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Abstract

Background. Postpartum haemorrhage (PPH) is a potentially life-threatening complication for women, and the leading cause of maternal mortality. Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an antifibrinolytic used worldwide to treat uterine haemorrhage and to reduce blood loss in general surgery. TXA may have effects on thrombin generation, platelet function and coagulation factors as a result of its inhibition on the plasmin.

Methods. WOMAN ETAPlaT is a sub-study of the World Maternal Antifibrinolitic trial (WOMAN trial). All adult women clinically diagnosed with PPH after a vaginal delivery or caesarean section, are eligible for inclusion in the study. Blood samples will be collected at the baseline and 30 minutes after the first dose of study treatment is given. Platelet function will be evaluated in whole blood immediately after sampling with Multiplate® tests (ADPtest and TRAPtest). Thrombin generation, fibrinogen, D-dimer, and coagulation factors vW, V and VIII will be analysed using platelet poor plasma.

Results. Recruitment to WOMAN ETAPlaT started on 04 November 2013 and closed on 13 January 2015, during this time 188 patients were recruited. The final participant follow-up was completed on 04 March 2015. This article introduces the statistical analysis plan for the study, without reference to unblinded data.

Conclusion. The data from this study will provide evidence for the effect of TXA on thrombin generation, platelet function and coagulation factors in women with PPH.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00872469; ISRCTN76912190
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The differences of the second version of “Statistical analysis plan for the WOMAN-ETAPlaT study: Effect of tranexamic acid on platelet function and thrombin generation” has been based on the comments from referees:

1. Removed the exploratory subgroup analysis section, because the total sample size was small and the subgroup analyses run the risk of false positive. Also, it was removed a section with evidence about effect of TXA on thrombin generation, because some evidence it was provided at the protocol paper of ETAPlaT sub-study.

2. We added a flowchart according to CONSORT to report the participant progress in the ETAPlaT sub-study (Figure 1), allocation of patients in TXA or placebo group, lost of follow up and exclusion from analysis. Two sections were added, one section for safety report for all patients randomized in the sub-study, about adverse events and serious adverse events. Another section added was about adherence, to report measures of compliance by trial arm.

## Abbreviations

ADPtest: Test of Adenosine Di Phosphate (ADP); AUC: Area Under Curve; CI: confidence Interval; CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials; ETP: Endogenous Thrombin Potential; FAS: Full Analysis Set; FV: Coagulation Factor V; FVIII: Coagulation Factor VIII; CBC: Complete Blood Count; IBE: Institute for Medical Information Sciences, Biometry and Epidemiology; Hb: Hemoglobin; Ht: Hematocrit; LT: Lag Time; Pht: Peak to Height; MPV: Mean Platelet Volume Mean Platelet Volume; PP: Per-Protocol; R: software package R (r-project.org); SAP: Statistical Analysis Plan; SD: Standard Deviations; SOP: Standard Operating Procedure; TGA: Thrombin Generation Assay; TXA: Tranexamic Acid; TRAPtest: Test of Thrombin Receptor of Thrombocyte; vWF: Coagulation Factor Von Willebrand.

## Preface

The purpose of the statistical analysis plan (SAP) is to ensure the credibility of the study findings by pre-specifying the statistical approaches to the analysis of the study data prior to hard locking the database and unblinding of the WOMAN ETAPlaT trial data. To prevent outcome bias and selective reporting, a detailed SAP is presented in order to avoid post hoc decisions that may influence the interpretation of the results and the statistical analyses of the final data.

This SAP is a technical extension of the WOMAN ETAPlaT study protocol (Version 1.1, dated August 29, 2014), which is published elsewhere (Dallaku et al., 2016). The SAP follows the principles of the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines E3, E6 and E9 (ICH, 2016).

## Study objectives and endpoints of WOMAN-ETAPlaT

### Objectives of the study

Primary objectives: This study will assess if TXA, by inhibiting the plasmin, has an effect on decrease over time on the continuous response variable, thrombin generation assay (TGA) parameter: endogenous thrombin potential (ETP). Secondary objectives: evaluation of the effect of TXA on women with PPH, through monitoring the platelet function (multiplate analyser), plasmatic levels of fibrinogen, D-dimer, coagulation factor V (FV), coagulation factor VIII (FVIII), coagulation factor von Willebrandt (vWF) and other TGA parameters: lag time (LT), time to peak (Tp), and peak height (Ph).

