High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA™ Kit.

Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out on the Zbtb20 gene transcript using a predesigned PrimeTime® probe-based qPCR assay ( assay ID: Mm.PT.39a.22214835, Integrated DNA Technologies [IDT]) targeted to exons 8–9 (RefSeq transcript NM_181058) with a FAM probe. TaqMan reactions were run with Taqman Universal Master Mix 2 on a 7500 Fast machine (Applied Biosystems) using standard cycling conditions. Transcript levels were normalised against Applied Biosystems mouse Actb (Assay ID: 4352933E) and Integrated DNA Technologies B2m ( assay ID: Mm.PT.39a.22214835) endogenous controls in independent experiments and the results averaged geometrically. Both controls contained VIC probes.

Tissue preparation and western blotting for ZBTB20
For analysis of ZBTB20 in hippocampus, J20 and age/sex-matched wildtype littermate controls were dissected under ice-cold PBS before homogenisation in radioimmunoprecipitation buffer (150mM sodium chloride, 50mM Tris, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate) with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set 1 (Merck). Total protein concentration was determined using Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Samples from individual animals were run separately and not pooled.

Equal amounts of hippocampal brain proteins were denatured in LDS sample buffer (ThermoFisher) and β-Mercaptoethanol for 10 minutes at 100°C, prior to separation by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 4–12% pre-cast gels (ThermoFisher). Separated proteins were transferred to 0.2μm nitrocellulose membrane and blocked in 5% milk/phosphate buffered saline (with 0.05% Tween 20, PBST) for one hour at room temperature. The membrane was then cut horizontally at the 49KDa band (SeeBlue Plus II protein ladder, Invitrogen) and the lower half was incubated in mouse monoclonal antibody to β-Actin (AS441, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:200,000 in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBST overnight at 4°C. The upper half was incubated overnight with rabbit polyclonal primary antibody against ZBTB20 (23987-1-AP, ProteinTech) diluted 1:1000 in 1% BSA/PBST. After washing with PBST the upper and lower membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary rabbit and mouse antibodies, respectively, diluted in 1% BSA/PBST for 1 hour at room temperature. SuperSignal™ West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate and X-ray film was used to visualise bands, Image J 1.49c software (NIH) was used to analyse band intensity. Graphpad prism 5 (Graphpad Software, Inc.) was used to perform statistical analyses and plot graphs.

Results
Mouse breeding strategy
To investigate the role of Chmp2b in the pathogenesis of AD, we attempted to generate a homozygous knockout of Chmp2b in the Tg(PDGF-APPSwInd)20Lms (J20) APP transgenic mouse strain. To accomplish this we set up matings over two generations (Figure 1a), firstly between Chmp2b+/− and hemizygous J20 mice (referred for simplicity as TgAPP520), where ‘J20’ denotes the presence of the transgene). This cross produced Chmp2b+/-;TgAPP520 and Chmp2b+/-;TgAPP+/- progeny. In the second stage, the Chmp2b+/-;TgAPP520 offspring were crossed to Chmp2b+/- null animals. This cross was predicted to produce four progeny genotypes at equal Mendelian ratios (0.25):

(i) homozygous for Chmp2b knockout, without the APP transgene (Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP−/−),
(ii) homozygous for Chmp2b knockout, hemizygous for APP transgene (Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP+/-),
(iii) hemizygous for Chmp2b knockout, hemizygous for APP transgene (Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP−/−),
(iv) hemizygous for Chmp2b knockout, without the APP transgene (Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP+/-).