### Endpoints

**Primary efficacy endpoint.** The primary efficacy endpoint is the TGA parameter – ETP (nM/minute). Values of ETP will be measured in venous blood samples. Blood samples will be collected at the baseline and at 30 ± 15 minutes after the first dose of study treatment is given. Analysis will be performed on processed, separated platelet poor plasma, and preserved in deep freeze, as described in the ETAPlaT protocol (Dallaku et al., 2016).

**Secondary efficacy endpoints.** Secondary outcomes will include parameters measuring the effect of TXA on other TGA parameters (LT, Tp, and Ph - we will transform variables prior to analysis if there is evidence that distributions are skewed), fibrinogen, D-dimer and coagulation factors V, VIII, vWF (measured on processed plasma samples as described on primary endpoint) and platelet function analysed by Multiplate® tests (ADPTest, TRAPtest – measured on whole blood).

## Study methods

### Study design

The WOMAN-ETAPlaT is a sub-study of the WOMAN trial, an international randomised, double blinded, placebo-controlled trial. The ETAPlaT sub-study has the same study design as the WOMAN trial, but includes some additional laboratory tests. Women who fulfil the eligibility criteria for the WOMAN trial, including adult women clinically diagnosed with primary PPH after a vaginal delivery (>500 mL blood loss), a caesarean delivery (>1000 mL blood loss), or enough blood loss to compromise the haemodynamic status will be randomized. Women with PPH for whom the physician believes there is an indication or contraindication to use TXA, will be excluded.

Immediately after randomization, women will receive the trial treatment by i/v administration of either TXA (1 gram) or placebo (NaCl solution 0.9%). If after 30 minutes the haemorrhage continues or if it stops and restarts within 24 hours after randomization, a second dose may be given. This second dose is not relevant for the current sub-study.

### Randomisation, blinding and ethics approval

Packs with study drugs were prepared according to the randomization list, generated by an independent statistician. The active study drug (TXA) and placebo (NaCl 0.9%) will be identical in appearance, and randomization number will be used for identification of packs. Each box has eight packs of study drugs, and the lowest number pack will be used first. After completing the consent procedure, eligible patients are randomized to receive either TXA or placebo. Both the patients and the medical representatives participating in the study are masked to the treatment distribution. Ethical approval was obtained in July 11, 2013 by National
Ethics Committee in Tirana, Albania (ref. 62 and 81) and in October 28, 2013 by London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK (ref. 6518).

Study variables and study schema
Details concerning the baseline (treatment) and follow-up (post treatment) period together with the frequency and timing of relevant variables or assessments are displayed at Table 1.

Sample size
The sample size calculation is focused on the first component of the primary endpoint: change over time of ETP in women with PPH. In a previous study (McLean et al., 2012) ETP in patients with term pregnancy, within each subject group, was normally distributed with a mean of 2410 nM/min and standard deviation (SD) of 543 nM/min.

Assuming a correlation of 0.6 between two time points, the standard deviation of the change can be calculated as 485 \( \sqrt{2 \times 543^2 \times (1-0.6)} \). We assume a decrease in ETP of 10% (243 nM/min) over time in the TXA group and no change in the placebo group. To detect an ETP difference of 243 nM/min between groups at a 5% significance level with a power of 80%, two groups each with 88 patients are needed.

A correlation of 0.6 is seen as a conservative estimate as the within individual changes in TGA over time is strictly controlled, which implies a high correlation between time points.

General considerations
Timing of analyses
Participant recruitment started on 4 November 2013 and was completed on 13 January 2015. The final participant follow-up was completed on 04 March 2015.

All final analyses will be performed on the database at LSHTM (London, UK) which consists of several tables (Table 1). After documenting all data collection forms (DCF) data, data cleaning and query resolution, the following prerequisites for unblinding must be fulfilled: the resolution of all queries concerning DCF and laboratory results, and the finalisation of the SAP document.

All these processes before database locking must take place to comply with requirements.

Following data integrity checks the database will be locked after October 2016 and the statistical analysis specified in the SAP and approved by the Trial Steering Committee (TSC), will be performed in advance of the WOMAN trial database lock. In the event the TSC approves analysis before the end of the WOMAN trial, only the independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) statistician will be aware of each participant’s treatment allocation. Each participant will be allocated a unique identifier (different from that of the WOMAN trial before unblinding). This is to ensure that the WOMAN trial blinding is not compromised in any way.