The second stage cross resulted in 76 progeny, but the observed ratio of genotypes significantly differed from the expected ratio (Figure 1b). Strikingly no Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP520 progeny were produced. This suggests that either Chmp2b−/−;TgAPP+/- may not be viable or the TgAPP allele might be on the same chromosome as Chmp2b - mouse chromosome 16 (Mmu16), preventing typical Mendelian segregation.
Targeted locus Amplification analysis of J20 transgene insertion

To determine the cause of the absence of *Chmp2b*−/−;Tg*APP*J20 offspring, we mapped the site of the J20 Tg*APP* transgene insertion, and so sequenced flanking regions around the insertion site by TLA. Sequence analysis (Figure 2a) showed that the Tg*APP* insertion site lies on Mmu16. Targeted reads mapped the integration break-points to genomic co-ordinates Mmu16: 43,127,050 (3’ end of the transgene array) and Mmu16: 43,127,512 (5’ end of the transgene array). In addition, sequencing around the insertion site revealed a 41.17kb deletion in the mouse genome between chr16:43,085,979 and chr16:43,127,149 (Figure 2b). Thus the lack of *Chmp2b*−/−;Tg*APP*J20 offspring is the result of the insertion of Tg*APP*J20 on Mmu16, explaining the absence of *Chmp2b*−/−;Tg*APP*J20 progeny from the stage 2 cross.

TLA also allowed us to assess transgene sequence integrity. We found three SNPs (hAPP transgene sequence: 624 G > A, 979 G > A, 10649 G > A) and four indels (TG: 185 G > +1T, TG: 1168 G > +8GGCGGGAC, TG: 1423 C > +1G, TG: 5932 C > -1T) within the integrated transgene construct; however, all were silent mutations or found within intronic sequence. Furthermore, TLA analysis showed at least one transgene copy is truncated at the 3’ end (TG: 12088), ablating the ampicillin cassette, and is fused to the 5’ of another transgene copy to form a concatemer (TG:12088 fused to TG:3).

Assessment of ZBTB20 expression

The integration site co-ordinates localize the J20 transgene insertion and deletion entirely within intron 1 of the gene Zinc-finger and BTB domain containing 20 (*Zbtb20*, transcript NM_001285805.1) on Mmu16. *Zbtb20* is a member of the BTB/POZ family of transcriptional repressors and functions primarily as a transcriptional repressor (Xie et al., 2008); it is important for hippocampal development and function, the site of greatest Aβ deposition in aging J20 animals (Mucke et al., 2000). Moreover, missense mutations in this gene are associated with Primrose syndrome, a cause of intellectual disability with autism (Cordeddu et al., 2014; Mattioli et al., 2016). Additionally, haploinsufficiency of the gene has been suggested as an important factor in del3q13.31 syndrome, a cause of developmental delay and intellectual disability (Rasmussen et al., 2014). To determine whether transgene insertion has affected *Zbtb20* transcription in J20 animals in hippocampal tissue, we investigated mRNA and protein levels of ZBTB20 in wildtype and J20 animals.

Firstly, a predesigned RT-qPCR assay was chosen from IDT to overlap the exon 8–9 boundary within the protein coding region of the *Zbtb20* transcript, downstream of the transgene insertion site. Importantly this junction is present in all predicted RefSeq protein coding transcript isoforms. We detected significantly less transcript in J20 animals compared to wildtype using this assay (Figure 3a). To determine whether reduction in *Zbtb20* transcript results in a reduction of ZBTB20 protein in J20 animals, we assayed total...
Figure 3. Expression of Zbtb20 mRNA and protein in 3 month old wildtype and J20 homozygous hippocampus. (A) Zbtb20 mRNA expression is significantly reduced in J20 hippocampus compared to wildtype (WT), detected by quantitative RT-PCR using primers spanning exons 8–9 (unpaired t-test, n = 5 wildtype/6 J20. P = 0.0046). (B) ZBTB20 protein levels are unchanged in J20 hippocampus compared to wildtype animals (unpaired t-test, n= 5 wildtype/4 J20 in hippocampus. p = 0.91).