Analysis populations
Full analysis set (FAS). The primary efficacy analysis follows the principle of intention to treat (ITT), which implies that study data are analysed based on the original allocation of patients, regardless of a treatment received. Withdrawals, participants lost to follow-up and participants who did not adhere fully to the WOMAN ETAPiTaT study protocol, will not be excluded from the primary efficacy analyses provided that they satisfy major entry criteria. Women who have withdrawn consent to use their data will be excluded from the ITT analysis. Women with a follow-up measure but no baseline measure will be included in the ITT analysis (Figure 1).

Per-protocol set (PP). The PP set consists of all patients who did not substantially deviate from the WOMAN ETAPiTaT protocol as to be determined on a per-subject basis. The PP set of subjects defining a subset of the FAS is characterised as follows: (1) with measurements of outcome available, (2) no major protocol violations are detected: (a) Failure to administer the study drug (first dose), (b) Failure to collect blood sample (baseline or follow-up), (c) Exceeding time-frame of 30 min ± 15 minutes between the study drug administration and follow-up blood sample collection.

Covariates and subgroups
There exists no a priori hypothesis of subgroup differences regarding treatment effects. Hence, no pre-planned confirmatory subgroup analyses will be performed to explore evidence for a difference in treatment effects (interaction effect).

Summary of study data
All continuous variables will be summarised by treatment group (TXA/placebo) using the following descriptive statistics: sample size (N), mean ±standard deviation (SD), median, maximum and minimum. The absolute frequency and percentages of observed levels will be reported for all categorical variables. Summary statistics will be displayed overall and stratified by the treatment group. The key baseline characteristics selected are presented in Table 2.

Baseline characteristics
In a study about the mode of anaesthesia related to PPH after caesarean deliveries, a significantly higher amount of blood loss during general anaesthesia compared to spinal anaesthesia was

Table 1. Datasets that will be generated from the study and will be included in a database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Time period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MultiplateAnalyser test – ADPtest (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and 30 ± 15 min after study treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MultiplateAnalyser test – TRAPtest (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and 30 ± 15 min after study treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombin generation assay (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and 30 ± 15 min after study treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation factors vW, V, VIII, Fibrin, and DDim (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and 30 ± 15 min after study treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical data collection forms (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full blood count (.csv file)</td>
<td>Baseline data and within 12 hrs after randomization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reduction of blood loss in neuroaxial anaesthesia may have been caused partly from hypotension and by fluids infused in these women (Heesen et al., 2013). Another role in increased blood loss during general anaesthesia was reported from volatile anaesthetics which may decrease the myometrium contractility (Yoo et al., 2006) and as a result can cause uterine atony. Also, volatile anaesthetics with their inhibition effect on platelet aggregation (Yuki et al., 2013) can increase the risk for PPH.

Dionne et al. (2015) mentioned that prolonged second stage labour was associated with increased risk and severity of PPH. Other potential risk factors for PPH were null-parity, high birth-weight and antepartum anaemia, where low levels of haemoglobin before delivery were associated with a low efficacy of haemostasis mechanism promoted by red cells and platelets (Biguzzi et al., 2012), and as a result with an increased risk for PPH.

### Efficacy analyses of primary outcome

Both groups of randomised patients, those allocated to the TXA group and placebo group will be compared and analysed on an intention-to-treat basis, irrespective of treatment given. The results will be presented as appropriate effect estimates with a measure of precision (95% CI). In analysis of the primary outcome (TGA - ETP), the TXA group will be compared with the placebo group, based on analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) which adjusts for the baseline measurement of the respective outcome. In a sensitivity analysis we also add an adjustment for the length of time between two measurements (30±15 minutes) of the baseline and follow-up TGA - ETP value (Table 3).

### Table 2. Baseline characteristics of participants before randomization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TXA (n, %)</th>
<th>Placebo (n, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient height, weight, BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity: nullipara (0), multipara (&gt;1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth: &lt;37 or ≥37 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any concomitant diseases of pregnancy: Preclampsia, Chorioamnionitis, Diabetes, Placental abruption, Placenta previa, Previous PPH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of anaesthesia: General or spinal or none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of labour of vaginal births (min) 1st, 2nd and 3rd stage of labour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal birth weight: &lt; 4000 gr or ≥ 4000 gr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb level at entry of the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count at entry of the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen level at entry of the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of blood loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cause of PPH Atony, placenta, trauma, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery: vaginal- caesarean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional doses of uterotonics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Figure 1. ETAPiA sub-study flowchart:* Patients for whom there is no information on the primary endpoint.
Efficacy analyses of secondary outcomes
The same analyses as for primary outcome will be performed for the blood parameters which are secondary endpoint parameters of Multiplate® tests (ADPtest and TRAPtest), fibrinogen, D-dimer, coagulation factors V, VIII, vWF and other TGA parameters (lag time, time to peak, peak height) (Table 4). The results will be presented as appropriate effect estimates with a measure of precision (95% CI).

All statistical analyses will be conducted with the statistics package R and STATA.

Influence of pregnancy diseases and PPH causes on the TXA effect for study outcomes
In pregnant women diagnosed with preeclampsia, preterm labour and chorioamnionitis, was found an increased thrombin generation (Mastrolia et al., 2014). Chaiworapongsa et al. (2002) also reported increased thrombin generation in patients diagnosed with preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction. Macey et al. (2010) comparing normal pregnancies with and without preeclampsia, reported an increased thrombin formation and increased platelet activation. Increased thrombin generation and platelet activity was found also in women with a history of preeclampsia (Rafik Hamad et al., 2009).

There is evidence that the haemostatic impairment that occurs in haemorrhage during pregnancy and delivery is different from trauma-induced haemorrhage. The type and rate of onset of coagulopathies differ depending on the main cause of obstetric haemorrhage (Collis & Collins, 2015). Regarding haemostasis changes during obstetric haemorrhage, there is little data. The type, severity and rate of onset of the coagulopathy vary with the aetiology of bleeding.

Allard et al. (2014) raised the question, whether women with postpartum haemorrhage exhibit different changes from other patients who have haemorrhage during general surgery or trauma? Some of the underlying causes of postpartum haemorrhage such as uterine atony and genital tract trauma were often associated with no significant coagulopathy (Collins et al., 2014). But, when the cause of PPH was placental abruption, it may be associated with rapid consumptive coagulopathy characterised with clinically severe haemostatic impairment (Thachil & Toh, 2009).

Influence of PPH severity, fibrinogen and platelet count on the TXA effect for study outcomes
Low plasmatic level of fibrinogen such as 2 – 3 g/L and especially less than 2 g/L at the beginning or on-going of PPH, was associated with an increased amount of blood loss, increased need for

| Table 3. Evaluation of TXA effect compared to placebo on TGA – ETP, in women with PPH. |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TXA (n) | Placebo (n) | 95% CI | p-value |
| Primary outcome | Before | After* | Delta | Before | After* | Delta |
| TGA – ETP (nM*min) | | | | | | |

* 30 minutes

| Table 4. Effect of tranexamic acid on coagulation factors and platelet function. |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TXA (n) | Placebo (n) | Difference | 95% CI | p-value |
| TGA parameters | Before | After* | Δ | Before | After* | Δ |
| Lag time(min) | | | | | | |
| Time to peak(min) | | | | | | |
| Peak height (nM) | | | | | | |
| Multiplate tests | | | | | | |
| ADPtest(AU*min) | | | | | | |
| TRAPtest(AU*min) | | | | | | |
| Coagulation tests | | | | | | |
| FV (%): | | | | | | |
| FVIII (%) | | | | | | |
| vWF (%): | | | | | | |
| D-dimer (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| Fibrinogen (mg/dL) | | | | | | *

* 30 minutes
blood transfusion and requirements for invasive procedures. Low platelet number at the diagnosis of PPH was associated with the requirement for invasive procedures (Collins et al., 2014). Simon et al. (1997), observed an increased frequency of PPH, when in early labour platelet count is <100000/mm³ or fibrinogen level is <2.9mg/L or both. A study has reported that thrombocytopenia <80 000/mm³ was associated with an increased incidence of severe PPH (Dikman et al., 2014), however, another study did not found any association between thrombocytopenia and severe PPH (Jones et al., 2016).

A systematic review (Simonazzi et al., 2016) confirmed that TXA can significantly decrease the incidence of PPH with an amount of blood loss >500 ml and severe PPH with blood loss > 1000ml. Consume of coagulation factors and platelets were reported in cases with severe postpartum bleeding. The decrease of fibrinogen level, antithrombin activity, and the platelet count was observed in PPH cases with blood loss >2000 ml.