Figure 2. Insertion site of the J20 APP transgene (Tg) on mouse chromosome 16. (A) Representation of Mmu16 showing insertion site of the J20 Tg array. Also shown are the positions of endogenous mouse App and Chmp2b. (B) TLA applied to the APPSwInd transgene in the J20 mouse. Read mapping to the mouse (mm10) genome assembly shows the exact Tg insertion site (red arrows) with associated genomic deletion (yellow bar). Gene annotation is shown below labelling a small portion of intron 1 of the Zbtb20 gene. (C) TLA reads mapped to the APP Tg sequence. Complete coverage was achieved, showing that head to tail concatemerization of the Tg has occurred at position 12088 ablating the ampicillin cassette of the plasmid construct. Coloured lines represent SNPs found in the Tg sequence compared to the published sequence. A linearised map of the plasmid construct is shown below.
hippocampal protein by western blot for ZBTB20 with an affinity purified polyclonal antibody, and observed that ZBTB20 protein is unaltered in adult J20 hippocampal tissue. We also validated this negative result with an additional antibody to ZBTB20 (data not shown). Thus, any perturbations in transcript abundance of Zbtb20 in J20 hippocampus at three months of age do not translate to deficits in ZBTB20 protein.

Discussion

Using TLA we have located the insertion site of the J20 APP transgene on Mmu16 within intron 1 of the Zbtb20 gene. We have also found a 41kb deletion of intronic sequence flanking the insertion site. Due to the key role of Zbtb20 in hippocampal cell differentiation and function, we determined its expression in hippocampal tissue, and found that while Zbtb20 transcript expression is reduced in J20 hippocampus, protein expression is not. In a similar study investigating the transgene insertion site of the R6/2 Huntingdon’s disease model mouse, Jacobsen et al. discovered that the HTT exon 1 transgene inserted within intron 7 of the Gm12695 gene, causing an almost 30 fold increase of expression of this gene compared to wildtype in cortical tissue irrespective of CAG-repeat length polymorphisms, showing that the genomic aberration caused by foreign DNA insertion within intronic sequence can alter gene regulation (Jacobsen et al., 2017). Notably, disruption of the expression of nearby genes may also occur in genetargeted systems. For example, two of the four lines of mice that are null for the Prion protein gene Prnp, exhibited late onset ataxia and neurodegeneration -- this was subsequently found to be the result of aberrant upregulation of a gene (Prnd) downstream of Prnp, which occurred as a result of induced exon skipping (Moore et al., 1999). In the J20 model, hippocampal Zbtb20 transcription is perturbed without concomitant protein reduction. This is perhaps not as surprising as it seems; recent data indicate mRNA levels explain only around 40% of variability in protein levels (Wilhelm et al., 2014), with protein abundance being primarily dependent on translational control (Schwanhäusser et al., 2011; Schwanhäusser et al., 2013).

It may be important to assess expression in multiple neuronal cell types throughout development in the J20 model, considering that ectopic expression of Zbtb20 in the subiculum and post-subiculum results in aberrant CA1 type development in those regions with associated CA1-specific markers (Nielsen et al., 2010). The transgene sequence itself is intact in the J20, excluding several single nucleotide mutations; however, these are either silent or found within the transgene construct’s three intronic regions and are unlikely to affect the J20 transgene. TLA analysis and in-house copy number qPCR (data not shown) indicate that this transgene has integrated multiple times in an array on Mmu16. Multiple transgene insertion may be liable to recombination events, causing loss of some copies of the transgene, resulting in delayed onset of phenotype in affected animals. This potential confound can be allayed by undertaking a genomic copy-number qPCR to confirm copy-number consistency between individuals. The Jackson Laboratory have published their assay for general use.

Genetic engineering can result in unintended disruption of the genome, which can confound interpretation of phenotype if the genomic alterations cause changes in protein expression. Transgenic models have been and continue to be powerful tools for biomedical research, but knowledge of the insertion site and the local effects on gene expression will inform phenotyping studies.

Data availability

Aligned BAM files from the TLA, uncropped western blot for Figure 3B, hippocampal qPCR data and western blot data for Zbtb20 are available on OSF http://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/4UGZF (Tosh, 2017).
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