A series of graphs will present each TGA parameter (ETP, LT, TiP, Ph) as a panel of 4 boxplots which show the measured values for the treatment groups split with respect to baseline platelet count categorized as follows: <50000, 50000–100000, 100000–150000, ≥ 150000 (Figure 2). Similar graphs will display the coagulation factors (FV, FVIII, vWF, fibrinogen and D-dimer) and Multipla- tetests (ADPtest and TRAPtest) measured values, with respect to platelet count for both treatment groups. Differences between the TXA/placebo groups will be tested using the non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test.

Definition of boxplots: The publication will use the boxplot as implemented in the basic statistics package of R by the function “boxplot.stats”. The two ‘hinges’ are versions of the first and third quartile. They equal the quartiles for odd n (where n <- length(x)) and differ for even n. The notches extend to +/-1.58 IQR/sqrt(n). See in Chambers et al. (1983, p. 62), given in McGill et al. (1978, p. 16).

Baseline fibrinogen level and a panel of 7 dot-plots showing the corresponding individual measurements for each individual patient with respect to TGA-ETP, FV, FVIII, vWF, D-dimer, ADPtest and TRAPtest for both treatment groups TXA/placebo (Figure 3).

The dots will be color-coded with respect to the treatment groups, a smoother will be presented in the corresponding colour, the Spearman correlation will be calculated and a 95% CI for each treatment group will be presented. Also, the difference between the correlation coefficients of the treatment groups will be calculated and a 95% confidence interval will be presented. The confidence intervals related to the Spearman correlation coefficient will be calculated by bootstrapping.

Two panels of graphs will present the ADPtest parameters as well as the TRAPtest parameters. They will show individual measurements for aggregation, velocity, and AUC of TXA/ placebo groups correlated with individual platelet count. These graphs (similar to Figure 2) will be produced for baseline, after treatment and change values.

Per-protocol analyses
All analyses as described in of the section ‘Efficacy analysis for primary and secondary outcomes’ will be repeated using the PP analysis set.

![Figure 2. Effect of TXA on TGA parameters, regarding the platelet count before randomization (graph model using arbitrary data).](image-url)
Safety
For all patients randomized in the ETAPlaT study who received TXA or placebo, from the moment of randomization and until 42 days later, any treatment safety data is available. Adverse events (AE) and serious adverse events (SAE), classified on the basis of MedDRA terminology (ICH, 2016), will be reported to an independent data monitoring committee (DMC), which is already in place for the WOMAN trial. All information regarding the AEs, SAEs will be registered for each patient affected, by date of onset and resolution, severity, frequency and outcome of AEs. The statistics will be organized by comparing TXA/placebo groups of patients with at least one adverse event.

Adherence
We report measures of compliance by trial arm: number of damaged or misallocated packs, time from randomisation to drug administration, time from baseline to 2nd measurement, number of 2nd doses administered.

Conclusions
This article presents the principles of statistical analysis of the WOMAN ETAPlaT study, in order to ensure the credibility of the study findings by pre-specifying the statistical approaches to the analysis of the study data. The detailed SAP presented will help to prevent outcome bias, selective reporting and to avoid post hoc decisions that may influence the interpretation of the results and the statistical analyses of the final data. The data from this study will provide evidence for the effect of TXA on thrombin generation, platelet function and coagulation factors in women with PPH.
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This is an interesting study. The authors may want to consider the following points:

**Comments from Manisha Nair**

1. I understand that the primary aim of this study was to generate evidence about the effect of TXA on thrombin generation, platelet function and coagulation factors in women with PPH. However, this study could also provide answers to why TXA had or did not have the desired effect. In this context it would be important to analyse how the TXA effect is modified by baseline haemoglobin, platelets and coagulation factors, especially considering a high prevalence of anaemia during pregnancy in LMICs. I am surprised that the authors did not pre-specify this, but intend to conduct this only as an exploratory analysis. Clearly, these sub-group analyses will be underpowered to derive any meaningful results.

In the second paragraph under sub-heading “Exploratory subgroup analyses” (page-6), authors write, “A further sensitivity analysis will be done by adjusting for severity of PPH (Table 5) and fibrinogen level or platelet count” – I presume this is fibrinogen or platelet count at baseline?

2. In Table 2, authors have grouped induction and augmentation of labour. These are two different interventions and would probably be better to report them separately.

3. Will there be any information about the management of third stage of labour for those who underwent vaginal delivery?

4. The participants will be recruited at a point when they are diagnosed with PPH, so some women particularly in LMICs are likely to be rushed into the hospital and may not have records of antenatal care. Will it be possible to have complete information on preclampsia, chorioamnionitis, diabetes, placental abruption, placenta praevia, previous PPH and other baseline parameters? Therefore, will it not be difficult to ascertain the cause of PPH at least for some women? The authors do not comment on these issues and resultant missing data and how this will be handled in the analyses.

5. My concern is that the authors propose a lot of tests with a small sample. Although these are important, I am not sure if the results will be meaningful. While we will know if TXA had an effect on three coagulation parameters, but we will not know in which population groups this drug works well and in which it may not.

**Comments from Louise Linsell**

**Page 3 Study design, 1st paragraph**

Add that this sub-study is in a single centre.

Last sentence doesn't make sense. Suggest, "Women with PPH for whom the physician believes there is an indication or contraindication to use TXA will be excluded."

**Page 4 Full analysis dataset, 1st paragraph**

Please clarify that women who have withdrawn consent to use their data will be excluded from the ITT analysis. Will women with a follow-up measure but no baseline measure be included in the ITT analysis?
Page 4 Per protocol set, 1st paragraph
The bullet points after "No major protocol violations were detected" are not clear and do not make sense. In addition to completion of blood samples add "with measurements of outcome available"

Page 4 Covariates and subgroups
Please clarify all pre-specified subgroup analysis and methods of analysis, e.g. test for interaction and tie up with paragraph on Page 6 Exploratory subgroup analyses and the last paragraph on page 7. Provide detail on the coding of the sub group variables, and which outcomes will be included for which subgroup variables all in one place. Will subgroup analysis be conducted on the ITT set, PP set or both?

Page 4 Summary of study data, 1st paragraph
Remove sentence, "If considered appropriate these data are summarised by centre as well." as there is only one centre.

Page 5 Efficacy analyses of primary outcome
The last sentence refers to a subgroup analysis - this should go in the section detailing subgroup analyses.

Page 5 Effect of TXA on thrombin generation, 1st and 2nd paragraph
Not sure why this text is included in the SAP at this point - it belongs either in the protocol or the background.

Page 6 Efficacy analyses of secondary outcomes, 2nd paragraph
"The remaining secondary outcomes" - these are not listed in the protocol?

Figure 1
Please provide labels or specify what the measurements in the boxplots represent, i.e. are the maximum, minimum values represented by the end of the line, or the end of the box?

General comments
Subgroup and exploratory analyses
The text related to subgroup analyses is scattered and confusing. It is stated that these are exploratory, however if they are pre-specified and statistical tests are conducted, then the results should be reported in full as part of the main trial report. There are also blocks of text reporting evidence from other studies that detract attention and disrupt the flow of the SAP. Perhaps it would be clearer to have one SAP for the analysis and reporting of the main trial results and any pre-specified subgroup analysis in which all results are reported in full and a second SAP outlining any further exploratory analysis investigating the pathology/epidemiology.

CONSORT Flowchart
Please include a CONSORT flowchart

Adherence
It would be useful to report measures of compliance and other useful information by trial arm, e.g. number of damaged, misallocated packs, time from randomisation to drug administration, time from baseline to 2nd measurement, number of 2nd doses administered.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 29 May 2017

Kastriot Dallaku, Hospital of Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

We would like to thank you for helpful and constructive feedback.

Below you find our answers regarding comments of Manisha Nair:
1. We approach the search for factors at baseline which modify the effect of TXA on thrombin generation (etc.) by the formal analysis of an interaction between the treatment and the corresponding baseline parameter on the specific outcome. As mentioned in your comment, we did not power the study to detect specific interactions.
Furthermore, we did not prespecify subgroup analyses to assess possible effect modifications of baseline parameters on the treatment.
In the section “Covariates and Subgroups” we addressed this issue. According to your comments we tried to make this point more obvious. We see now the task to reduce the reporting of post hoc subgroup analyses.

2. Since we have grouped the patient with induced and augmentation of labour in data collection form, it is difficult to separate, so we will remove these data from the SAP.

3. There are no data concerning management of third stage of labour.

4. There are no missing values regarding concomitant and preexisting diseases of pregnancy.

5. The total sample size is small and the subgroup analyses run the risk of false positive. We will react by removing the exploratory subgroup analysis.

Below you find our answers regarding comments of Louise Linsell:
1. Page 3 Study design, 1st paragraph: Many thanks for your comment. We changed the sentence in the SAP.

2. Page 4 Full analysis dataset, 1st paragraph: Thanks, we address this issue now in the revised SAP version. Missing values will be imputed.

3. Page 4 Per protocol set, 1st paragraph: We clarified this formulation in the revised SAP.

4. Page 4 Covariates and subgroups: The total sample size is small and the subgroup analyses run the risk of false positive. We removed the exploratory subgroup analysis.

5. Page 4 Summary of study data, 1st paragraph: Done

6. Page 5 Efficacy analyses of primary outcome: Done
7. Page 5 Effect of TXA on thrombin generation, 1st and 2nd paragraph: We removed the paragraph, because we have provided evidence about this in ETAPIaT protocol paper.

8. Page 6 Efficacy analyses of secondary outcomes, 2nd paragraph: We removed this section.

9. Figure 1: Here we follow standards which define the boxplot. The specific definitions are now added to the SAP.

10. General comments: We follow your advice already given regarding page 4 and 5.

11. CONSORT Flowchart: Good point: Will be added to the SAP

12. Adherence: We added a section adherence to the revised SAP.
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Christel Weiß
Department of Biometry and Statistics, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany

The sample size has been assessed assuming a 1-sided 2 sample t test which will be performed in order to compare the changes. This should be mentioned (1-sided). Furthermore, patients will be included in the primary efficacy analysis if only the baseline measurement is available. If a rather big number of patients will not have the 30-minute-measurement then the sample size off 88 per group may be too small.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

---

Author Response 29 May 2017

Kastriot Dallaku, Hospital of Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

Thank you for your comments. We will impute missing values of baseline measurements and modified this issue in our revised SAP. It was clear from the performance in the single center that the problem of missing baseline measurements will not be severe.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
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Referee Report 31 March 2017
Stephen J. Senn

Competence Centre for Methodology and Statistics, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Strassen, Luxembourg

I have approved this report with reservations because my opinion is that the plan is generally sound and prepared in sufficient detail. Nevertheless, I consider that there is one aspect that is puzzling and might be improved.

As part of the sample size determination, the authors calculate the variance of the change from baseline measure of ETP. This might be fair enough for the purpose of sample size determination. However, it is well known that this is an inefficient use of baselines and that one can do better by including the baseline as a covariate\(^1\). The section headed *Efficacy analyses of primary outcome* states:

*In analysis of the primary outcome (TGA–ETP), the TXA group will be compared with the placebo group, based on analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) which adjusts for the length of time between two measurements (30±15 minutes) of the baseline and follow-up TGA - ETP value.*

This does not, therefore, appear to adjust for the baseline value (apart for the crude and inefficient adjustment through subtraction). On the other hand, there is an adjustment for the length of time between the two measurements. This implies that this length of time is itself considered to be predictive. That is to say it is supposed that two women given identical treatment would be expected to have different values of TGA according to the length of time it takes to measure TGA. This may well be reasonable (if some secular trend in TGA is expected) but in that case I would have expected some discussion of the point.

In short, I consider that the plan shows evidence of careful thought on the part of the authors but still leaves room for some doubt as regards the analysis. I must thus conclude that either the analysis proposed is not optimal or that the authors have failed to provide sufficient clarity to entirely convince at least one reader of its appropriateness.
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I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
Many thanks for this comment. We will add the baseline adjustment to the linear model used to quantify treatment effects.
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Anne-Mette Hvas
Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Centre for Haemophilia and Thrombosis, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

The title is appropriate.
The abstract provides an adequate summary of the manuscript.

The presented study is scientifically sound; The study is well-designed, it seems well conducted and the laboratory methods used to investigate the research questions are relevant. However, the statement on whether a possible effect of TXA is due to inhibition of plasmin cannot be investigated (the authors don't investigate the mechanisms of the TXA-effect), so that is a theoretical deduction. The statistical approach is clearly and comprehensively stated.
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I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 29 May 2017

Kastriot Dallaku, Hospital of Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

Thanks for this comment and the clear formulation of the limitation given by our approach. This comment will be relevant when discussing the results of the trial.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.